

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S1					Support	My view is not reflected. I have a partial agreement - but think the strategy does not go far enough, in the area of attracting Museum/Music type visitors to Birmingham. Birmingham airport has been positioned in China as the gateway to Shakespeare. It seems we could build on an alternate/complementary approach that adds Birmingham as a Music Heritage centre. For instance, the former Mother's Club in Erdington could be rented and presented as either a Museum to 1960's/1970's music or a current music event site. UB40 in Kings Health/Balsall Heath. Duran Duran at the Rum Runner, Steel Pulse an Handsworth, Black Sabbath at the Old Crown. Heritage Tours could be offered - there is World-wide interest in this Music, especially in the USA, China, Jpapp and Russia. We should capitalize on what we have. Further, we should promote what we have in the area of the Central Library - for example, that it is possible to read original Nostradamos text in the Archives there (under supervision). Many people would like to see such, if they knew about it. Also, the Shakespeare Library within the Central Library. There is a rich Folk Music tradition that has almost been side-lined and forgotten - Ali Campbell's (UB40) father was one such player and proponent. There is also a need for a Museum of photography. If it is also true that Curzon Street Station is the Country's or World's oldest railway station, there needs to be railway museum.		Considers that more extensive proposals should be included for heritage-based visitor attraction.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No further change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S19					Object	I object to housing and industry on the Sutton Coldfield area of 'Green Belt'	Build elsewhere. For Housing, consider the large amount of unused land north of the Junction 5 of the M6 and junction 8 of the M42 plus, for Industry, the unused area west of Curdworth. Also for housing or industry, the unused area of land in the triangle bordered by junctions 4 and 4a of the M6 and junctions 7a and 8 of the M42	Objection to green belt development in the Sutton Coldfield area.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S219					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Objection to green belt development on transport, and environmental grounds .	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	http://birmingham.obbactive.co.uk/file/3593727

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S235					Object	<p>Firstly why build here why not somewhere else I have not heard a logical argument stating why this is the place to build before all other areas have been ruled out and considered. Some areas would welcome such a development and all the opportunities and benefits it will bring others will not. Surely this should be for the people of Birmingham to decide not one town or a committee or am I totally missing something. Secondly I am very concerned that the residents in the local and nearby community have not been presented with the facts surrounding this plan and have not been given the opportunity to make a well informed decision whether to object. When I have taken the time to read in more detail that proposed planned the sheer size of it is overwhelming and will have a significant impact on residents who are oblivious to the details of this plan. An open and honest communication presenting easy to understand facts and figures need to be posted to all residents in the Sutton Coldfield and surrounding areas before the council can even consider moving forwards. I have not heard any residents in my local neighbourhood (Boldmere) mention this development once as a topic of conversation. Apart from Campaigners and the local newspaper presenting opinions and political messages this has largely gone unnoticed in the talk of the town. There seems to be a certain socio economic group pushing hard to stop this and it is not on the minds of residents who will be directly affected by this. Please do the right thing publish the headline facts what this development brings to the local area both from a positive and negative perspective and let more than a handful of people decide what to do not a committee who do not live in this town. You may even get more support for this development I just want to see the community make an informed decision! Finally I am not convinced that the documentation I have read gives a balanced perspective. It seems to favour the development from every aspect and is written so no objections can be made due to sound arguments being presented rather than the reality. I am deeply concerned regarding the approach the organisations involved with this development has taken and sense it will be passed at some point in time no matter how many objections are submitted due to the amount of commercial value this land and potential house price will offers to everyone involved.</p>	Present why the Residential and Employment Sites are not been built elsewhere and why. Present how this will impact the local community from an objective perspective using facts and taking into consideration all concerns raised. Take a more balanced approach towards this development and slow this process down.	Objection to green belt development on the grounds that it has not been justified .	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S236					Object	<p>Despite a slight reduction in the number of overall dwellings being proposed " which is welcomed - I remain totally unconvinced with the arguments being put forward by the Council to support plans for the devastation of local green belt within the Langley (Walmley) development zone. I have been a resident here for 23 years and over that time the pressure on the infrastructure - particularly the roads, schools, medical and dental facilities - has become increasingly worse. This situation became worse still with the new homes which were built on the site of the old barracks and this latest proposal is likely to completely tip things over the edge. Thankfully our children are no longer at state schools in the area however, when they were we were not able to get them into the schools we originally wanted as a result of the influx of new families into the area. This pressure will increase even further with the latest plans, forcing people to drive their children even greater distances in order gain admission to schools. Doesn't t this defeat the Governments plans to get more people to stop using cars and walk to work/school or use public transport? In general the roads have become steadily more congested " particularly in the Walmley and Sutton Coldfield districts - and in many areas continue to remain in a very poor state of repair. The prospect of even more vehicles coming into this relatively small area makes me wonder how road maintenance can be adequately managed? It is all well and good to say that more roads will be constructed to support these new developments however, as evidenced only too well by increases in capacity to roads such as the M6 and the M25 in the south, extra capacity is quickly taken up. What happens then? Continue to carve up even more of the precious green belt surrounding Birmingham? Birmingham? Ultimately, it would seem the easy option has been taken to eradicate green belt to accommodate these houses when there remains many un-used sites elsewhere " in Birmingham, Walsall, Wolverhampton to name a few - which currently have derelict factories etc. which could be used to accommodate some of this development and bring major benefits to the local population by way of affordable properties and employment opportunities. In addition, these areas are already supported by adequate transport services and excellent shopping facilities, schools, hospitals and doctors etc. The open country side around Sutton Coldfield is a 'Jewel in the Crown' and as such should be treasured, safeguarded and protected for future generations to come. Once it has gone it has gone forever and I personally would not wish to be associated with any plans or proposals which are prepared and willing to make such an irresponsible sacrifice which would be detrimental to everyone " with the exception of course of the property developers who presumably live elsewhere!</p>	Remove the development of Green Belt areas from the development plan.	Objection to green belt development on transport, infrastructure and environmental grounds : brownfield sites are considered preferable.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S259					Object	<p>MAIN MODIFICATIONS Ref: PMM17, PMM18 I object to the green belt still being included in the plan because I think it would have a really bad effect which will significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. When the green belt is gone it is gone forever.</p>	<p>NPPF states that "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt "The Green belt should not be in the plan and only considered for inclusion when all brownfield sites (including sites outside Birmingham's boundaries) have been identified and used. There are also empty homes which should be used up first, as per "Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building ". The true picture of housing need is realised before any green belt is released. Also The Land in Washwood heath which had been earmarked for HS2 but is no longer required for that use should be utilised. REVISED SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL Chapter 5 With the proposed building of 6000 homes on our green belt ,it follows that the impact on the areas roads will be very considerable. 12000 additional cars on our local roads as well as the additional overall traffic on the M42, A38, A5127, A4097 and Heartlands Spine Road will have a very serious negative impact on air quality CO 2 emissions and traffic congestion. Walmley and Peddimore will be destroyed under the proposed development, Sutton Coldfield needs what little green space we have left. The roads in the Walmley area are already full with traffic many times during the day, worse in the rush hour, the pollution caused by the extra traffic would cause serious health problems for residents including school children and the old. In addition, the infrastructure will be overloaded even more than at present from the point of view of hospitals, schools and the sewage treatment works at Minworth. In Walmley there are only limited facilities such as doctor s surgeries and shops and schools. Services in the area would not be able to cope, Doctors in the area are already at full stretch, scans are often sent to Solihull hospital, as Good Hope cannot cope. Fields are a community asset-we have enjoyed many a walk along the paths. Green infrastructure is about food production, better air quality, sustainable energy production, clean water and healthy soils, increased quality of life through recreation in and around towns and cities. Green infrastructure also serves to provide an ecological framework for social, economic and environmental health of the surroundings. How can this be positive for Green infrastructure as Birmingham City Council are trying to remove the last remaining agricultural land, green belt and open space. Should this development go ahead Walmley will be a building site for fifteen years which will impact on the quality of live for those currently living in the area.</p>	<p>Objection to green belt development as contrary to government policy : brownfield sites are considered preferable.</p>	<p>Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.</p>	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S269	Mr Michael Scanlon	West Midlands Planning Manager HS2 Ltd			Support	<p>See attached.</p>		<p>No Comment</p>	<p>Noted. No change required</p>	<p>http://birmingham.obbactive.co.uk/file/3597967</p>

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S276					Object	I am concerned that the current proposals suggest that Walmley and Peddimore offer greater accessibility to facilities and road networks that any other locations in the area. There are obviously already severe congestion issues in these areas with pinch points at the Minworth island and the B148 in Walmley. The current local road network is not sufficient to support increased HGV traffic which would inevitably increase. There is no evidence of any plans to accommodate increased volumes of traffic onto the road network other than changes to Minworth island and an entry/ext point from the A38 to the industrial estate. How do the council propose to create a dedicated cycle network alongside the proposed huge increases in road traffic. I am concerned that BCC believe that Walmley has high order good quality services that could support a further development of 6000 houses. There is currently no high street or shopping centre in Walmley to support the increased population. Good Hope hospital is already over subscribed and it's ability to cope with an increased population of 6000 houses must be in severe doubt. This will inevitably lead to even more car journeys to locations further afield such as Heartlands and Solihull hospitals and Tamworth or Lichfield shopping areas leading to increased air pollution and an increased carbon footprint. BCC believes that the development will bring benefits with respect to Green infrastructure. This must be in question when the development will entail the removal of the last remaining agricultural greenbelt in Birmingham which can only be a negative impact. The negative impact on air quality and the health of the local population of an extra 12000 private vehicles cannot be overstated.	I feel that the current proposals will severely overload the local infrastructure, have a very detrimental negative impact on the health and wellbeing of the local population and goes completely against the current requirements of green legislation. I therefore feel that the current proposals are unsustainable and the use of all existing brownfield sites should be exhausted before any further development of greenbelt land is considered.	Objection to green belt development on transport, health, infrastructure and environmental grounds. Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S30					Object	I object to the green belt still being included in the plan. This proposed change is irreversible and significantly and demonstrably outweighs the proposed benefits. We have so little green belt remaining that this plan will significantly harm the well being of Birmingham. Brown field sites have NOT yet been fully explored - these should be actually developed before any consideration so given to releasing Green Belt. To do otherwise would clearly breach the May 2010-2015 government policy on house building. For example the proposed Washwood Heath HS2 site has potential for housing development that have not been fully explored - along with other similar sites. I'm sure that housing developers would prefer green field sites to reduce their building costs but this should not be allowed to outweigh the loss of green belt for generations to come. Brown field development would also help improve Birmingham by reducing the number of derelict sites that are an embarrassment to the City - e.g. the former LDV Drews Lane site.	Drop the inclusion of any proposal to release Green Belt, or at the very least, delay any such decision until brown field sites are all developed and remaining demand has been properly reassessed, i.e. in c.10 years time	Objection to green belt development as contrary to government policy : brownfield sites are considered preferable.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S31					Object	I object to the green belt still being included in the plan. This proposed change is irreversible and significantly and demonstrably outweighs the proposed benefits. "Unmet housing needs should not outweigh harm to the green belt "source NPPF. Brown field sites need to be fully identified and exhausted before any consideration is given to releasing Green Belt. Also the HS2 Washwood Heathsite should be used first before the green belt in Peddimore. The current rush hour traffic, specifically Walmley Road and the Minworth A38 island is a nightmare, with an estimated 6,000+ cars would lead to gridlock, not only that what about the additional pollution.	All brownfields sites including those outside of Birmingham Boundaries should be identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension. The HS2 Washwood Heath site should be used first before releasing the green belt in Peddimore.	Objection to green belt development as contrary to government policy : brownfield sites are considered preferable.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S312					Object	We should not be considering building on Green belt land.	Look at brown field sites in more detail.	Objection to green belt development : brownfield sites are considered preferable.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S32	Cllr Ken Wood				Object	This consultation mirrors the first one in that it is NOT user friendly, does NOT encourage resident participation and is purely done on the basis that everyone must have access to a PC. The method of consultation is also confusing and I have spoken to many residents who have said "I couldnt figure out what I was supposed to do so I "bombed "out. The consultation is therefore flawed and fails to meet the requirement of consulting and listening to the concerns of residents. As for the content the case remains that the proposals are un sustainable as they are laid down. There are no firm proposals for improvements to an infrastructure that is already creaking under the pressure of existing use. There are empty units at Minworth which seriously brings into doubt the need to build further industrial capacity. Reports again indicate that company's find it difficult to access and leave the area and are therefore moving to areas where access to motorways etc. is not hampered by congestion. This is not nimbyism but logic. People do consider travelling time to work etc. when looking to move to an area. A development of this scale when coupled with the current congestion and lack of viable alternatives will not make the area attractive to young families	Accept that it has been badly thought through and scrap it	Objection to green belt development on sustainability and transport grounds. Consultation process not considered to be user-friendly	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S329					Object	No brownfield sites have been explored or identified and the simple cheap option of greenbelt has been chosen. This is not acceptable when Birmingham and the surrounding areas have plenty of brownfield sites. The Langley Urban Extension area (GA5) is not suitable due to many reasons as outlined below: The area that has been chosen is next to already densely populated areas (Walmley, New Hall, Falcon Lodge). Traffic flow within the area is congested already and would be catastrophic if this development goes ahead. Please see my comments on the sustainability appraisal for further details. The infrastructure within the area cannot cope. Good Hope Hospital have already said they cannot cope with additional demand of 6,000 houses and it is already a zero star rated hospital. Local services such as dentists and doctors surgeries are already at capacity. Schools are already over-subscribed. Shops are already far too busy.	All brownfield sites should be identified (within Birmingham and surrounding areas) and considered before considering any greenbelt land. Long-term measures such as new towns should be considered rather than short-term extensions to existing densely populated towns. All empty homes should be identified and refurbished before considering new buildings. Before this area is chosen, the areas north of Sutton Coldfield should be considered as they are areas of less dense population and better public transport facilities exist.	Objection to green belt development on transport and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S33					Object	I object to modifications PMM17 GA5 Langley Urban Extension and PMM18 GA6 Peddimore My objection is that the Green Belt land proposed for building should NOT be included within this plan. The Green belt land should only be considered once ALL options of the use of Brownfield sites for both housing and industrial use and ALL empty homes have been exhausted per the "Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building ". As the housing needs within the plan are based on estimated growth figures and therefore a true picture of the housing needs should be realised prior to the green belt being released. Over the last 48 years, through my own experience I have seen the green belt around Walmley extensively eroded for both housing and industrial use. During my time at both Walmley Infants and junior school we would walk to school past green fields and would be able to see the Donkey s in the field adjacent to the school. In Walmey village there was an apple orchard which contained daffodils and bluebells. All this land is now housing. Should the further development within the plan go ahead future generations of children growing up in the area will have to travel to see open green land at one with nature, an experience that my generation was able to enjoy every day on the way to school. I strongly believe that no sane person would want to deny future generations the right to green space on their doorstep. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt "Source:NPPF Finally, I would like to comment on how difficult Birmingham City council have made the consultation process. Information and plans have only been made available during working hours, which has excluded the majority of the population from being made fully aware of the development. Residents upon which the development would have direct impact have not been supplied information direct to their household but have had to find out via word of mouth. Even the Doctors surgery in the area has not been advised. This is a deliberate move by the council to put these plans through by stating the public do not object.	Prior to the development of a further industrial area on the Peddimore site the release of the land in Washwood Heath by HS2 should be used. The full requirement of additional industrial units should be further assessed as there are a number of empty industrial units currently in Minworth, at Hams Halls and in other sites around Birmingham that should be filled prior to the creation of additional ones.	Objection to green belt development as contrary to government policy : brownfield sites are considered preferable.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/creation/download/3573465
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S34					Object	The plan still includes the Green Belt infringement. The Green Belt is increasingly more precious to maintain and fight for. The modifications also show no confirmed improved transport links as there are no promised funds. You do not place 20,000-30,000 people in an already densely populated area without first reopening the railway line or building a tram spur from Minworth. There are furthermore no new road plans only minor improvement to Minworth Island.	Removal of the Green belt from the Housing Plan completely.	Objection to green belt development, and concern over transport impact.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S36					Object	<p>I object strongly to the Langley development by how it will affect me personally and also on an environmental and common sense basis. I bought my property after years of hard work to move into a nice area from the Birmingham inner city and had been assured upon purchase that farmland to the east of Webster Way was green belt and therefore sacrosanct. Previous threats to build on the land have been overruled but on this occasion I am extremely worried as Birmingham Council owns this land and will benefit financially of the land sale to private developers. I have spoken to the planning officers at Walmley Library and have not been assured that any of my concerns have been addressed. Walmley is already a very densely populated area and is gridlocked. The Penns Lane/Eachelhurst junction is one of the busiest junctions in the area. I see there are absolutely no plans to increase road capacity. I would have thought at least an improvement to the Eachelroad rail bridge should have been planned by extending the dual carriageway into Walmley village. This is a nightmare bottleneck. I am also not impressed with minor changes to the Minworth Island, traffic lights here will not increase the flow. I would have thought Walmley Ash Lane should have been developed to dual carriageway linked to A38 to take through traffic away from Walmley Ash Road at Asda, leaving the existing road to local residents. I am shocked that you do not have a definite date to reopen the Walmley railway line and in fact your planners have informed me there is no money available particularly from Network Rail and therefore the line will not open in the foreseeable future. There should be a City-wide tram network planned as in Nottingham with a spur line from Minworth to Peddimore and Webster Way and a large park and ride facility built at the Minworth Industrial estate adjacent to a new Minworth station. This will reduce the traffic entering the City along the busy Tyburn and Kingsbury Roads as commuters will welcome the tramlink at Minworth. The sprint bus is laughable. I use the existing 108 bus from City to Brookhus Farm Road and on occasions the bus can take over hour with delays on Aston Expressway and hold ups leaving the City. The bus lane along the Tyburn Road was a joke and was abolished as it increased bus journey times. The bus lane was never enforced and cars used the lane without fear of prosecution particularly at The Bagot Arms junction. The bus lane could never work as the buses leaving Spaghetti Junction are forced to queue with vehicles on the Spaghetti Junction exit slip road before they can enter the Tyburn Road bus lane. Please do not use the word Sprint for the planned buses. Basically you do NOT approve this housing plan prior to the transport and infrastructure being built in the first place, as is the way in Germany. I am very worried and already having some sleepless nights about the 20 year noise and disruption we face on the Brookhus Farm estate. You are proposing this large development by prioritising the needs of housing in the future rather than the impact this will all have to existing local residents. Why are our needs and concerns not given priority but simply cast aside? The Government has permitted large scale immigration and no restriction on welfare for the number of children produced which has ultimately caused the housing crisis. In my opinion the responsibility of housing stocks should be shared between adjoining authorities as we did previously in the development of Tamworth, Redditch etc. for Birmingham people. It should also be a chance to develop those unsightly brownfield areas within the City and you should give priority to these areas first and then ascertain whether further housing stocks are needed in the City. Birmingham residents will appreciate such investment by enhancing the City and is therefore a benefit to all. There are so many spaces along the canal systems for example in Winson Green, Black Country, Edgbaston, Selly Oak, Kingsbury Road, Aston etc. not to mention whole swathes of derelict land in the inner City. I am unhappy that people living next Webster Way will not have reduction in Council</p>	The green belt housing plan must be stopped in its entirety	Objection to green belt development on transport, pollution and quality of life grounds and because brownfield sites are considered preferable.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link	
								Tax for the disruption and indeed any redress to the reduction in property prices your plan will inflict on us. We will not be able to sell our properties as who will want to buy with this massive development on their doorstep? I feel Birmingham Council, particularly the Labour Party are very uncaring towards the feelings of the local Sutton Coldfield residents and you are ignoring all the petitions made by us, our local councillors and MP. The plan is fraught with danger and destruction and is ill thought out. Please abolish the plan and give us back our ease. I for one challenge you that the levels of traffic, pollution, quality of life here will be much worse than you have predicted. As far as the Peddimore industrial plan is concerned, have you not been on the Kingsbury Road at Wiggins Hill Road to witness the number of lorries passing through? These lorries already come throughout the day and night due to the large scale industrial development particularly at Midpoint lorry park and it is already horrendous. I dread to think how many more will come and there is no plan to alleviate the noise and pollution for the poor people living in Minworth along Kingsbury Road. Where is the new motorway link road? Furthermore I do not like the name LANGLEY. It sounds like a run down council estate and we already have the use of the word in the Sandwell area.					
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S39					Object	Why is the Green Belt still in the plan? How can this be justified if the NPPF states that un met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt. This is government policy not Sutton policy! Has BCC truly exhausted all other options - all brownfield sites in and outside Birmingham. What about public brownfield land? Why is the Green Belt being deing developed at the beginning of the plan? Surely if it supposed to be protected it should be an option at the end of housing needs still haven't been met. It's a flawed policy - all we do is build more develoments - line the pockets of the developers and land owners then we still don't have enough houses. Land is short and population is growing - we should be looking at sustainalbe plans like Garden Cities with a long term vision - not just plugging gaps!	Remove the Green Belt from the plan or at least move it's realease to later down the line when all other options have been exhausted.	Objection to green belt development as contrary to government policy : brownfield sites are considered preferable and garden cities should be considered.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.		
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S449	Ms Rachael Bust	Chief Planner/Principal Manager The Coal Authority			Support	See attached.		No Comment	Noted. No change required	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601384	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S528					Object	I wish to make a general comment about this consultation. In accordance with how the website is set out, it appears that there is an option to upload documents to these comments as an attachment. However having gone back to comments I have made and submitted it is evident that no attachment has been included. The system has therefore removed part of my contribution. Having anticipated such problems I have hopefully provided sufficient infomration for the additionla data to be obtained however it does demonstrate that the system has a fundamental flaw in it. I therefore wish to register a complaint about how the system is not working as it should as may result in the comments of consultees being overlooked which I do not consider to be acceptable.	Extend the consultation after the system is fixed.	Complaint regarding the operation of the consultation system.	This complaint was addressed. It was not considered to justify an extension to the consultation period.		

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S550					Object	The green belt is still included in this plan. The un met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt. How can we be considering the use of greenfield land when so many brownfield sites exist. The local areas of Walmley cannot cope with an additional 6000 homes which may include upto an additional 12000 cars. The traffic in and around the local area of Walmley is at virtual gridlock during rush hour with traffic on local roads at a stand still. This traffic then goes out into the wider area of the Chester Rd, A38, M42 etc. The local infrastructure which includes Doctors/Schools (Primary and Secondary) are over subscribed and there is no plans for any addition schools to support a growing population.	To address my concerns you should do the following: Identify all brownfield sites first and build on these sites first, do not give in to developers who see green field sites as more profitable. There are several thousand empty properties in and around Birmingham and these should be fully explored as per "Policy May 2010-2015 government policy:house building" We should release the HS2 Washwood Heath site that is no longer required before the use of greenbelt.	Objection to green belt development on transport and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S553					Object	I object to the green belt still being included in this plan, I believe the development would have adverse impacts and significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. We have very little green belt remaining and by removing it, it would cause significant harm to the green belt. The use of green belt should be removed from the plan and should only be considered when All brownfield sites have been identified and exhausted. All empty homes have been exhausted as per "Policy May 2010-2015 government policy:house building" All surplus brownfield public sector land has been exhausted. The true picture of housing need is realised before any green belt is released. The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings has been delivered and only when an inspector is satisfied tha tall options have been exhausted shoudl a review be undertaken to consider releasing green belt land. All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham's boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for sustainable urban expansion. Garden cities considered which will cater for long term population growth not just within this plan period. HS2 Washwood Heath site should be used first before releasing green belt land in Peddimore.	Please see above - in particular comments re the use of brownfield sites prior to green belt land	Objection to green belt development.. Brownfield sites and garden cities should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S554					Object	I believe the development would not have a positive impact on the surrounding areas and that these impacts would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. There is so little green belt let that I feel what we have should be preserved. I don't believe that un-met housing needs should outweigh harm to the green belt. I don't feel transport links are suitable to support the proposal, there is already a daily congestion issue through Walmley and Webster Way. I don't think the current infrastructure could support the proposal, hospitals, schools, healthcentres do not have the capacity	Other sites should be looked into such as all brownfield sites only once this has been exhausted should green belt land be considered	Objection to green belt development on transport and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S559					Object	With reference to PMM17 GA5 Langley Urban Extension and PMM18 GA6 Peddimore I am objecting to green belt being used for this plan, we have such little of it left. This development will have adverse impacts and significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. The un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt source NPPF. The Green Belt should only be used when all brownfield sites and existing empty homes have been exhausted as per "Policy May 2015 2010-2015 government policy:house building". The current supply of 45000 available dwellings has been delivered and areas outside of Birmingham, which are more suitable for sustainable urban extension are used first. I'd also like to comment on how difficult the consultation process has been since 2012. I do not feel included in the process and have feel all my efforts to lodge objections have been ignored.	All brownfield sites used first. All empty homes have been used first All brownfield public sector land exhausted The current supply of 45000 available dwellings used first Garden cities considered HS2 Washwood Heath site to be used first	Objection to green belt development as contrary to government policy : brownfield sites are considered preferable.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S564					Object	Un -met housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt...NPPF The green belt should not be built on until: all brownfield sites have been used up all empty homes should be used	Stop this monstrous development!	Objection to green belt development.. Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S566					Object	The traffic congestion is already a problem and is likely to get worse if this goes ahead. There will be a worsening of air and noise pollution. Viable agricultural land will be used up. This development will place additional strain on the education and health care facilities which have not been expanded. A large foundation NHS trust catering for this area is already in major financial difficulty with services likely to be cut further.	Stop this monstrous development!	Objection to green belt development on transport and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S567					Object	Main modifications pmm17 and pmm18 The inclusion of building on greenbelt land should be removed until all empty housing is filled. The use of brown field sites inside and outside the city limits has been exhausted, and the use of abandoned American airbases has been utilised { greenham common} The road network around walmley will not cope with extra housing improvements to A38 island will be useless as all connecting roads are already blocked, At rush hour typical journey time from M42 junction 9 to A38 minworth island is 30 minutes, and from there to the cross roads of penns lane and walmley road is 10 minutes. The other infrastructure will not cope either Good Hope hospital is a small hospital linked with solihull and East Birmingham and cannot cope with any increase in population, As well as the schools, police, and doctors	Remove the Green belt from any building plans and use existing brown field sites	Objection to green belt development on transport and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S568					Object	I am objecting to greenbelt still being included in this plan. The development would have terrible consequences for existing residents, and should not be considered for those reasons as well as the green belt. 'Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt' Source NPPF Green Belt should be removed from the plan and should only be included when: All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham's boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for sustainable urban growth. All surplus brownfield public sector land is exhausted All empty homes have been exhausted as per 'Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building' We have so little green belt remaining in Birmingham, that by removing this section, it will cause significant harm and reduction to our green belt	We would like Council to remove the option of using Peddimore for development.	Objection to green belt development as contrary to government policy : brownfield sites are considered preferable.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S569					Object	The green belt in this area should not be used for housing. Once the Green belt has been used it is gone forever. The housing requirements should not be used harm the green belt. Un_met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt. Source NPPF.	The Green belt should be removed from the plans because of the following reasons. Not all Brownfield sites in the Birmingham area have been exhausted. Just because it is easier and cheaper to build on Green belt sites it should be the reason. Not all empty houses have been used as per Policy May 2010_2015 government policy house building	Objection to green belt development.. Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S584	Peter Stewart	Equality and Human Rights Commission			Support	See attached.		No Comment	Noted. No change required	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604540

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S591					Object	<p>I object to the Main Modifications - PMM17 GA5 Langle Urban Extension, PMM18 GA6 Peddimore I object to the above as I am of the opinion that it as not been properly thought out and planned, the reason for this is that it will have a adverse effect on the infastructure that already serves Walmley. At present the roads are gridlocked during peak periods and this will impact further on a already bad situation, Further to this the existing Schools, Doctors Surgeries and Good Hope Hospital will not be able to cope as such they will be overstretched and above the capity. I also have concerns that the building of all these house will impact on the rain water drainage level and could increase the flood levels in the area. I also have concerns that this planning will significantly reduce the wild life in this area. The green belt is intended to draw a line between urban areas and the country side by removing this part it will do considerable damage to the enviroment, by overstretching the present infastructure and severly reducing the wild life. The housing needs of the city should not outweigh hsrn to the Green Belt. Therefore in my consideration I am of the opinion that the Green Belt should be removed the plan and should only be considered when all of the following have undertaken. All Brownfield site have been identified and exhasted, for instance LDV Vans site Drews Lane, Washwood Heath, Southalls Site, Alum Rock Road, Alum Rock All empty homes exhausted as per Government House Building Policy May 2010-2015 All Brownfield sites outside of Birminghams Boundaries have been identified and are suitable for sustainable urban extension. All Brownfield Sector Land has been exausted. The true picture of housing need is realisedbefore any green belt is released The current supply of 45,000 homes have been delivered and only then for an inspector to review and satisfy himself that all other options have been exhausted. The LDV Washwood Heath site and the Southall Site should first be used before decimating any more country side. Since 2012 it as been very difficult to keep abreast of this consultaion process, on occasions we have had very little time to raise our concerns when short deadline times were imposed on us (for instance during the Christmas period) It as not been a very democratic process as such it as left residents feelin excluded from the process.</p>	I think the Planning Application should be turned down on the grounds that I have put forward.	Objection to green belt development on transport, environmental and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S607					Object	I object to the Main modifications PMM18 GA6 Peddimore and PMM17 I Object that the green belt is being included in this plan and believe that brownfield sites should be used instead. "un-met housing needs should not outweigh harm to the green belt"source NPPF. Once you take the green belt away its gone forever and this should be thought about a lot more seriously than it has been. I live by the Asda Minworth island and the traffic is already terrible throughout the day. Traffic lights may help the situation now but with 6000 more homes meaning 12000 more cars the added congestion means there will be no hope for it. At the moment in the morning traffic queues back from the island past my home and we are unable to get out of our own road, let alone onto the island. HGV's make wrong turns off the island daily and will cause havoc as they try to turn round down the small cul de sacs, these will only increase with more traffic and more HGV's. The noise from the passing traffic is constant and will get a lot worse, our house backs onto the island and we currently don't open our windows due to this. The polution and dirt from the island is bad enough as it is, our windows and front door get covered in dirt becasue of living so close to the island and an increase in traffic will only make this worse. As well as a lot of elderly residents there is also a lot of children around here and it should be safe for them to be in their own gardens. If this goes ahead this area will be a building site for the next 10-15 years. Given how difficult the consultation process has been since 2012 I don't feel this plan has had enough time to be well thought out and have the local residents included in these plans.	The green belt should be removed from the plan and should not be included until... All brownfield sites have been indentified and exhausted All empty homes exhausted as per "policy may 2010-2015"government policy; house building. The true picture of housing needs is carried out and not rushed! All brownfield sites and surplus public land outside Birminghams boundaries must be reviewed for their suitability for a more sustainable urban development.	Objection to green belt development on environmental, transport and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites and garden cities should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S608					Object	Main modifications - PMM17 GA5 Langley Urban Extension PMM18 GA6 Peddimore I strongly object to the green belt land still being included in this plan. I believe that this development would have many detrimental impacts and that it would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits.We have such a small amount of green belt remaining, to remove this would cause significant harm to the green belt. I would draw your attention to a quote from NPPF "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt."I firmly believe that the Green Belt should be removed from the plan and should only be considered for development when; All brownfield sites have been identified and exhausted All empty homes have been exhausted as per "Policy May 2015 2010-2015 government policy: house building"All surplus brownfield public sector land exhausted The true picture of housing need is realised BEFORE any green belt is released. The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings has been delivered and only when an Inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted should a review be undertaken to consider releasing Green Belt. All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham's boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for a suitable urban extension. Garden Cities considered which will ctaer for long term population growth, not just within this plan period. I further believe that HS2 Washwood Heath site should be used first before releasing the Green Belt in Peddimore. I would also like to add that the whole consultation process since 2102 has been very difficult fro residents. It has been very hard to kepp informed of developments with very little time given to us to raise concerns. Residents feel that we have been excluded from this process.	As per my comments above, I strongly believe that the Green Belt land should be removed from this plan and altenatives used.	Objection to green belt development on environmental, transport and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites and garden cities should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S611	Mr Andy Johnson	Conservation & Design Officer South Staffordshire Council			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers that the Plan should be reviewed within five years and maintained that there is little justification for South Staffordshire to be considered as a suitable location for Birmingham's "overspill"	The NPPF sets out requirements for the regular review of local plans. South Staffordshire are currently participating in the process for determining the distribution of Birmingham's shortfall. No change required	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3604945
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S615					Object	I am objecting to the green belt being used for any development. "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt"source NPPF. Homes should be built on brown field sites (including HS2 Washwood Heath Site). Empty houses and run down areas should be regenerated. New towns need to be developed to accomodate long term population growth. As a resident of Sutton Coldfield I do not feel that I have been included in the consultation process. The roads around the Sutton Coldfield area are already very congested. Sutton Coldfield does not have the facilities and infrastructure for further homes and people eg leisure facilities, Schools, Doctors surgeries. Sutton Coldfield's shopping area and leisure facilities are very limited, especially in comparison to Tamworth and Solihull. There would be a huge impact on air quality and noise pollution and therefore our health. The land is grade 3 agricultural land, the proposed development would remove productive, beautiful farm land and peaceful, healthy walks. The development of 6000 homes can only have a negative impact on the Town.	Regenerate houses and run down areas, build homes on brown field sites and develop new towns.	Objection to green belt development on environmental, transport and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites and garden cities should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S617					Object	I am objecting to the proposed plans for the following reasons... The Greenbelt should not be used for housing until all other land including Brownfield sites have been used and there's no other option The road network is not currently up to the current levels of vehicles that use the network and are gridlocked from very early on in the morning and evening. My journey to and from Birmingham City centre in a car usually takes 1.5 hours each way and thats if there isnt bad weather or road works. Public transport can take longer as it uses the same road network and the train station is too far away to walk to from the proposed area. The current facilities for shopping in Walmley are current inadequete with overcrowded car parks which causes conjection on the roads with people traveling too and from facilities further afield. There are currently not enough school places in the area and the catchment areas are very small. The area is an area of beauty and this shouldn't be taken away until all other options have been used.	The brownfield sites in Birmingham should be used before destroying the grenn belt areas All empty homes should be used as part of the amount needed before building more and destorying the area The current supply of 45,000 properties should be delivered first. The brownfield sites outside of Birmingham should be identified and used first. Whilst the above is used for housing the green belt site can be evaluated properly with more facilities and infrastructure improved BEFORE the site is used ensuring the extra housing does not have a negative impact on residents that have lived in the area for a long period of time and worked hard to be able to afford to live in the area.	Objection to green belt development on environmental and transport grounds. Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S620					Object	I strongly object to the main modifications- PMM17 GA5 Langley urban extension and PMM18 GA6 Peddimore as un-met housing needs should not outweigh harm to the green belt (source NPPF). I believe that the green belt land still included in this plan should be removed and not even be considered for development until all surplus brownfield and public sector land has been identified and exhausted. This land is Grade3 agricultural land. These proposals will remove this last remaining productive land in Birmingham which will have a huge negative impact. How can Birmingham City Council say that it believes in the Green infrastructure that this development will bring. Green Infrastructure is about food production, better air quality, healthy soil, clean water. Improved quality of life through recreation in and around cities. This development goes completely against this belief by proposing to build on this land. The negative impact of this development to Walmley and the surrounding area will be substantial. When the previous green belt in this area was built on 20 years ago including Newhall Valley, the Oak and Ash Estate, Signal Hayes, no extra infrastructure was included, so how is it expected to cope with a further 6,000 new dwellings. We have a doctors surgery where it is impossible to get an appointment. Schools that are over subscribed and Hospitals spread over 3 centers of excellence which means travelling between Sutton, Solihull and Small Heath. Only adding to the congestion of traffic that the area is already choked with all day and during rush hour is at a standstill. The amount of CO2 emissions is also a major concern especially for anyone trying to walk children to school or visit the doctors surgery or just walk as a family, this will only get worse with the extra traffic problems that this new development will inflict on an already overstretched area. Walmley itself has very few amenities. It has no High street, very limited parking facilities, no community centre, no youth club and a Doctors surgery struggling to cope with increasing patient numbers. Without significant improvements in infrastructure surely this is not the right area for a development of this size.	That the Green Belt should be removed from the plan and all Brownfield sites outside Birmingham's boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension.	Objection to green belt development on environmental, transport and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites and garden cities should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S629					Object	PMM 17, PMM18 I object to this policy remaining in the plan. Any development can only be described as "in appropriate" in Sutton Coldfield due to the finite nature of the Green Belt Land and that we only 4,150 hectares remaining. Every-time this local authority has created a local plan Green Belt has been released despite planning policy being very clear that green belt is there to prevent urban sprawl. This plan actively promotes urban sprawl. Planning guidance is very clear "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt". The Green Belt should be removed from Birmingham City Council's plan and only included when: - All brownfield sites within the city have been identified and used. - All empty homes are exhausted as per Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building. - All surplus brownfield public sector land has been exhausted. - The true picture of housing need is realised before any green belt is released. - The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings has been delivered and only when an Inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted following interim review. - All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham's boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension or new settlement. - Garden Cities considered which will cater for long term population growth not just within this local plan period. -The HS2 Washwood Heath industrial site should be considered first prior to releasing land in Peddimore.	Remove green belt from the plan.	Objection to green belt development on environmental grounds. Brownfield sites and garden cities should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S631					Object	PMM 17, PMM18 I object to this policy remaining in the plan. Any development can only be described as "in appropriate "in Sutton Coldfield due to the finite nature of the Green Belt Land and that we only 4,150 hectares remaining. Every-time this local authority has created a local plan Green Belt has been released despite planning policy being very clear that green belt is there to prevent urban sprawl. This plan actively promotes urban sprawl. Planning guidance is very clear "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt ". The Green Belt should be removed from Birmingham City Council s the plan and only included when: - All brownfield sites within the city have been identified and used. - All empty homes are exhausted as per Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building. - All surplus brownfield public sector land has been exhausted. - The true picture of housing need is realised before any green belt is released. - The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings has been delivered and only when an - Inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted following interim review. - All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham s boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension or new settlement. - Garden Cities considered which will cater for long term population growth not just within this local plan period. - The HS2 Washwood Heath industrial site should be considered first prior to releasing land in Peddimore.	- Remove green belt from the plan.	Objection to green belt development on environmental and infrastructure grounds and because the proposal is considered to be contrary to national policy . Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S634					Object	Re: PMM 17, PMM18 I object to this policy remaining in the plan. Any development can only be described as "in appropriate "in Sutton Coldfield due to the finite nature of the Green Belt Land and that we only 4,150 hectares remaining. Every-time this local authority has created a local plan Green Belt has been released despite planning policy being very clear that green belt is there to prevent urban sprawl. This plan actively promotes urban sprawl. Planning guidance is very clear "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt ". The Green Belt should be removed from Birmingham City Council s the plan and only included when: - All brownfield sites within the city have been identified and used. - All empty homes are exhausted as per Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building. - All surplus brownfield public sector land has been exhausted. - The true picture of housing need is realised before any green belt is released. - The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings has been delivered and only when an i nspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted following interim review. - All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham s boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension or new settlement. - Garden Cities considered which will cater for long term population growth not just within this local plan period. -The HS2 Washwood Heath industrial site should be considered first prior to releasing land in Peddimore - The 'urban splash' (old Cincinnatti works) should be considered as it has stood empty for many years without development / use.	Green belt land should be removed from the plan. Unused brownfield sites and houses stand empty in Birmingham and need to be fully utilised and renovated prior to any use of green belt land.	Objection to green belt development on environmental grounds. Brownfield sites and garden cities should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S635	Ms Patricia Dray	NDD midlands Asset Development Team Highways Agency			Support	See attached.		Consider the Plan to be sound	Noted. No change required	http://birmingham.obbactive.co.uk/file/3606367

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S636					Object	I object to PMM18 GA6 Peddimore and PMM17 I object to building on the greenbelt because of the completely negative impact it has on both the enviroment and our health, I can see no positive reasons for building here. I myself live in Minworth and the increase in traffic and polution would severly effect us as residents. How can the council justify building 6000 more homes with the doctors and schools already being pushed at full capacity. I dont think all empty homes have been exhausted as stated they should be per the Government policy on house building 'Policy May 2010-2015' I do not feel that this plan has been thought out enough and the local residents have not been included enough with many not knowing about these plans until the last meeting was held. Residents in Minworth don't recieve any local Sutton Coldfield paper and so won't know about these plans but will be seriously effected by them.	The green belt should be removed from the plan and ALL brownfield sites should be used instead, as well as surplus public land outside Birmingham's bounderies. A true picture of housing in this area should be carried out and how it will effect residents already living here.	Objection to green belt development on environmental and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S637					Object	I am objecting to PMM17 PMM18 as I believe the Green Belt is important and it's erosion will have a considerable effect on the enviroment. I believe that it is easier & more economical to develop green fields but once the green belt is reduced it can never be replaced. I feel The development will adversely effect an area that has already been developed without sufficient improvement to the infrastructure & services and the adverse effects of this development will significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt"Source:NPPF.. The green belt should be removed from the plan until all other alternatives have identified and used. The infrastructure cannot cope with extra houses that have already been squeezed into existing spaces never mind the proposed 6,000 new homes. Twenty years ago I naively welcomed the new developments in the Walmley area believing that they would bring better facilities to the area but the opposite has been the case. Doctors & Dentists are already oversubscribed and unless treated as an emergency appointments have to be made weeks in advance. Good Hope hospital have stated that they cannot cope with an extra 6,000 homes and patients are already encouraged to go to other sites for treatment such as Heartlands & the Queen Elizabeth Hospital involving journeys on severely congested roads adding again to pollution . Schools too are oversubscribed and those proposed in the plans will not be available soon enough to alleaviate the situation .Parking in Walmley and adjacent shopping areas is a problem especially for the disabled. Traffic problems in the area are already considerable especially during termtime & rush hour unfortunately most surveys seem to be planned for school holidays & so do not reflect the true problem. I understand that the emissions on Kingsbury road already contravine levels set by the EU. How can parents be encouraged to walk to school with their children when pollution is being added to all the time. An attempt to get to Good Hope hospital a distance at the time of	Development of Green Belt land should not be considered until all other options have been exhausted. All empty homes should be identified & filled as per"Policy May2010-2015government policy:house building". All brownfield sites should be identified & exhausted. The large site at HS2 Washwood Heath which is already available should be used first before releasing the Green belt in Peddimore. All brownfield sites outside the city boundaries should be identified & considered whether more suitable for a sustainable urban extension. A clearer picture of true housing needs should be investigated and consider instead a garden city somewhere better served by rail links and uncongested roads, Many homes in the area remain unaware of the situation as they do not receive the local newspaper & have not been included in the homes that have recieved information through post or letter box. Some of the information has implied that the decision has already been made and I should like to see the consultation period extended. Arranging consultations during holiday periods also makes it difficult for people to attend	Objection to green belt development on environmental, transport and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites and garden cities should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link	
								about 2.5miles had to be abandoned when the first half mile took over 30minutes as it was during the school collection part of the afternoon and Walmley Ash & Walmley road were gridlocked. How can BCC claim that the development will benefit the green infrastructure when this is about food production, air quality, clean water & healthy soils when they plan to remove some of the few remaining agricultural fields & at the same time add to the emissions & pressure on services. The water treatment plant in minworth is already struggling to cope with the existing homes. Has the planned development at Peddimore been properly investigated as there are still empty units on the Minworth trading estate surely it cannot take place until companies clearly intend to come to the area. The traffic network that is supposed to make it a suitable site is actually a disaster at many times of day. It takes some landrover workers up to 45minutes just to leave their works car park because of the congestion on the roads. Can Birmingham City Council be considered impartial enough to make decisions for all their residents when they stand to gain financially by first selling the ground on which much of the development may take place & then benefiting from increased revenue from rates from the new homes & businesses. Surely this is a conflict of interest.					
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S646					Object	PMM 17, PMM18 I object to this policy remaining in the plan. Any development can only be described as "inappropriate" in Sutton Coldfield due to the finite nature of the Green Belt Land and that we only 4,150 hectares remaining. Every-time this local authority has created a local plan Green Belt has been released despite planning policy being very clear that green belt is there to prevent urban sprawl. This plan actively promotes urban sprawl. Planning guidance is very clear "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt". The Green Belt should be removed from Birmingham City Council's plan and only included when: - All brownfield sites within the city have been identified and used. - All empty homes are exhausted as per Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: housebuilding. - All surplus brownfield public sector land has been exhausted. - The true picture of housing need is realised before any green belt is released. - The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings has been delivered and only when an - Inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted following interim review. - All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham's boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension or new settlement. Garden Cities considered which will cater for long term population growth not just within this local plan period. - The HS2 Washwood Heath industrial site should be considered first prior to releasing land in Peddimore.	Remove green belt from the plan	Objection to green belt development on environmental and infrastructure grounds and because the proposal is considered to be contrary to national policy. Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.		
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMOD S686	Mr Julian Austin	Consultant Town Planner AMEC Environment & Infrastructure UK Limited on behalf of National Grid			Support	See attached.		No Comment	Noted. No change required	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607475	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S764					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Objection to green belt development on environmental and infrastructure grounds and because the proposal is considered to be contrary to national policy . Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612077
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S766					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Objection to green belt development on environmental grounds. Brownfield sites and garden cities should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612085
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S768					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Objection to green belt development on environmental and infrastructure grounds and because the proposal is considered to be contrary to national policy . Brownfield sites should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612089
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S808	Ms Dawn Williams	Severn Trent Water Ltd			Support	See attached.		Notes that STWA are in talks with the Council re drainage issues.	Noted. No change required	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614211
Introduction	PMM01	BDPMD S2518					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681103
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMD S1024	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Notes that the Proposed Modifications increase the housing pressures on authorities outside Birmingham and argues that there should be a commitment to a review of the BDP to be completed by 2026	Noted. The PPG indicates that normally plans should be reviewed every five years, and the Monitoring section sets triggers for an earlier review. No change required	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626435
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMD S1055	Mr M Neachell	c.oJVH Town Planning Consultants Ltd			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers that additional land should be provided to help meet Birmingham's housing shortfall in Area A.	This comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage and during the examination hearings with no additional evidence provided. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627269
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMD S1084					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Expresses concern that the policy does not result in all Birmingham's OAHN being met within the city boundary, queries the 89,000 figure in relation to DCLG forecasts and suggests detailed changes to the wording to reflect the potential for further development in Birmingham through an early review of the plan	This difference in the numbers is accounted for by the inclusion of a vacancy allowance in the 89,000 figure. The PPG indicates that normally plans should be reviewed every five years and the Monitoring section sets triggers for an earlier review. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627878

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S1092	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers that the policy provides insufficient certainty regarding the distribution and delivery of development requirements that cannot be met within Birmingham	This is not accepted. These issues have already been discussed at the examination and a way forward has been agreed. Progress is being made on delivering this. No further change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628007
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S1108	Mr W Carthy	Director of Estates Aston University			Support	See attached.		Support	Noted. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628040
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S1208	Sue Green	Home Builders Federation		Associate RPS Planning & Development		See attached.	See attached.	Considers the OAHN of 89,000 to be pessimistic for various reasons	This issue has been extensively debated at the examination hearings and through subsequent exchanges, and the Council considers that the 89,000 figure is soundly based. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629992
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S1232		Richborough Estates Ltd C/O Turley	Mike Best	Turley	Object	See attached.	See attached.	Notes that the increase in the OAHN by 5,000 has not been matched by any increase in the number of dwellings to be delivered in Birmingham. Considers that the policy is inadequate to ensure that the shortfall will be delivered and that Memoranda of Understanding should be agreed with neighbouring authorities to specify how this will be addressed.	This is not accepted. These issues have already been discussed at the examination and a way forward has been agreed. Progress is being made on delivering this. No further change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630136
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S124	Ms Gail John	Rider Levett Bucknall			Object	The proposed employment site at Peddimore would overwhelm the residential area with distribution parks. Currently situated at Minworth is a Prologis distribution park (over £1m sqft I believe). The area is overwhelmed with thundering juggernauts currently. An example of this is on 22nd September 2015 I was travelling home from international train station, lorries and juggernauts leave the M42 at Dutton Island and thunder down Kingsbury Road towards Minworth Prologis and Minworth Distribution Parks. On this evening there was a tailback from The White Horse, Kingsbury Road until Minworth Island Asda at 7.20pm!!! This is usual at rush hour every day (i.e. 7am-9am and 4pm-6.30pm), as I usually don't travel at this time of evening it is worrying to see a traffic jam of this nature. Currently many foreign lorries are parking overnight at Wickes, Asda Minworth on dual carriageways around Minworth Distribution parks - all within five minutes walk of residential areas of Minworth/Walmley. It is impossible for the current road network to support another industrial/distribution park of this nature in this area. There is a single road network (Kingsbury Road) toward Dutton Island and the motorway network of M42 and M6 and this will not sustain the increased traffic flow. Residents quality of life will certainly deteriorate with extra noise, extra traffic. I find that 3 days out of 5 I am unable to get off my housing estate onto Webster Way at 8am in the morning due to the traffic heading towards the main minworth island. No amount of new roads will accommodate any increase in traffic as there is only one road onto Dutton Island the motorway network and only one road into Birmingham City Centre (Tyburn Road).	Housing and employment facilities need to evenly distributed throughout the regions. It would be beneficial to create a new town such as Tamworth was created many years ago. This would enable to road network to be created which would be sustainable together with employment that would not impact on the current residential dwellings. Master plans that create better places to live and not impact on the current places to live negatively.	Objection to the Peddimore proposal because of its impact on transport and quality of life. A new town should be considered.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No further change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S17					Object	The infrastructure in Sutton Coldfield where 6000 homes are proposed to be built is not there. Sutton roads are already tremendously busy and no amount of "infrastructure" for transport in Sutton Coldfield if these houses were built would be enough. Public transport is tremendously varied depending on where you live. there are no train services near the proposed developments in Sutton Coldfield. Sutton Coldfield only has one hospital, Good Hope Hospital and the A & E Dept and the rest of the services there are already full. The hospital has been put into special measures many times in the last number of decades. If residents were consulted the general consensus would be that Good Hope Hospital is struggling to cope with the amount of people it sees through A & E and in patient services right now. Unless a new hospital is built as a result of the proposed new development Sutton residents will suffer from a hospital which cannot cope with the amount of people it has to see. The development plan talks of new secondary and primary schools. Where will these be built? Will more green belt land be used? Has the council considered brownfield development for schools at all? Sutton schools, be they primary or secondary, are already very over subscribed with many students coming from outside Sutton, thereby causing admission problems for students who live in the locality. Will the council allow Sutton only students to apply for these school places. Sutton GP practices are vastly over subscribed. It takes weeks to see your GP. This will only get worse with 6000 homes bringing in at least 24000 new people into Sutton.	Birmingham City Council should stop development plans for housing in Sutton Coldfield. Birmingham City Council should be made by the Inspectorate to consider brownfield sites only for housing development. Currently there are approx 9000 empty houses in the Birmingham locality. these should be used to provide accomodation. Birmingham City Council leadership should engage with central government on the subject of immigration to state that such large numbers of people coming to the UK are putting a strain on the services in Birmingham and causing supposedly protected green belt to be used for housing.	Objection to green belt development in Sutton Coldfield on environmental, transport and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites and garden cities should be preferred.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No further change required.	
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S237					Object	Despite a slight reduction in the number of overall dwellings being proposed " which is welcomed - I remain totally unconvinced with the arguments being put forward by the Council to support plans for the devastation of local green belt within the Langley (Walmley) development zone. I have been a resident here for 23 years and over that time the pressure on the infrastructure - particularly the roads, schools, medical and dental facilities - has become increasingly worse. This situation became worse still with the new homes which were built on the site of the old barracks and this latest proposal is likely to completely tip things over the edge. Thankfully our children are no longer at state schools in the area however, when they were we were not able to get them into the schools we originally wanted as a result of the influx of new families into the area. This pressure will increase even further with the latest plans, forcing people to drive their children even greater distances in order gain admission to schools. Doesn t this defeat the Governments plans to get more people to stop using cars and walk to work/school or use public transport? In general the roads have become steadily more congested " particularly in the Walmley and Sutton Coldfield districts - and in many areas continue to remain in a very poor state of repair. The prospect of even more vehicles coming into this relatively small area makes me wonder how road maintenance can be adequately managed? It is all well and good to say that more roads will be constructed to support these new developments however, as evidenced only too well by increases in capacity to roads such as the M6 and the M25 in the south, extra capacity is quickly taken up. What happens then? Continue to carve up even more of the precious green belt surrounding Birmingham? Ultimately, it would seem the easy option has been taken to eradicate green belt to accommodate these houses when there remains many un-used sites elsewhere " in Birmingham, Walsall, Wolverhampton to name a few - which currently have derelict	Remove the development of Green Belt areas from the plan	Objection to green belt development on transport, infrastructure and environmental grounds : brownfield sites are considered preferable.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								factories etc which could be used to accommodate some of this development and bring major benefits to the local population by way of affordable properties and employment opportunities. In addition, these areas are already supported by adequate transport services and excellent shopping facilities, schools, hospitals and doctors etc. The open country side around Sutton Coldfield is a 'Jewel in the Crown' and as such should be treasured, safeguarded and protected for future generations to come. Once it has gone it has gone forever and I personally would not wish to be associated with any plans or proposals which are prepared and willing to make such an irresponsible sacrifice which would be detrimental to everyone " with the exception of course of the property developers who presumably live elsewhere!				
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S305					Object	I object to the proposed plans for a vastly increased amount of housing and industry in and already overcrowded and over industrialised Birmingham area. We should be preserving our Green Belt for future generations not covering it in concrete.	Do not build on the Green Belt.	Proposals for CIL/S106 considered to be confusing.	This is not accepted. No change required.	
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S308					Object	We should not be building on Green Belt land when there are so many brown field sites that could be explored for development. Even then, I would say that Birmingham is grinding to a halt in terms of transport, infrastructure, schools etc. The development of a complete New Town would seem to be a better option.	Explore Brown Field Site options more fully, and also the building of a New Town. Query these statistics of population growth.	In relation to housing, considers that the wording is too vague, and that there are no deadlines in place for the review of plans in neighbouring areas.		
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S373					Object	"Unmet housing need (including for traveller sites) is unlikely to outweigh the harm to the Green Belt "National Planning Policy Framework. BRIEFING PAPER Number 00934, 30 June 2015 Green Belt 2.1 Green belt in planning practice guidance In March 2014 the Government published new web-based Planning Practice Guidance to accompany and give further detail about the policies in the NPPF. This guidance sets out that unmet housing need in a particular area is unlikely to meet the "very special circumstances "test to justify green belt development: Unmet housing need (including for traveller sites) is unlikely to outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and other harm to constitute the "very special circumstances "justifying inappropriate development on a site within the Green Belt. Building on the Green Belt should only be considered if there are very special circumstances . The stated housing need in Birmingham of 89,000 houses in the next 16 years ignores the changing demographics, economic development and population movement creating opportunities for Duty to Cooperate created by the West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA). Birmingham has the capacity to build 45,000 houses on existing brown field sites. This leaves a short fall of 44,000 houses required over 16 years. I understand that Birmingham City Council has a requirement to maximise the number of homes it can build within its boundaries before it can approach other surrounding councils to take their allocation. Building 5,000 houses on the green belt will reduce the deficit of house supply to 39,000 effectively leaving 44% of the total housing requirement of 89,000 unmet. Building 5,000 houses on the green belt will only meet 5.6% of the housing need. It has already been accepted that areas A and B of Sutton Coldfield designated green belt cannot be used for development but area C and D (reduced area) are at risk. Essentially there has been selection of the green belt to meet 5.6% of the housing needs whereas it can be argued that all of Birmingham green belt should	The release of the Green Belt is a minor contribution to the housing shortage and is not targeted at the socio economic or geographic needs of Birmingham. There should be hold on building on the Greenbelt until all the opportunities of the proposed West Midland Combined Authority are explored.	Objection to green belt development for housing on the grounds that very special circumstances have not been demonstrated.	Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								<p>be used to maximise housing needs before approaching surrounding councils i.e. duty to cooperate. Therefore it can be clearly seen that the proposal to meet 5.6% of the projected housing need by building on a part of the Green Belt is not within government policy as it does not constitute maximising the land available within Birmingham. In being selective in the amount of Green Belt suggested for development Birmingham does not regard the maximising the usage of the Green Belt as critical to meeting its housing demand of 89,000 homes. My argument is that BCC s proposal to select a part of the Green Belt to only deliver 5.6% of housing needs leaving an unmet need of 44% does not constitute a significant percentage of housing. Hence because the building of 5,000 units on the Green Belt would not make a significant impact on the stated housing need of 89,000 units it is not critical to delivering the housing demand. Therefore because it is not a critical element it is not critical to build on the Green Belt and cannot be regarded as "Very Special Circumstances ". The summary of my comment is that building 5000 houses on the Green Belt is not a significant contribution to the housing need and therefore does not constitute "very special circumstances "and should be removed from Birmingham s Development Plan 2031.</p> <p>on the Green Belt is not a significant contribution to the housing need and therefore does not constitute "very special circumstances "and should be removed from Birmingham s Development Plan 2031.</p>				
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S496					Object	<p>Whilst I would welcome the reduction in the size of the the proposed employment area in Peddimore this change in the text is not supported by additional diagrammatic information to illustrate, and provide a definitive record of, the actual proposed boundary. What has led to the reduction? Is this merely set aside, to be released with the next tranche of Green Belt when the council next updates its plan, or is this to have some protection? This needs to be made explicit.</p>	<p>Retain Green Belt land as Green Belt. If any change is to be made in the proposed size of the Pedimore development it should be clear why this area has been reduced - if this has been done to try to reserve some degree of land in Green Belt then this should be explicitly stated for future reference. Provide a plan of the Peddimore site with the old and new boundaries presented.</p>	<p>SPDs should not add to the financial burden of development</p>	<p>The purpose of this SPD is to provide additional advice on the application of the policy. No change required</p>	
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S570					Object	<p>The planned development in Sutton Coldfield should not take place as; Every time the local authority has created a local plan Green Belt has been released despite planning policy being very clear that green belt is there to prevent urban sprawl. This plan actively promotes urban sprawl. Planning guidance is very clear "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt ". The Green Belt should be removed from Birmingham City Council s plan. Surely all the brownfield sites and empty houses must be exhausted first.</p>	<p>The Green Belt should definately be removed from Birmingham City Council s plan.</p>	<p>Objection to green belt development for housing on the grounds that it is contrary to national planning advice</p>	<p>Comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.</p>	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S583	Mr Jon Flowith, Gary Cardin (Agent)	Client Jon Flowith & Partners	Mr Gary Cardin		Object	The modifications still make no proper assessment of small scale sites that can and will contribute to housing growth. There are locations in the Green Belt which can and should play a role in meeting housing need which should not be artificially constrained by the City's assessment to only look at sites ranging from 500 to 3000 dwellings. The Plan can not therefore be positively prepared or justified in the context of consideration of alternative sites. In reviewing sites for 500 dwellings or more the Green Belt will be altered to accommodate such growth but the plan fails to consider all options for growth and amend the Green belt boundary in key locations to ensure that it can endure beyond the plan period without further alteration in accordance with the NPPF. The delivery of housing across the Plan period needs a balanced portfolio of sites both large scale and small to ensure the appropriate delivery of housing on a continual basis especially where such smaller sites can demonstrate sustainable credentials such as the land at Hillwood Road Mere Green Sutton Coldfield. All details supporting such an allocation have continually been supplied throughout the Birmingham Development Plan process.	Allocate additional land for housing in Area A1 that can meet housing growth on a scale appropriate to the area with direct access to existing facilities and services at Mere Green.	Considers that full consideration has not been given to the potential contribution of smaller scale sites to meeting housing need and that all development options have not been considered. Additional land should be allocated in Area A.	Comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. It is considered that all reasonable options have been considered. The Council's SHLAA identifies a large number of small sites. No change required.	
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S613		Project Fields			Object	Please clarify the difference between the figures in PMM2 and PMM3. One figure is stated as 86,000 and 89,000 for number of households.	Please clarify the difference between the figures in PMM2 and PMM3. One figure is stated as 86,000 and 89,000 for number of households.	Seeks clarification of the housing requirement	This difference is accounted for by the inclusion of a vacancy allowance in the 89,000 figure.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/creation/download/3604985
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S689	Emily Barker	Worcestershire County Council			Support	See attached.		Welcomes continued engagement in relation to infrastructure delivery to meet the OAHN outside the city boundary	Noted. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607607
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S750	Mr Steve Maxey	Assistant Chief Executive North Warwickshire Borough Council			Support	See attached.		Supports retention of green belt east of Peddimore	Noted. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610882
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S760		Prologis C/O Savills	Mr Michael Davies	Director - Planning Savills	Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers that the policy still fails to provide an adequate supply of employment land.	This comment does not relate to the modification and repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage and during the examination hearings. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3611667
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S761		c/o RPS (Sutton Coldfield Charitable Trust & Bishop Vesey Grammar School	Mr Tim Watton	Associate RPS Planning & Development	Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers the 89,000 figure to be too low because the UPC has been ignored and because of the headship rate assumptions	This is not accepted. These issues have already been discussed at length. No further change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612064
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S829	Mr Neil Nickolds	Campaign Manager Sutton Coldfield Rural			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers that a decision on green belt development in Birmingham should be delayed until wider green belt options are considered through the GBSLEP work	Comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. NPPF requires the Council to seek to meet its OAHN as far as possible. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614497

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S1223		Consortium of West Midlands Developers and Land Owners	Dan Hatcher, Barton Willmore	Barton Willmore	Object	See attached.		Considers the OAHN to be too low for a number of reasons, both demographic and market-based and suggests alternative figures of 108,610 and 99,940.	The OAHN has been extensively discussed during the examination hearings and subsequently and the Council considers that it is based on robust evidence. No change required	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630052 http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630070
Planning for Growth	PMM02	BDPMOD S1126		English Land Ltd	Cerda Planning		Object	See attached.		Considers the OAHN of 89,000 to be conservative and argues that additional sites should be identified and a specific site is promoted.	The OAHN has been extensively discussed during the examination hearings and subsequently and the Council considers that it is based on robust evidence. The site proposed is not within the green belt and is not of strategic size. There is no reason why its merits cannot be considered through the SHLAA process. No change required	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628389
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S1026	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Notes that the Proposed Modifications increase the housing pressures on authorities outside Birmingham and argues that there should be a commitment to a review of the BDP to be completed by 2026	Noted. The PPG indicates that normally plans should be reviewed every five years, and the Monitoring section sets triggers for an earlier review. No change required	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626435
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S1045	Mark Sullivan	CPRE Warwickshire			Object	See attached.	See attached.	The viability of extra-care schemes should be tested.	The policy allows for viability to be taken into account in individual cases. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626631
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S1056	Mr M Neachell	c.o VH Town Planning Consultants Ltd			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Questions the mechanism for agreeing the distribution and delivery of Birmingham's housing shortfall.	The approach set out in the modification is considered to be realistic and deliverable. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627269
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S1095	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Object	See attached.	See attached.	The Reasoned Justification should be revised to reflect the respondent's comments on PMM2 (BDPMODS1092)	The comment on PMM2 is not accepted, so no change is required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628007
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S1157					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Suggests additional wording at the end of the Modification to refer to the full OAHN being met in the HMA in the plan period	It is considered that this is already clear in the policy. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629051
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S1209	Sue Green	Home Builders Federation		Home Builders Federation		See attached.	See attached.	Considers the OAHN of 89,000 to be pessimistic for various reasons	This issue has been extensively debated at the examination hearings and through subsequent exchanges, and the Council considers that the 89,000 figure is soundly based. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629992

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S1234		Richborough Estates Ltd C/O Turley	Mike Best	Turley	Object	See attached.	See attached.	Notes that the increase in the OAHN by 5,000 has not been matched by any increase in the number of dwellings to be delivered in Birmingham. Considers that the policy is inadequate to ensure that the shortfall will be delivered and that Memoranda of Understanding should be agreed with neighbouring authorities to specify how this will be addressed.	This is not accepted. These issues have already been discussed at the examination and a way forward has been agreed. Progress is being made on delivering this. No further change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630136
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S125	Ms Gail John	Rider Levett Bucknall			Support	I support that the Council should look at all feasible areas/alternatives to spread the housing and employment proposals and this should not be concentrated within one area such as Walmley Sutton Coldfield.		Support	Noted. No change required.	
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S23	Mr Simon Hawley	Associate Harris Lamb Property Consultancy			Object	Bloor Homes are part of a consortium of developers that have instructed Barton Willmore to review the Council's proposed objective assessment of housing need. HLPC do not, therefore, comment on the proposed objectively assessment of housing need figure included within the Plan. It is, however, our view that the Plan should be amended to clearly state what the objectively assessed housing need figure for Birmingham is. This will assist in monitoring the progress that is being made towards meeting Birmingham City's objectively assessed housing needs by the City Council and the neighbouring authorities that will be required to accommodate development to meet City's growth requirement.		Considers that the Plan should state clearly what the OAHN is.	This is clearly stated in PMM2. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3561505
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S497					Object	The revised wording of Para 4.4.demonstrates the confusing nature of some of the data presented in the plan. The paragraph is stating how much the population is to increase by 2031, but this does not actually state the base year that this is taken from. The original paragraph was based on 2010 ONS data suggesting this was an increase relative to 2010. The revised paragraph is now based on 2012 ONS data suggesting this is an increase relative to 2012. On these assumptions it implies an extra 6,000 population but over two less year i.e. a higher annual growth. But perhaps this is against the same base year, or against the year the document is published (so the latest growth would be relative to 2015).	The text should be amended to give the correct baseline so that the data can actually be properly considered.	Should be consistent with Ministerial Statements and the Productivity Plan.	The Council considers that this is the case. No change required	
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S56	Dr Peter King	Chairman Campaign to Protect Rural England			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Does not object to the trajectory but raises various detailed points re sites included within the supply.	Account has been taken of these points. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3576447
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S61	Ms Rebecca Brown	Planning Policy Manager Wyre Forest District Council			Support	See attached.		Support	Noted. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3577632

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S612		Project Fields			Object	Please clarify the difference between the figures in PMM2 and PMM3. One figure is stated as 86,000 and 89,000 for number of households. We welcome the amendment paragraph 4.7 but we object on the basis that there needs to be: - Commitment to identify exactly where this shortfall can be met and define where the objectively assessed need actually is. The city council need to broaden their approach. That until this is done the principal of the 6,000 SUE and Peddimore removed from the plan as it is not justified. It is also not justified as it is not deliverable in plan period. See attached. minutes which confirm the intention that only 3,000 are deliverable. - We propose that the plan be modified so that reasonable alternatives outside of the city boundaries are taken into consideration. Our argument remains that whether we have a 50,000 housing shortage, 80,000 or 115,000 we have little open space remaining and the debate we should really be having is how to tackle this long term problem not just a short term filling in gaps plan.	Please clarify the difference between the figures in PMM2 and PMM3. One figure is stated as 86,000 and the other 89,000 as the number of households. Commitment to identify exactly where this shortfall can be met and define where the objectively assessed need actually is and to justify the removal of green belt for development.	Seeks clarification of the difference between the 86,000 and 89,000 figures, and opposes green belt development in Birmingham until a decision is made on the distribution of Birmingham's housing shortfall	The difference between the figures is the result of the inclusion of a vacancy allowance in the OAHN of 89,000. The need for green belt development in Birmingham was discussed at length during the examination. No further change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3604053
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S830	Mr Neil Nickolds	Campaign Manager Sutton Coldfield Rural			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers that a decision on green belt development in Birmingham should be delayed until wider green belt options are considered through the GBSLEP work	Comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. NPPF requires the Council to seek to meet its OAHN as far as possible. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614497
Planning for Growth	PMM03	BDPMOD S90	MRS N BAILEY	PARISH CLERK Frankley Parish Council			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Questions the accuracy of the projections and opposes green belt development on the grounds that it may not be necessary	These issues were discussed at length during the examination hearings. The projections used are the most recently available and the case for green belt development has been demonstrated. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3578553
Planning for Growth	PMM04	BDPMOD S1028	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Support	See attached.		Support	Noted. No comment.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626435
Planning for Growth	PMM04	BDPMOD S126	Ms Gail John	Rider Levett Bucknall			Support	Agree that existing brownfield sites should be reviewed and all existing housing stock which is currently not being used.		Support	Noted. No comment.	
Planning for Growth	PMM04	BDPMOD S340					Object	The Population Projection of 156,000 is wrong hence Housing Projection of 89,000 by 2031 is wrong. ONS shows increase from 2012 to 2013 to be 144,000 and 2015 to 2031 to be 120,000. This confusion in the population figure directly affects the suggested housing shortage of 89,000 homes.	An independant evaluation of the projected housing requirement for Birmingham	Considers that the population is wrong because it differs from the ONS figure for 2012 - 2031.	This is because the figure used in the Plan is for the plan period, which is 2011 - 2031	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/creation/download/3599577
Planning for Growth	PMM04	BDPMOD S498					Object	I object to the clear reduction in the standards to be expected by the council. To change from "designed to the highest possible standard" to "demonstrate high design quality, contributing to a strong sense of place" is inadequate. The justification for this is "For clarity and to maximise the efficient use of land through development." indicating that there is a preference for putting as many houses on a piece of land as possible rather than requiring high quality design.	The council should retain the original text "designed to the highest possible standard". Possibly this should become "standards"(plural).	Does not object to the trajectory but raises various detailed points re sites included within the supply.	Account has been taken of these points. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Growth Areas	PMM05	BDPMOD S1096	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Support	See attached.		Support but suggests changes to plans 1 and 14 to reflect recent planning decisions.	The Council does not consider that the RIS boundary should be changed to reflect the College of Defence Medicine permission. Paln 14 already reflects the former Flightshed permission. It is accepted that a minor change could be made to Plan1 to reflect this, but this would in practice make little difference at the scale of this plan.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628007
Growth Areas	PMM05	BDPMOD S2					Object	It is very difficult to cross-reference the books that have arrived at the local library and the on-line version. Please put my comments in the appropriate place. I am looking at the book - b'ham development plan, pre-submission version, planning for sustainable growth, december 2013. In it in points 5.10 and 5.54 Sutton Coldfield is discussed. I reject that Sutton Coldfield Town Centre is both limited in retail offer and that significant growth is possible. It is a highly odd shopping centre in a valley, with steepish hills making it impractical to develop it further in a linear direction. Presumably, the growth mentioned would be to embrace the space at the side of the Gracechurch Centre next to the railway line. That is very limited space and will do little. Far better to develop flat spaced Erdington, which barely gets a mention in the City Plan, along with developing flat spaced Mere Green.	Change the emphasis in the Plan.	Considers that Erdington and Mere Green should be expanded rather than Sutton Coldfield.	The comment does not relate to the Modification, but opportunities for growth in Erdington and Mere Green are limited and the Sutton Town Centre Regeneration Framework shows how growth can be delivered in Sutton Town Centre. No change required.	
Growth Areas	PMM05	BDPMOD S499					Object	What is the point of including a diagram in a policy document if it does not form part of the policy. The plan should be robustly prepared and provide accurate information. If a diagram is merely seen as a "visual aid" what faith can be placed in it. This plan needs to set down facts which can be referred to and relied upon in the future.	If the digrams in the policy document are unable to meet this requirement then they should be improved such that they can be included.	Considers that the diagrams are pointless unless they form part of the policy.	The status of the plans is clearly explained. No change required.	
City Centre	PMM07	BDPMOD S351	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support	For Southern Gateway para text amendments		Support Southern Gateway modifications.	Support noted.	
City Centre	PMM08	BDPMOD S596	DR MIKE HODDER	Committee Member Council for British Archaeology West Midlands			Support	Welcome the use of the authentic name for the Giun Quarter		Supports use of Gun Quarter name.	Support noted.	
City Centre	PMM08	BDPMOD S599	DR MIKE HODDER	Committee Member Council for British Archaeology West Midlands			Support	Welcome update to authentic name of Gun Quarter		Supports use of Gun Quarter name.	Support noted.	
City Centre	PMM09	BDPMOD S1165	Mr Russell Butchers	Canal & River Trust			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Supports medications which reflect role of the canal network.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629258

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
City Centre	PMM10	BDPMOD S521					Object	I object to the following ... Add at the end of paragraph 5.31: "The Birmingham Curzon HS2 Masterplan has been prepared for the area around the proposed HS2 station and this will demonstrate how the benefits of this significant investment can be maximised. "If the Masterplan has been prepared (past tense) then how can it be said this will (future tense) demonstrate anything. Either it has demonstrated or it hasn't.	Rewrite this properly - if it is actually relevant.	Amendment to text required.	This is not considered to be necessary, but the Council would have no objection to a minor change to the tense as follows "...HS2 station and this demonstrates how the..."	
City Centre	PMM10	BDPMOD S522					Object	I object to the general approach that the council has taken to presenting these amendments. In numerous cases there are several individual changes made but these have been lumped together as one amendment for the purposes of the public responding. This should have been structured properly on an individual amendment by amendment basis.	Redo this process setting out individual amendment in its own right.	Objects to approach of presenting the modifications.	Noted.	
City Centre	PMM10	BDPMOD S1177	John Dring	Moseley Society			Object	See attached.		Support	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629656
Greater Icknield	PMM12	BDPMOD S1166	Mr Russell Butchers	Canal & River Trust			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Canals should not be seen solely as Green Infrastructure to ensure that their potential is fully unlocked and their use encouraged	Noted. The Greater Icknield Masterplan which is referenced in paragraph 5.39 provides further detailed guidance on unlocking the potential of the canals within the area and encouraging greater use of them.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629258
Greater Icknield	PMM12	BDPMOD S350	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support			Support proposed modification	Support noted	
Greater Icknield	PMM12	BDPMOD S6		Inland Waterways Association Bham and the Black Country			Support	IWA is pleased to note and support the various modifications relating to canals, and in particular: PMM12 - that the canals will be protected and enhanced as part of the the Green Infrastructure Network;		Support proposed modification	Support noted	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3541091
Greater Icknield	PMM12	BDPMOD S600	DR MIKE HODDER	Committee Member Council for British Archaeology West Midlands			Support	Support, but suggest that there should also be cross-reference to policy TP12 in relation to canals		Support proposed modification, but suggests similar link should be made to policy TP12	Agree on the basis that this is merely signposting existing policy elsewhere in the plan.	
Bordesely Park	PMM13	BDPMOD S1149					Object	See attached.		Concerned at the threat to Perry Barr Stadium. Suggests change to wording of para 5.47.	This issue was fully discussed during the examination hearings. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628986
Sutton Coldfield	PMM15	BDPMOD S595	Councillor Rob Pocock				Support	It is welcome to see this commitment retained!		Support.	Noted.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S109					Object	1) We would like more council refuge to pick up wood. I thought it would be for a big one bon-fire. 2) I think there are needing another extra bus between each main routes. 3) In Walmley is enough traffic into such a small viillage unless there made a wider road, otherwise there will be a few accidents. 4) We need to not fill up houses etc on our green land. THANK YOU!		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3580901
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S112					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3580776

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S127	Ms Gail John	Rider Levett Bucknall			Object	The area defined by A38 will not sustain 6000 new homes which will probably equate to 12000 cars i.e. 2 cars per household. There is no possible way that the current road network will accommodate this amount of traffic. Currently there is one road leading into Birmingham City Centre (i.e. Tyburn Road via Kingsbury Road) and the same into Sutton Town Centre. No amount of new roads will make any difference as all traffic will end up using the same route in Birmingham City Centre. I have travelled into the city centre for the last 30 years using this route and the traffic at peak times is appalling. When there is an incident on the M6 the traffic will leave the motorway at Salford Circus hoping to find a quick route south which blocks up the Tyburn and Kingsbury Road for hours adding to the misery of commuters heading into Birmingham City Centre or leaving the City Centre heading home to Sutton Coldfield and the surrounding areas. I currently live on the 'Oak and Ash' Estate in Walmley off Calder Drive. On Monday 21st September 2015 I was unable to exit Calder Drive onto Webster Way due to the traffic attempting to turn left at the roundabout onto the Walmley Ash Road heading towards Asda Minworth, M6 Toll and M42 this was at 7.25am. This occurred again on Tuesday 22nd September 2015. On Wednesday 23rd September I again couldn't turn onto Calder Drive to exit onto Webster Way to turn right onto Walmley Ash Road as the traffic on Calder Drive tailed back to the Calder Green Public House at 8.10am. This is not unusual I experience this traffic misery outside of my front door 3 days out of 5 Monday to Friday. The traffic is backed-up on Webster Way during weekend peak times i.e. Saturday. The current schools, doctors and other community facilities will not support another 6000 homes which ultimately will translate into an additional 18000 people or more. Walmley is currently an island surrounded by the motorway network and when problems occur on the motorways it hits commuters who live in Walmley to the point where I have been unable to pick my daughter up from after school club and relied on neighbours and relatives to try and attempt the journey closer to home. If the current plans go ahead I will certainly be putting my house on the market as the misery of trying to commute to work everyday in the city centre would be unbearable. Unfortunately public transport is not a viable option for me and the bus is only stuck in the same amount of traffic as I am in a car.	A new sustainable town should be created away from existing established areas. A good example of this is Tamworth which created new housing and employment opportunities outside of the existing town centre and is a great example of what works well.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S134					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3589740
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S146					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3590173
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S147					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3590182
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S149					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3590203
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S159					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3590328
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S160					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3590411

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S161					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590434
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S162					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590446
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S167					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590541
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S18					Object	The development plan does not set out what new traffic infrastructure would be put in place and what additional resources would be used by the council to deal with the already shocking state of Sutton roads and the amount of traffic on them. The development plan states that there will be health care facilities provided but does not state whether these will include a new hospital which is badly needed in Sutton as Good Hope is full to bursting. Services are already being removed from Good Hope to Heartlands Hospital and introducing approx 24000 new people into Sutton will only make the situation worse. The council has not states where the new primary schools or secondary schools will be situated. Will there be a further erosion of green belt land within Sutton to accomodate these.	Birmingham City Council should cease plans to build the 6000 homes and the economic development area. the council should investigate the use of brownfield sites within Birmingham to provide accomodation. In 2014 there were 9000 empty homes in the Birmingham area. The council should engage with central government on the issue of immigration as this is the reason for the increase in population in Birmingham. If the council put pressure on the central government to bring in tougher restrictions on immigration into the UK then the number of people forecasted to come to the UK would not be so large and the reason for building the houses would not be of such a concern.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S20					Object	1) Whilst there are 750,000 empty buildings throughout the United Kingdom (several of them in Sutton Coldfield) I cannot see the need, moral or political, to build new buildings on the Green Belt in either Sutton Coldfield or anywhere in the UK. However, I recognise that the Development Plan is pushing for more brownfield and derelict areas to be used within the "city walls". 2) If the City thinks the residents of the new build area on the north-east edge of Sutton Coldfield will look towards Birmingham for shopping, leisure, entertainment then I think this may not be the case. Residents will look to Tamworth, Lichfield, both within easy reach by car and public transport and much more scenic than travelling into Birmingham! 3) Only two weeks ago, it was announced that the United Kingdom is not able to feed itself without imports. Concreting over land which could be used for crops and livestock to keep the food production viable is environmentally questionable - some would say "insane". 4) No-one seems to want to grasp the nettle of overpopulation. We are a finite little set of islands. It will take a finer mind that a planner to deal with that question but it needs to be addressed. I believe, ethically and practically, development of new buildings on Green Belt is wrong.		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3561271

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S229					Object	It is clear from the modification document that legitimate and relevant objections have not been fully considered. The modifications to the plan do not in any way provide mitigation for the plan's impact on the local community and will create many problems. In particular, the connectivity of the plan is woefully inadequate. The plan in no way supports the growth envisaged by the plan and will add to the already excessive congestion in the Walmley area. The "sprint/rapid bus service" will not be adequate to deal with the considerable problems this plan will produce. The roads from Sutton Coldfield (especially Walmley) are constantly blocked with traffic from 6.30am - 8.30pm daily and you do not have a credible plan for this. Adding further "sprint" buses will just make further congestion a certainty. Trying to stop people using their cars is quite frankly a joke and additional buses and cycle lanes will not achieve this aim. Suggesting the use of the Walmley line to Birmingham train stations also fails to recognise the fact that Birmingham train stations are already overflowing.	There should not be building of these houses on the green belt alongside Walmley based on a supposition as to the likely number of people in the area in the future.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S234					Object	Sutton Coldfield is an expensive area to live in and this new development, if it goes ahead, will lead to expensive housing similar to that locally. This will lead to empty homes and will not solve the City's apparent forthcoming housing crisis. This hasn't been adequately thought through.	Not build the houses in this area.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S238					Object	6000 houses will bring a minimum of 9000 cars. The roads in this area are already slow and congested at peak times. I live in a house off Walmley Ash Road - at peak times it is already difficult to turn right towards the A38 - you have to rely on someone giving way. If this goes ahead it will be almost impossible to get out. What about the additional traffic to Asda and the A38? Has anyone actually looked at Webster Way and Walmley Ash during peak times? The roads round the development are are lanes and single carriage way with nothing in the plans to change this. How will they cope with this? What about traffic joining the A446 and trying to travel in the direction of the M42? The A446 is a busy and fast road. You will just get congestion back into the residential areas. BCC have been kind enough to admit there will be more noise and pollution so I don't need to comment on that. Public transport in this area is poor, There isn't a train station within walking distance. We have a bus service into Birmingham which is good but it ends at 6.30pm if you want to go into Birmingham for the evening. It does not run regularly enough for commuters during the day therefore most of the times the buses are almost empty. The services in Sutton are poor. We have no decent theatre and the shopping centre is appalling for an area like this. For shopping the only answer is Birmingham or Solihull. Walmley itself has a poor high street with limited parking. Houses have been built over the years with no additions for the community. There are no public leisure facilities or pools - you have to go across Sutton to Wyndley. There is nothing over this side of Sutton for young people - or older ones for that matter other. Building another 6000 homes without additional facilities is not the answer. The pressure on schools, doctors and Good Hope is bad enough now - what will happen when the houses start to be occupied and the trigger points for schools have not been reached? Certain treatments have already moved to Heartlands - again no public transport so yet more cars on the road. There isn't anything about this development that is sustainable. It is a quick, easy, money making fix for the council, landowners and developers	Do not build this development until this other more sustainable options have been explored. The plan should be looked at as a separate entity with full inclusion and communication with the local community	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S241					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3596192

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S257					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596514
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S26					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3561997
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S262					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3597053
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S1160					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Comment regarding removal of the word "highest" in terms of standards of sustainability and design. Reword policy to say "up to" 6,000 dwellings. Object to inclusion of SRINT/ rapid transit services. Object to inclusion of wording to bullet point 3 regarding linkages to existing green infrastructure. Object to new wording regarding minerals.	Comment regarding the removal of the word "highest" was previously made and discussed at the examination. No change is proposed. No objection to reinstating the word "approximately " to allow for a degree of flexibility. Do not agree with point made about removing wording on linkages to the existing green infrastructure. The policy is consistent with policy TP7 Green Infrastructure Network . SPRINT/Rapid Transit is important in promoting sustainable transport. Wording on minerals is required to ensure soil resources and minerals reserves are protected.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629051
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S1190	Malcolm Ratcliff	Mineral Products Association			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Welcomes the inclusion of minerals protection in the policy but considers the wording should be strengthened	The Council considers that the wording is sufficiently strong. Any further clarification could be provided through the SPD. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629833
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S27					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3563572
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S500					Object	The Council is now making a commitment to providing exactly 6,000 new home (by removing the conditional "approximately"). How this exact number of 6,000 is now being used needs to be explained further including a plan of these 6,000 homes. If this information is not available, how can there be any confidence that the council will be able to deliver on its commitment. If the intial release of housing is not correctly planned, then developers may end up squeezing large amounts of housing onto the remaining land, using the 6,000 objective as their justification.	How this exact number of 6,000 needs to be explained further including a plan of these 6,000 homes. Alternatively this should read "up to 6,000".	How this exact number of 6,000 needs to be explained further including a plan of these 6,000 homes. Alternatively this should read "up to 6,000".	Delivery of 6,000 homes will be addressed through the Langley SPD. The City Council will not replace the insertion of the words "up to". Do not have any objection to reinstating the word "approximately as per the original policy.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S501					Object	The change "A range of supporting facilities will be included as part of the development including two early years provision , new primary schools, a secondary school. health care facilities and local shops and services."is nonsensical. There was originally a commitment to two new primary schools, and instead this has changed to an unknown number of primary schools. By adding the requirement of "early years provision"the amendment has at the same time wiped out an important piece of information that defines what will be provided.	The council needs to revise the statement to clarify how many primary schools will be added.	The council needs to revise the statement to clarify how many primary schools will be added.	The policy reflects information from the City Council's Education Department. The number of schools built will depend on their size and how they are to be delivered.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S502					Object	The plan is to be amended in the Connectivity section as follows: "New and improved bus connections including Sprint /Rapid Transit services will be needed... Rapid Transit is commonly used to refer to rail based services (trams, metro etc). No such provision has been put forward. In order to clarify the what the "Sprint"service is it would be better to describe it as the addition of bus lanes. This not only accurately explains what will actually be implemented but also recognises the fact that this will be done at the expense of existing road capacity. This is all a pipe dream and empty words to try pretend that a massive housing development on the outskirts of Birmingham, on an already heavily congested network, will not have a severe impact on travel conditions. In reality the proposed new housing and employmet site will cause severe congestion and the proposed addition of a bus lane on the A38 will clearly make things even worse - no evidence as yet been presented by the city council that this will have a benefit to the city as a whole. This has merely been taken in isolation to influence the calculations presented about the number of car trips the new development will create. This is mererly a "fiddle factor"and not a practical measure.	Remove the term "Rapid Transit"and add "bus lane". Then remove the idea of a bus lane from the plan and do something sensible and actually justifiable (and prove it works).	Object to inclusion of SPRINT/ Rapid Transit.	Comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. The Council considers that the proposal is consistent with the NPPF. The Council is committed to the delivery of Sprint/Rapid Transit to this site and believes that it will make a significant contribution to meeting the transport needs of the site. No change required.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S504					Object	I object to the change that will add: "As the primary focus of the Langley SUE will be for family housing, this will include areas of residential development at densities averaging around 35dph-40dph. The key design principles of the Langley SUE will be managed through the Masterplan and SPD process."Why is the primary focus to be on family housing? Why are people such as those who are single, widowed, retired, childless or otherwise not considered as "familes"being discriminated against? If we are to suffer from Green Belt land being released then the density of housing should be driven by the need for good quality housing (e.g. low density, large green areas etc) and not dictated by some preference to bring in families. If early occupation turns out not to be primarily for families, this would allow developers an opportunity to ignore this density requirement on the basis that the primary focus for family housing would no longer be relevant. The idea that this development is to be primarily focussed on family housing is a significant change that should have been made clear in the original consultation or at the very least during the Public Examination. More information needs to be provided. The primary focus of the Langley development should be to make it Sustainable. Adding in a second criteria will compromise the original intention. Given this, the limited justification that the council has given for releasing the Green Belt allow "sustainable development" is even more questionable and hence it should be rejected and the land retained as Green Belt.	Remove this addition. Retain the protection of the Green Belt and have no housing. If housing is to be inflicted upon us then replace this with a requirement for low density housing on the basis of overall good quality, not tie it to supposed family housing. Require the city council to redo all traffic assessments reflecting the higher degree of traffic generated by a more family-centric development.	Disagree with focus on family housing and want lower density housing.	There is evidence for the need for family housing in the SHMA.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S505					Object	The statement: "As the primary focus of the Langley SUE will be for family housing, this will include areas of residential development at densities averaging around 35dph-40dph. The key design principles of the Langley SUE will be managed through the Masterplan and SPD process."is unsupportable. The limited traffic assessment made in support of the Langely Area did not consider that this area was any different from any housing development. If the primary focus of the Langley SUE is for family housing then it would be expected to have a higher proportion of homes with children of school age. As a result it would have a higher number of school trips generated. There is no evidence for this. Therefore the transport assessment must be incorrect. Alternatively this statement is incorrect.	The council needs to rethink what they think the Langley development would be and then produce a proper assessment that is consistent with those assumptions.	Transport assessment is inadequate.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications. Transport evidence base for the SUE is robust.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S506					Object	I object to the change: "A substantial green corridor of at least 40 ha connecting .." "A minimum value needs to be set otherwise the requirement for "substantial" is to insubstantial to stand up to proper scrutiny. The result will be a minimal green corridor as developers seek to maximise the number of new buildings.	Retain the original wording, setting a minimum value. Make this value greater than 40 ha.	Retain the original wording, setting a minimum value of open space. Make this value greater than 40 ha.	Policy is consistent with policy TP9.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S518					Object	I object to the modification "New and improved bus connections including Sprint /Rapid Transit services" This modification continues to provide a biased view promoting bus lanes without any proper justification. The terms "Sprint" and "Rapid Transit" are very subjective terms which unduly promote the imposition of bus lanes on the local road network. No proper analysis has been done to support such measures. These measures are merely used as a way of adjusting downwards the calculated additional traffic from new development. The adverse impact on existing congested roads of removing road capacity has been totally ignored - except where a council officer admitted to a public meeting that the previous bus lane on the same location had been removed due to the adverse impact it had. Hence the council has double the reason to be required to justify this proposal. This approach has been taken at the same time that Liverpool have gone to the trouble of actually analysing the value of bus lanes, which resulted in most of the existing facilities being removed. This demonstrates that the issue does actually warrant proper analysis by the council, rather than using a simple assumption that bus lanes are always a good thing. I have attempted to upload this report to be included in this comment however this does not seem to be working. The following is therefore provided as a link to the report for this study online http://councillors.liverpool.gov.uk/documents/s140386/Appendix%203%20-%20Mott%20McDonald%20Bus%20Lane%20Review%20Documents.pdf	Remove the promotion of bus lanes from the plan until such time as proper investigation has been undertaken and redo any traffic analysis for Peddimore/Langley. Alternatively actually undertake proper analysis showing the adverse impact on the wider road network rather than just narrowly and selectively reporting on the possible beneficial impacts on a very small area.	Object to inclusion of SPRINT/ Rapid Transit.	Comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. The Council considers that the proposal is consistent with the NPPF. The Council is committed to the delivery of Sprint/Rapid Transit to this site and believes that it will make a significant contribution to meeting the transport needs of the site. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3573495
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S572					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Objection to green belt development on transport, pollution and quality of life grounds and because brownfield sites are considered preferable.	Comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3603509
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S311					Object	We should not be building on Green Belt land. A huge development of this nature will change Sutton Coldfield and Walmley for ever. The infrastructure is not in place for this development to happen. Transport, schools, retail, entertainment and medical provision is barely adequate as it is.	A new town would be a better solution.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S638	Mr Matthew Griffin	Team Leader (Minerals Planning Policy) Staffordshire County Council			Support	See attached.		Support in principle. This additional requirement for development of the SUE should be considered in the context of the proposed mineral safeguarding policy TP15a (note requirement for defining mineral safeguarding areas).	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606370

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S353	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Object	Sustainability & Design - B&BCWT objects to the Minerals paragraph of the policy and is of the view that this policy element should be removed from GA5. Including a minerals policy element of GA5 acts to trump other policy elements and development allocations within the Langley SUE area covered by this policy. This policy has the potential to "sterilise" land in terms of valid development subject to the other policy requirements within Policy GA5. The minerals policy requirement contains no indication or information about the nature of the minerals resource, how large or small the resource is, no definition of what constitutes "workable minerals", no definition of how much of the minerals resource would warrant a site being held back from development, no criteria defining the nature of land restoration after the extraction of any workable minerals, nor how restoration might be enabled. The implementation of the policy would act to delay or postpone other types of development which other elements of GA5 are intended to bring forward, or at worse prevent other types of development. Above all, this policy element is no more than pure speculation.	Remove Minerals para policy from GA5 Also, Green space and ecology section, the second bullet point and the end of the third bullet point: support amended text	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S381					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599747
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S383					Object	The proposal to build 6000 houses on the is counter to the following, National Planning Policy Framework. BRIEFING PAPER Number 00934, 30 June 2015 Green Belt 2.1 Green belt in planning practice guidance In March 2014 the Government published new web-based Planning Practice Guidance to accompany and give further detail about the policies in the NPPF. This guidance sets out that unmet housing need in a particular area is unlikely to meet the "very special circumstances" test to justify green belt development: Unmet housing need (including for traveller sites) is unlikely to outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and other harm to constitute the "very special circumstances" justifying inappropriate development on a site within the Green Belt. The plan to build between 5000 and 6000 houses on the green belt is contrary to above briefing paper as "Very exceptional circumstances" cannot be used as a reason to meet unmet housing need. Development of the Sutton Coldfield Greenbelt will make a minor contribution out of the unmet housing need of 45000 houses and therefore it cannot be regarded as making significant impact and hence cannot be regarded as "very exceptional circumstances". Therefore ther proposed development on Birmighams Greenbelt should be dismissed or at least reviewed in 10 years.	The proposed development of building on all off Birmingham's Green Belt should be suspended for at least 10 years.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S399					Object	I am very worried that building on the Greenbelt adjacent to Springfield Road will increase the flooding problem already experienced on a regular basis	Do not build on the GreenBelt	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S40					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3575992

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S401					Object	I have many concerns regarding the proposed SUE development on the Green Belt. The present drainage system can not cope, roads flood whenever there is a downpour. Traffic in and around Walmley is often at a standstill. The proposed development will exacerbate the problem not just in Walmley village but also the wider road network with transport from Tamworth, Lichfield and Sutton Coldfield. The flora and fauna would be decimated. Schools and doctors surgeries are already at capacity. The present hospital system is being reorganised to provide centres of excellence requiring journeys across the city increasing the carbon footprint.	Remove the Green Belt from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S405					Object	Any development can only be described as "in appropriate "in Sutton Coldfield due to the finite nature of the Green Belt Land and that we only 4,150 hectares remaining. Every-time this local authority has created a local plan Green Belt has been released despite planning policy being very clear that green belt is there to prevent urban sprawl. This plan actively promotes urban sprawl. Planning guidance is very clear "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt ". The Green Belt should be removed from Birmingham City Council s the plan and only included when: -All brownfield sites within the city have been identified and used. -All empty homes are exhausted as per Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building. - All surplus brownfield public sector land has been exhausted. - The true picture of housing need is realised before any green belt is released. The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings has been delivered and only when an Inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted following interim review. All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham's boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension or new settlement. Garden Cities considered which will cater for long term population growth not just within this local plan period. The HS2 Washwood Heath industrial site should be considered first prior to releasing land in Peddimore.	The Green Belt should be removed from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S421					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3600512
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S44					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3576028
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S446					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601301
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S447					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601312
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S46					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3576163

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S520					Object	I object to the continued expectation taken by the plan that Green Belt land has to be released. This has just been taken as an assumption to begin with rather than being justified in its own right. I am attaching a PDF file which was provided by Birmingham City Council in response to a Freedom of Information request (Reference FOI 11617). This document is the scope of work set out by the council for companies tendering for the work of undertaking the Green Belt Options Analysis. [Unfortunately it is not possible to double check if this has indeed been uploaded successfully - however no doubt the city council can retrieve a copy of this response from their own records. I can also furnish a separate copy if necessary.] From the wording in this document it is evident that the council had already made a decision at the very latest by 7th January that there would be development of between 5,000 and 10,000 houses on the Green Belt. This did not allow for the consultation process but had already prejudged matters. The option for reduced commitments had not been ruled out. This amount of housing is stated to have been "based on our knowledge of urban extensions in other parts of the country, a reasonable limit for any new housing on land currently designated Green Belt in North and North East Birmingham would be a range of between 5,000 to 10,000 dwellings over the plan period." No source document or study is referred to to explain how this "knowledge" had come about. Hence it can only be viewed as an unsubstantiated presumption taken by the city council. The document also states that "Options beyond the urban area need to be explored if we are to plan positively for the future. This means that it is necessary to explore the release of land from the Green Belt for housing development." Again this indicates that an easy willingness of the city council to promote the development of Green Belt land, and to make it an assumption of any final solution.	Remove any reference from the plan of the removal of any Green Belt status.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601637
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S530					Object	Main Modifications PMM16 - GA5 Langley Urban Extension I strongly object to the inclusion of Green Belt in the Birmingham Plan its inclusion is short sighted and would not solve the long term housing shortage problem. There are many avenues that can and must be utilised before Green Belt is considered for development. The obvious area to consider is the use of brownfield sites where invariably the infrastructure is already in place. Invigorate those areas in decline or lying dormant. This consideration should also apply to sites outside of Birmingham's boundaries. Investigate other areas more conducive to an urban extension. Consider the supply of empty dwellings in and round the City. These dwellings must be brought back into use before attention turns to the use of Green Belt. Once again infrastructure is already in place. Be absolutely clear on statistics used to drive the intended development. It's imperative that annual reviews are used to update housing need. Society is ever changing and transient and must be very closely tracked to confirm the true housing need. How can precious Green Belt be considered before these resources have been used? The resource is not only Grade 3 agricultural land but is also used in many ways by the community. Green Belt in North Birmingham is at a minimum and must be safeguarded from unnecessary development. Do not make the irreversible mistake of using Green Belt when other options are clearly available	Remove the use of Green Belt from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S531					Object	<p>Main Modifications PMM16 - GA5 Langley Urban Extension I strongly object to the inclusion of Green Belt in the Birmingham Plan its inclusion is short sighted and would not solve the long term housing shortage problem. There are many avenues that can and must be utilised before Green Belt is considered for development. The obvious area to consider is the use of brownfield sites where invariably the infrastructure is already in place. Invigorate those areas in decline or lying dormant. This consideration should also apply to sites outside of Birmingham's boundaries. Investigate other areas more conducive to an urban extension. Consider the supply of empty dwellings in and round the City. These dwellings must be brought back into use before attention turns to the use of Green Belt. Once again infrastructure is already in place. Be absolutely clear on statistics used to drive the intended development. It's imperative that annual reviews are used to update housing need. Society is ever changing and transient and must be very closely tracked to confirm the true housing need. How can precious Green Belt be considered before these resources have been used? The Green Belt is not only Grade 3 agricultural land but is also also used and enjoyed in many ways by the community. Green Belt in North Birmingham is at a minimum and must be safeguarded from unnecessary development. Do not make the irreversible mistake of using Green Belt when other options are clearly available. Walmley is a village with the road classification you would expect from a semi rural environment including lanes of historic note. With the recent housing developments in Newhall and Harvestfields and no change to infrastructure the volume of traffic has increased significantly over recent years. Traffic heading towards and into Birmingham clogs not only the main route into Walmley but also the surrounding road networks including all major roads beyond the village. Traffic from neighbouring towns can be stationary for lengthy periods of time. In and around the village side roads become rat runs as city bound heading towards and into Birmingham clogs not only the main route into Walmley but also the surrounding road networks including all major roads beyond the village. Traffic from neighbouring towns can be stationary for lengthy periods of time. In and around the village side roads become rat runs as city bound traffic attempts to avoid hold ups at traffic lights on the main roads. Further development in this area would only exacerbate an already difficult traffic problem and the plan to bring additional traffic into the area with an employment development at Peddimore promises to bring complete chaos to the area. How can a "Sprint"/Rapid Transit service be deliverable in these traffic and road conditions? In addition to the increased, slow moving traffic air and noise pollution cannot be ignored. There are grave concerns about air pollution brought about by the move to diesel petrol. Respiratory infections are on the increase and it is estimated that many deaths will be the result of unacceptable emissions from vehicles. How does this situation dovetail with the council's 'green' expectation that walking and cycling become the preferred mode of transport? The future generations are being poisoned as they make their way to school or work. The cycle lane designated to Penns Lane is one way. If lucky enough to navigate around cars parked across the pavement and cycling lane without incident there is no way back!!! All cycle lanes should be made safe with the addition of double yellow lines. How serious are BCC about a green environment? The roads just don't have the capacity for cycle lanes where cyclists can feel safe. The drainage on Springfield Road is another cause for great concern. Heavy rainfall converts the road into a river within minutes making it a hazard for drivers and pedestrians alike. I have seen many pedestrians completely drenched as they try to make their way along this hazardous stretch of road. I have seen a manhole cover lifted and 'floating' on a powerful jet of water from the overstretched drainage system. Walmley shopping facilities are extremely limited. An increased</p>	Remove the use of Green Belt from the plan. A SUE in the selected area is not sustainable.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								demand on the small run of convenience shops and the lack of parking will add to the village centre traffic congestion as drivers circle waiting for a free parking space. Compared with Boldmere facilities are but a fraction. To shop with choice it is recognised that residents have to travel to Tamworth, Solihull or the City Centre these options are not compatible with the 'green' lifestyle being advocated. Doctors' surgeries are working to capacity and the reorganisation of hospitals and resulting centres of excellence all require increased travel to the other side of the city. This is not compatible with a 'green' lifestyle. Anyone working in education will know that new pupils joining a school can be disruptive to a settled class - imagine the affect of a never ending flow of new pupils on the area's education system.				
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S54					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3576435
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S543					Object	<p>MAIN MODIFICATIONS Main Modifications “ PMM17 GA5 Langley Urban Extension , PMM18 GA6 Peddimore I am writing to object to the green belt still being including in the above plan. The development would have many adverse impacts that would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. Birmingham has very little green belt remaining and once the areas in Sutton Coldfield have been removed it can never be reinstated. The NPPF states "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt "Source: NPPF. There are many brownfield sites across Birmingham that can be used to provide housing and this would also improve the general environment that these sites are in. All of these sites should be exhausted before any Green Belt is used. In addition to this all empty homes should be exhausted as per "Policy May 2015 2010-2015 government policy: house building". I would also like to know why this housing need has to be fulfilled by Birmingham and cannot be met outside of Birmingham's boundaries. There are many brownfield sites that are suitable with better infrastructure than in Walmley. Having two young children in Walmley I am concerned about them being able to walk to School with the increase in traffic, there will also be more pollution. No recommendations have been made for additional Schools, Roads, Shops, Hospitals. Walmley is already congested and if they plan to build 6,000 more homes there is a potential of 12,000 extra vehicles on the 'already congested' roads of Walmley.</p>	Green Belt removed from the plan until all brownfield sites and all empty housing are exhausted before the Green Belt is even considered and at the point of everything available has been used only then should building on the Green Belt be readdressed. A more creative approach should be applied to how to address the housing shortage. A more sustainable approach is to locate housing in areas requiring regeneration in the wider West Midlands community. In addition to this the employment land allocated at Peddimore should be removed from the plan due to HS2 in Washwood Heath now being available. The infrastructure is in place already and it is in a more viable position for people travelling to work there. A long term view should be taken to address the overall housing need and building blocks in place for new settlements to cope with future growth in the next plan period.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S546					Object	<p>PMM16 - GA5 Langley Urban Extension I strongly object to the inclusion of Green Belt in the Birmingham Plan its inclusion is short sighted and would not solve the long term housing shortage problem. There are many avenues that can and must be utilised before Green Belt is considered for development. The obvious area to consider is the use of brownfield sites where invariably the infrastructure is already in place. Invigorate those areas in decline or lying dormant. This consideration should also apply to sites outside of Birmingham's boundaries. Investigate other areas more conducive to an urban extension. Consider the supply of empty dwellings in and round the City. These dwellings must be brought back into use before attention turns to the use of Green Belt. Once again infrastructure is already in place. Be absolutely clear on statistics used to drive the intended development. It's imperative that annual reviews are used to update housing need. Society is ever changing and transient and must be very closely tracked to confirm the true housing need. How can precious Green Belt be considered before these resources have been used? The Green Belt is not only Grade 3 agricultural land but is also also used and enjoyed in many ways by the community. Green Belt in North Birmingham is at a minimum and must be safeguarded from unnecessary development. Do not make the irreversible mistake of using Green Belt when other options are clearly available. Walmley is a village with the road classification you would expect from a semi rural environment including lanes of historic note. With the recent housing developments in Newhall and Harvestfields and no change to infrastructure the volume of traffic has increased significantly over recent years. Traffic heading towards and into Birmingham clogs not</p> <p>only the main route into Walmley but also the surrounding road networks including all major roads beyond the village. Traffic from neighbouring towns can be stationary for lengthy periods of time. In and around the village side roads become rat runs as city bound traffic attempts to avoid hold ups at traffic lights on the main roads. Further development in this area would only exacerbate an already difficult traffic problem and the plan to bring additional traffic into the area with an employment development at Peddimore promises to bring complete chaos to the area. How can a "Sprint"/Rapid Transit service be deliverable in these traffic and road conditions? In addition to the increased, slow moving traffic air and noise pollution cannot be ignored. There are grave concerns about air pollution brought about by the move to diesel petrol. Respiratory infections are on the increase and it is estimated that many deaths will be the result of unacceptable emissions from vehicles. How does this situation dovetail with the council's 'green' expectation that walking and cycling become the preferred mode of transport? The future generations are being poisoned as they make their way to school or work. The cycle lane designated to Penns Lane is one way. If lucky enough to navigate around cars parked across the pavement and cycling lane without incident there is no way back!!! All cycle lanes should be made safe with the addition of double yellow lines. How serious are BCC about a green environment? The roads just don't have the capacity for cycle lanes where cyclists can feel safe. The drainage on Springfield Road is another cause for great concern. Heavy rainfall converts the road into a river within minutes making it a hazard for drivers and pedestrians alike. I have seen many pedestrians completely drenched as they try to make their way along this hazardous stretch of road. I have seen a manhole cover lifted and 'floating' on a powerful jet of water from the overstretched drainage system. Walmley shopping facilities are extremely limited. An increased demand on the small run of convenience</p>	Green Belt removed from the plan until all brownfield sites and all empty housing are exhausted before the Green Belt is even considered and at the point of everything available has been used only then should building on the Green Belt be readdressed. A more creative approach should be applied to how to address the housing shortage. A more sustainable approach is to locate housing in areas requiring regeneration in the wider West Midlands community. In addition to this the employment land allocated at Peddimore should be removed from the plan due to HS2 in Washwood Heath now being available. The infrastructure is in place already and it is in a more viable position for people travelling to work there. A long term view should be taken to address the overall housing need and building blocks in place for new settlements to cope with future growth in the next plan period.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								shops and the lack of parking will add to the village centre traffic congestion as drivers circle waiting for a free parking space. Compared with Boldmere facilities are but a fraction. To shop with choice it is recognised that residents have to travel to Tamworth, Solihull or the City Centre these options are not compatible with the 'green' lifestyle being advocated. Doctors' surgeries are working to capacity and the reorganisation of hospitals and resulting centres of excellence all require increased travel to the other side of the city. This is not compatible with a 'green' lifestyle. Anyone working in education will know that new pupils joining a school can be disruptive to a settled class - imagine the affect of a never ending flow of new pupils on the area's education system.				
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S579					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604282
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S597					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604886
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S60					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3577106
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S618					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3605125
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S619					Object	I met with planners at Walmley Library to discuss the proposed plan to build 6000 houses on Sutton Coldfield Greenbelt. My question (to which I have not at any time received a logical and cohesive answer) was and is as follows, 1. Birmingham by their own figures have room to build 45,000 homes on Brownfield sites. 2. Birmingham for the last 4 years have managed to build 1650 per year. 3. If we nearly doubled the number of houses Birmingham could build in 1 year to 3,000 per year, it would take 15 Years to build to use the Brownfield sites. 4. Even if we looked at the maximum houses Birmingham was able to build in the 1930's of 40,000 houses in 10years where there was no skill or labour shortage, it would take a minimum of 10 years. Therefore if the minimum time to build on the brown field sites was 10 years, and average of 15 years or probable 30 years (at present build rate) to consume all of the present Brownfield sites. My question is, WHY DO BIRMINGHAM CITY HAVE TO BUILD ON ANY GREENBELT NOW? There has been no reasonable answer to this, therefore there can not be "Very exceptional reasons not to build on the green belt"	My comment is that all building on the greenbelt should be stopped for at least 10 years. The Brownfield sites should be used to build sustainable houses now for people who need them now in areas where they can, live, work and enjoy a social and environmentally positive life style whilst contributing to the environment by re-using brown field sites and not destroying agricultural land.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S621					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3605161
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S628					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3606292
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S64					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3577687
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S642					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3606375
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S68					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3577745
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S685					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3607116
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S71					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3577865
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S740					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3610729
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S75					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3577925
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S759					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3611420
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S76					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3577940
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S770					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3612132

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S80					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3577951
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S867					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3620587
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S9					Object	PMM16 - See attached.		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3541174
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S904					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3622434
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S91	MRS N BAILEY	PARISH CLERK Frankley Parish Council			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3578553
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S971					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3624874
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S989					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3625202
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S929					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Objects on the grounds that the proposal is contrary to the NPPF, on environmental and infrastructure grounds and because the proposal will make only a small contribution to meeting housing needs. A longer term solution based on garden cities is needed. A number of comments are made on the detailed wording of the policy, including the inclusion of a reference to Sprint/Rapid Transit. This is considered to be uncertain in terms of its delivery and effectiveness.	Comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. The Council considers that the proposal is consistent with the NPPF. The Council is committed to the delivery of Sprint/Rapid Transit to this site and believes that it will make a significant contribution to meeting the transport needs of the site. No change required.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3622972
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S1518					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3640152
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S1526	Rt Hon Andrew Mitchell MP				Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3640298

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S1466					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639141
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S1468					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639156
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S1470					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639170
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S1516					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640123
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S1517					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640144
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S1519					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640238
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S1520					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640257
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S1522					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640283
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S1524					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640294
Langley	PMM16	BDPMD S1532					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640357

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM16	BDPMOD S2534		Langley SUE Consortium	Michael Davies	Savills		See attached.	See attached.	Request insertion of words "up to" 6,000 new homes but prepared to accept original wording of "approximately". Support density of "around 35-50 dph" subject to further detailed review of site constraints and prevailing markets. Object to wording on mineral and request the words "economically workable" are inserted. Object to wording on Sprint/ Rapid Transit and request words "such as" replace "including" and clarification that Sprint (or similar) are still to be agreed as a City wide transport provision. Maintain previous objection to requirement for the "highest standards" of sustainability and design to change to "high". Support on other points.	Comment regarding the removal of the word "highest" was previously made and discussed at the examination. No change is proposed. No objection to reinstating the word "approximately" to allow for a degree of flexibility. The Council is committed to the delivery of Sprint/Rapid Transit to this site and believes that it will make a significant contribution to promoting sustainable transport. No change required. Wording on minerals is required to ensure soil resources and minerals reserves are protected.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3718493
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1000					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625408
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1002					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625571
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1004					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625586
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1006					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625939
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1008					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625992
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S101					Object	The NPPF states that "un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt". I do not believe that there are sufficient "exceptional circumstances" to cause the loss of what little green belt exists. There are empty homes unused within the Birmingham boundary, and not all brownfield sites have been utilised. The HS2 site at Washwood Heath is available. Area outside the city boundary have not been explored, and if the need for housing is so great it would be advisable to have a new garden city away from existing areas of development. The number of houses required seems vague "more a question of guesswork than investigation.	The Council should reconsider their decision, which seems to have been made to take advantage of the government-made opportunity to use green belt land, rather than as a carefully thought-out strategy.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3580926

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1010					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626015
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1011					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626016
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1015					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626087
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1017					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626178
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1020					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626364
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1021					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626367
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1025					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626448
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1029	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Support	See attached.		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626435
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S103					Object	The NPPF document states that "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt ". The "exceptional circumstances "allowed do not apply in this case. There are many undeveloped brownfield sites I see as I go around the city, such as the HS2 Washwood Heath site. There are also many empty properties " some empty for years. Furthermore, in places like Salford Park, industrial complexes have replaced residential, why? With a mile, there are empty industrial units. We do need homes, so why not reallocate some unused industrial land rather than vice versa. I am not convinced that Birmingham has really gone into Regional approach with other local councils. Has it considered a Garden City, perhaps jointly with Coventry or other local towns and cities? I am fairly sure that money is a major issue " developers can make more on greenfield sites, so give them a mass of fields and the council, being short on cash, can sell the family silver to help restore the balance. Alternatives won t do this! In any case, the numbers of homes has been noticeably reduced since the first time round, suggesting that more research is needed by the council before destroying this asset.	I think that they should withdraw the application and start again, bearing in mind all the objectors' comments.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3580945

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1041					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3626504
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1042					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3626505
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1046					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3626645
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1048					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3626648
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S105					Object	I am objecting to the green belt still being included in this plan. The developments would have adverse impacts and significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. Unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt. The green belt should be removed from the plan. This has been a difficult consultation process. Since 2012, how much longer can this go on? How much more evidence is needed in support of the green belt being removed from the plan?	The green belt should be removed from the plan, only to be included if all brownfield sites have been identified and exhausted. All empty homes exhausted. All surplus brownfield public sector land exhausted. All brownfield sites outside Birmingham's boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for urban extension.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3580952
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1051					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3626826
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1053					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627036
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1058					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627338
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1060					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627366
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1062					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627389
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1064					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627416

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1066					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627440
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1067					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627442
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S107					Object	I am objecting to the green belt still being included in this plan. I believe the development will have adverse impacts and "significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits". As we have so little green belt there will be significant harm to the green belt overall. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt; source: NPPF. It should only be included when: - Please see section 3. Also the timing of this consultation process is very poor i.e. summer holidays. The whole consultation process has been difficult since 2012.	All brown sites have been identified and exhausted. All empty homes exhausted as per policy May 2010 " 2015 Government Policy: House Building. Only when current supply of 45,000 available dwellings have been delivered and only when an inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted should a review be undertaken to consider releasing the green belt. All brownfield sites outside Birmingham s boundaries have been identified that would be more suitable for urban extension. Garden Cities are considered for long-term population growth not just within this period. Washwood Heath HS2 to be used before Peddimore.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3580891
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1070					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627485
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1071					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627492
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1074					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627616
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1076					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627725
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1077					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627756
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1080					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627827
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1081					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627769
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1085					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627966
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1086					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627949

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1088					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627973
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1091						See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628035
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1093					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628001
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S110					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3580886
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1107					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628035
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1110					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628075
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1112					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628087
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1114					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628110
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1116					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628110
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1118					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628110
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1120					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628272
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1122					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628331

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1124					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628352
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1133					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628501
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1134					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628486
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1137					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628486
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1139					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628587
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S114					Object	I object strongly to the "green belt"land being included in the plan and wish it to be removed. The amount of green belt land remaining is small and precious and I believe that we are only "custodians "of this land for future generations.The landscape is attractive "rolling"country side, valued by all who live in the area (as I have done for 50+ years). Only in exceptional circumstances should this land be released and I quote "un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt "source: NPPF. Once this land is surrendered it is lost forever. I believe that our previous green belt should only be included when all brownfield sites have been identified and exhausted, including surplus public sector brownfield sites. All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham boundaries have been identified which are more suitable to sustain urban extension " including discussions with neighbouring authorities re potential sites. All empty homes should first be exhausted as per "policy May 2010-2015 Government Policy: House Buildin". The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings should be utilised and only when an Inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted should a review be undertaken to consider releasing our green belt. To include green belt would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits and a true picture of housing needs should be realised before any green belt is released. Garden cities should be considered which will cater for and have the infrastructure to cope with and cater for long term population growth. I have lived in Walmley for 53 years now, and over that time the beautiful countryside has gradually diminished in size dramatically " traffic and travel have become challenging and the area cannot lose our green belt to a plan that the area cannot cater for with it s already "creaking at the seams "population, traffic, schools and total infrastructure.	The inclusion of green belt land should be removed from this plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3580883

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1147					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628605
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1150					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628991
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1153					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629010
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1155					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629010
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1158					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629048
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S116	Mr Francis Mason	Walmley Residents Association			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3581729
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1170					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629288
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1178					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629652
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S118					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3581734
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1183					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629673
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1186					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629803
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1189					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629834

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1196					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629863
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S120					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3581763
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1200					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629914
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1204					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629955
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1206					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629989
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1212					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630004
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S122					Object	I object to the green belt still being included in the plan because I think it would have a really bad effect which will significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. There is very little green belt left, so taking this part of the green belt out will be of significant harm to the green belt. NPPF states that "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt" - this development will without a shadow of doubt harm the green belt. Green belt should not be in the plan and only considered for inclusion when all brownfield sites (including sites outside Birmingham's boundaries) have been identified and used. There are also empty homes which should be used up first, as per "Policy May 2015-2010-2015 government policy: house building".	Remove the green belt from the plan Identify and use empty homes Identify and use brownfield sites within the city boundary and also regionally. Land in Washwood heath which had been earmarked for HS2 but is no longer required for that use should be utilised.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1221					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630043
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1224					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630107

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S131					Object	I object strongly to the use of Green Belt Land being used in this plan the affect on the area would be very harmful, the roads in the area are already full with traffic many times during the day worse in the rush hour, the pollution caused by the extra traffic would cause serious health problems for residents including school children and the old. The proposed sprint bus and access to the A38 will have little or no affect the roads cannot cope at present. Services in the area would not be able to cope Doctors in the area are already at full stretch. There is very little Green Belt Land around Birmingham. and there is precious little Green Belt Land in this area - using this space would cause significant harm to the small amount we have and will be devastating to the the local community. When the Green Belt has gone it is gone forever. Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt	Green Belt Land should be removed from the plan until all other options have been explored, all brownfield sites identified and used, all empty homes used as per government policy, all surplus public sector land used, any brownfield sites outside Birmingham's boundaries should be identified to see if they are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension. Also the HS2 site at Washwood Heath should be used first before releasing Green Belt Land at peddimore	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S132					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3589719
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S136					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3589767
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S138					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3589825
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S140					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3589880
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S142					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3590120
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S144					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3590149
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S151					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3590219
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S153					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3590233
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S155					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3590269

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S157					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590308
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S163					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590452
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S165					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3592722
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S169					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590578
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S171					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590599
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S173					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590623
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S175					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590673
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S177					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590679
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S179					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590685
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S181					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590691
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S183					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590810
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S185					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3592726

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S187					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590840
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S189					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590865
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S191					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3592731
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S193					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591063
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S195					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591115
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S197					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591141
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S201					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591203
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S203	Mr Keith Pringle	Management Consultant Jakema Programme Management Ltd			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591217
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S205					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591244
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S207					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591250
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S209					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591259
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S211					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591335

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S213					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591616
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S214					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591817
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S215					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591867
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S217					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591870
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S221					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3593782
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S223					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3593835
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S225					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3593863
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S227					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3594151
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S230					Object	For this plan to suggest that you will be protecting biodiversity by removing well established and necessary green belt is inappropriate. Also, New Hall Valley is a park and not green belt and renaming it as such is an attempt to mislead people. The green belt is that area that this plan wants to build over - it cannot be adequately replaced by renaming an area of parkland. Also, the Langley build area is not a fringe location adjacent to open countryside - it is open countryside and has been so throughout history. The amendments to the plan here have no substance and those reviewing the plan have not taken account of any of the concerns of local residents.	There should be no building on the Langley site.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S239					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3595971
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S243					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596233
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S245					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596243
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S247					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596257
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S249					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596447
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S252					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596489
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S254					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596502
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S260					Object	The Green Belt should be removed from Birmingham City Council s the plan and only included when:* All brownfield sites within the city have been identified and used. * All empty homes are exhausted as per Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building.* All surplus brownfield public sector land has been exhausted. * The true picture of housing need is realised before any green belt is released. * The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings has been delivered and only when an * Inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted following interim review * All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham s boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension or new settlement * Garden Cities considered which will cater for long term population growth not just within this local plan period. * The HS2 Washwood Heath industrial site should be considered first prior to releasing land in Peddimore. * The whole process for recording views, supporting or objecting is so complicated that this cannot be a proper and fair democratic process. A large proportion of the public will not be able to comment because they don't understand how to do so. I am not even sure that what I am doing now will reach the right person abd is done in the righth format. Fingers crossed!!	The Green Belt should be removed from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S263					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3597401
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S265					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3597691

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S270					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3597978
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S272					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3597984
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S274					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598013
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S277					Object	My comments relate to PMM17 and PMM18 This is a further objection to the development of the green belt land being included in the Birmingham Development Plan 2031. I do not believe the the Birmingham City Council are impartial to make a decision regarding this land due to their ownership of it. I feel the Birmingham City Council have made this process for objecting to the Green Belt development incredibly difficult to do. This is the second time now to make my objections clear and it feels like we are being ground down slowly and think it is a 'done deal' as the papers have reported recently. T he problems for the local areas will be catastrophic. These do not appear to have been given proper consideration for example the traffic conditions terrible during the peak times and all main roads are at a slow pace. Roads such as Webster Way, Walmley Ash Road, A38, Minworth Island, B4148 in and around Walmley Village, Fox Hollies Road, Ox Leys Road are already at capacity for great parts of the day with rat-runs through the residential estate roads being used to try to negate queueing. As a local resident I do not to use the roads at peak times unless absolutely necessary and this is now before any new developments! The existing services such as public transport, schools, doctors, shops and especially hospital services will not be sufficient to meet the needs of the many thousands of people who be moving into the area. It is so sad that the Green Belt will be lost for ever to future generations in Birmingham.	I believe the preferred option to build in Walmley and Peddimore is the wrong one and Birmingham should look at all reasonable alternatives and not just Walmley and Peddimore.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S279					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598247
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S282					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598259
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S284					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598272
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S285					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598274
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S287					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598287

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S289					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598298
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S291					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598302
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S293					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598314
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S295					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598320
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S297					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598321
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S299					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598323
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S301					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598336
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S303					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598355
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S306					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598372
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S309					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598986
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S313					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598411
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S315					Object	We should not be building on Green belt land.	Look at Brown field sites, or build a new town on a garden city plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S319					Object	<p>Main Modifications relate to PMM17 PMM18 I object to green bely still being included in this plan. The development will have adverse impacts and significantly and demonstrably out weigh the benefits. Currently Walmley is in gridlock at rush hour so what will an additional 10000 cars do. In the morning thimble end road is gridlock and then diving to asda island is a nightmare. The centre of walmley does not move and hatched lines had to be put in on the junction to try and let traffic flow. The road network cannot cope with the additional traffic. Birmingham has little green belt. Once it is built on it has gone for ever. This is not a plan for the future as birmingham increases in size. What will happen when there is no green belt. So this plan is flawed as it is not a substanable plan for the city "Un-met housing should not out weigh harm to the green belt"Source NPPF The green belt should be removed from the plan until the following have been exhausted All brown field sites have been built on All empty homes are filled - as per government policy May 2010-2015 All surplus brownfield public sector land is exhausted The realhousing need is realised before green belt is used All brown field sites out side birmingham has been identified to use Create new cities like telford to satisfy the population requirements The Hs2 washwood Heath site should be used before using green belt This process to comment is not straight forward and i beleieve it has been made difficult on purpose. Also consultantion from the council has been poor. Peoples comments have not been included. The hospitals and ifastructure cannot cope currently. So the extra house will bring the area to a stop. This is not a solution at all but a sticky plaster that will fall off. All the land is used for agricuture and to remove this will have a negative impact on birmingham Also the media are stating this is a done deal and the proposal goe against the rules for building on green belt. Im no lawyer so I cannot use the correct terminology but this is wrong. All brown field sites need to be explored first. Extra vehilces will effect air quality for everyone. So this plan is risking the health of all the residents. Surely that is morally wrong.</p>	Do not build on the green belt	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S320					Object	<p>i am objecting as i do not believe the green belt should be included in this development. I firmly believe that the developement would have a negative impact and significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. There is so little green belt left that if it is built on it will have significant harm to the little remaining green belt and impact greatly on the local environment. Per the NPPF "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt"The green Belt should be removed from the plan and should only be included when All brownfield sites have been identified and exhausted All empty Homes are exhausted as per "Policy May 2010-2015 government policy:House Building"All surplus brownfield public sector land exhausted The true picture of housing need is realised before green belt is released The current supply of 45,000 dwellings has been delivered and only when an inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted should a review be undertaken to consider releasing the green belt All brownfield sites outside of birmingham's boundries have been identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension Garden cities considered which will cater for long term population growth not just within this plan period</p>	Do not build on green belt land	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S323					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598863

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S325					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598921
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S327					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598940
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S330					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599315
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S331					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599410
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S333					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599424
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S335					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599504
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S337					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599534
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S339					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599586
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S343					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599605
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S345					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599613
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S347					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599690
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S352					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599702

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S37					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3575535
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S371					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599708
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S374					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599716
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S376					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599722
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S378					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599733
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S379					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599735
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S382					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599752
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S385					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599765
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S387					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599781
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S389					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599788
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S391					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599820
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S393					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599834
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S395					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599842
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S397					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599846

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S400					Object	There is no solid commitment for the proposed development of 6000 houses to be serviced by health, education and leisure facilities.	The proposed building of the 6000 houses should not progress until the health, education and leisure facilities are built in advance of the houses.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S402					Object	I object to the inclusion of the Green Belt in the Birmingham Plan. The Green Belt should only be considered when:- all brownfield sites have been utilised to provide 45,000 dwellings all empty homes exhausted as per "Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building"all surplus brownfield public sector land has been exhausted all brownfield sites beyond the city boundaries have been considered as an alternative SUE Garden Cities have been considered in a site more suitable for long term population growth the inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted I strongly object to the inclusion of the Green Belt in the BDP and believe the development would have adverse impacts and "significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits."	Remove the use of the Green Belt from the BDP.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S404					Object	The proposal to build at a density of 35 - 40 DPHa is too low to impact the housing need of 89,000 units. This proposed low density reflects not the suggested sympathetic development with the rural environment but the increased market value of the houses. This demonstrates that the proposal is not a serious attempt to solve Birmingham's housing shortage but a financially driven attempt to profit from desirable land for aspirational householders and not the socio economic sector that need low cost housing. On this basis the proposed Langley SUE should be stopped as it is not serious attempt to solve Birmingham's Housing shortage and cannot be considered to meet the "very exceptional circumstances" a pre-requisite to build on the Green Belt.	The whole subject of the building on the Greenbelt of Birmingham should be revisited in the light of the proposed West Midlands Combined Authority with a view to creating rural villages that are sustained from nearby existing employment, health and educational facilities. Building on all of Birmingham's Greenbelt should be suspended for at least 10 years.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.. Densities proposed are too low.	Reasoned justification for densities set out in para. 5.63 or PMM17.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S407					Object	I am objecting to the green belt still being included in this plan. I believe the development would have adverse impacts and "significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits". We have so little green belt remaining that by removing it is of significant harm to the green belt. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt "Source: NPPF.	Remove the Green Belt from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S408					Object	The NPPF document states that "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt". The "exceptional circumstances" allowed do not apply in this case. There are many undeveloped brownfield sites I see as I go around the city, such as the HS2 Washwood Heath site. There are also many empty properties " some empty for years. Furthermore, in places like Salford Park, industrial complexes have replaced residential, why? With a mile, there are empty industrial units. We do need homes, so why not reallocate some unused industrial land rather than vice versa. I am not convinced that Birmingham has really gone into Regional approach with other local councils. Has it considered a Garden City, perhaps jointly with Coventry or other local towns and cities? I am fairly sure that money is a major issue " developers can make more on greenfield sites, so give them a mass of fields and the council, being short on cash, can sell the family silver to help restore the balance. Alternatives won't do this! In any case, the numbers of homes has been noticeably reduced since the first time round, suggesting that more research is needed by the council before destroying this asset.	Re-draw the plans to remove the Green Belt and propose a new garden city.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S409					Object	My comments relate to PMM17 and PMM18 This is a further objection to the development of the green belt land being included in the Birmingham Development Plan 2031. I do not believe the the Birmingham City Council are impartial to make a decision regarding this land due to their ownership of it. I feel the Council have made this process for objecting to the Green Belt development incredibly difficult to do. The problems for the local areas will be catastrophic. These do not appear to have been given proper consideration for example the traffic conditions which are terrible during the peak times and all main roads are at a slow pace. Roads such as Webster Way, Walmley Ash Road, A38, Minworth Island, B4148 in and around Walmley Village, Fox Hollies Road, Ox Leys Road are already at capacity for great parts of the day with rat-runs through the residential estate roads being used to try to negate queueing. The proposed development would obviously add to these problems. The existing services such as public transport, schools, doctors, shops and especially hospital services will not be sufficient to meet the needs of the many thousands of people who be moving into the area. It is so sad that the Green Belt will be lost for ever to future generations in Birmingham.	Remove the Green Belt from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S410					Object	Ido not believe the green belt should be included in this development. I firmly believe that the development would have a negative impact and significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. There is so little green belt left that if it is built on it will cause significant harm to the little remaining green belt and impact greatly on the local environment. Per the NPPF "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt"The green Belt should be removed from the plan and should only be included when all brownfield sites have been identified and exhausted and all empty homes and brownfield sites have been exhausted. The true picture of housing need needs to be established before green belt is released. All brownfield sites outside of birmingham's boundries should be identified as they are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension Garden cities should be considered to cater for long term population growth not just within this plan period	Remove the Green Belt from the Plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S411					Object	The Green Belt should be removed from Birmingham City Council s the plan and only included when: * All brownfield sites within the city have been identified and used. * All empty homes are exhausted as per Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building. * All surplus brownfield public sector land has been exhausted. * The true picture of housing need is realised before any green belt is released. * The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings has been delivered and only when an Inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted following interim review. * All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham s boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension or new settlement. * Garden Cities considered which will cater for long term population growth not just within this local plan period. * The HS2 Washwood Heath industrial site should be considered first prior to releasing land in Peddimore. * The whole process for recording views, supporting or objecting is so complicated that this cannot be a proper and fair democratic process. A large proportion of the public will not be able to comment because they don't understand how to do so.	Remove the Green Belt from the Plan	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S412					Object	<p>I object to green belt land being included in this plan. The development will have adverse impacts and significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. Currently Webster Way and Calder Drive are at a standstill in rush hour and the additional vehicles will obviously exacerbate the problem. The centre of Walmley already has too much traffic and the junction with Fox Hollies Road, Walmley Road and Wylde Green Road is becoming increasingly dangerous. Drivers are sitting in the grid in order to beat the lights, or are rushing through on amber or red. I know someone who was injured at that junction and I have seen some very near misses. The road network cannot cope with the additional traffic. Birmingham has little green belt. Once it is built on it has gone for ever. This is not a plan for the future as Birmingham increases in size. The plan is flawed as it is not a sustainable plan for the city. "Un-met housing should not out weigh harm to the green belt"Source NPPF .The green belt should be removed from the plan until the following have been exhausted All brown field sites have been built on All empty homes are filled - as per government policy May 2010-2015 All surplus brownfield public sector land is exhausted The realhousing need is realised before green belt is used All brown field sites out side birmingham has been identified to use Create new cities like telford to satisfy the population requirements The Hs2 washwood Heath site should be used before using green belt. This process to comment is not straight forward and i beleieve it has been made difficult on purpose. Also consultantion from the council has been poor. Peoples comments have not been included. The hospitals and infastructure cannot cope currently. So the extra house will bring the area to a stop. This is not a solution at all but a sticky plaster that will fall off. All the land is used for agricuture and to remove this will have a negative impact on birmingham Also the media are stating this is a done deal and the proposal goe against the rules for building on green belt. Im no lawyer so I cannot use the correct terminology but this is wrong. All brown field sites need to be explored first. Extra vehilces will effect air quality for everyone . So this plan is risking the health of all the residents. Surely that is morally wrong. , and road rage incidentsat that junction). Birmingham has little green belt. Once it is built on it has gone for ever. This is not a plan for the future as birmingham increases in size. What will happen when there is no green belt. So this plan is flawed as it is not a substnable plan for the city "Un-met housing should not out weigh harm to the green belt"Source NPPF The green belt should be removed from the plan until the following have been exhausted All brown field sites have been built on All empty homes are filled - as per government policy May 2010-2015 All surplus brownfield public sector land is exhausted The realhousing need is realised before green belt is used All brown field sites out side birmingham has been identified to use Create new cities like telford to satisfy the population requirements The Hs2 washwood Heath site should be used before using green belt This process to comment is not straight forward and i beleieve it has been made difficult on purpose. Also consultantion from the council has been poor. Peoples comments have not been included. The hospitals and infastructure cannot cope currently. So the extra house will bring the area to a stop. This is not a solution at all but a sticky plaster that will fall off. All the land is used for agricuture and to remove this will have a negative impact on birmingham Also the media are stating this is a done deal and the proposal goe against the rules for building on green belt. Im no lawyer so I cannot use the correct terminology but this is wrong. All brown field sites need to be explored first. Extra vehilces will effect air quality for everyone . So this plan is risking the health of all the residents. Surely that is morally wrong.</p>	Remove the Green Belt from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S413					Object	I object to the Green Belt still being included in the plan because it would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. There is very little green belt left, so removing part of it would be of significant harm to the little remaining green belt. NPPF states that "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt" - this development will harm the green belt. Green belt should not be in the plan and should only be considered for inclusion when all brownfield sites (including sites outside Birmingham's boundaries) have been identified and used. There are also empty homes which should be used up first, as per "Policy May 2015- 2010-2015 government policy: house building".	Remove the Green Belt from the plan; Identify and use empty homes; Identify and use brownfield sites within and without the city boundary; Land in Washwood heath which had been earmarked for HS2 but is no longer required for that use should be utilised.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S414					Object	I object to the Green Belt still being included in this plan, both for housing and industrial use for the following reasons: The traffic congestion in the Walmley area is already intolerable, with traffic levels being very high through the village. A further increase in cars generated by more housing would further exacerbate this. The junction at Fox Hollies Road and Walmley Road is already a very dangerous spot. Cars repeatedly cross on a red light in their frustration to get through the village in rush hour. The traffic along Fox Hollies Road outside the Deanery school is always slow moving at peak times, and the effect on the small children each morning and afternoon in terms of pollution from the cars, especially where they are at a lower level in buggies, is unacceptable. The increase in cases of asthma and allergies in our young children is already marked. The congestion is not just within Walmley, but is felt further afield on the A38 from the traffic already using the roads for Asda, the Minworth Trading Estate and the new Logistics Park in Minworth and further afield on the M42, A5127 and Heartlands Spine Road. It seems that no consideration has been made as to how the increased traffic can be accommodated. The only planned change apparent is an entry/exit onto the A38 for the proposed new industrial estate. This will be woefully inadequate. Use of brownfield sites should be investigated before any green belt land is considered. There are 50 hectares of surplus land available in Washwood Heath originally earmarked for HS2 use that could be used for either industrial development or housing. The infrastructure of the area was not improved 20 years ago when Green Belt land was released and the Oak and Ash, Newhall Valley and Signal Hayes estates built. If further Green Belt is used for housing the situation will become intolerable. The hospital facilities are stretched already, especially with the ageing population housed in this area. The sewage works at Minworth are a pollution hazard, with the offensive smell given off quite regularly and the proposed additional households will only make this much worse. Schools are already over-subscribed. Walmley Village has very few shops, it is not a proper shopping centre. The impact of building a further 6,000 houses and accommodating further industrial development would be keenly felt by our community. The access to nearby open land and the associated quality of life that brought us to this area will be lost forever. As stated in the NPPF, "un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt". Birmingham City Council needs to demonstrate exceptional circumstances exist to justify releasing Green Belt land and I do not feel this has been established.	Remove the Green Belt land from the Plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S415					Object	If it is BCC's intention to promote sustainable development, why not build on all brownfield land before considering the Green Belt? These areas will be close to local services and infrastructure. If developers are allowed to develop on the Green Belt first, which appears to be the cheaper option, the effect will be that the city will stop regenerating while we lose more and more of our countryside " an unsustainable approach.	Build on all brownfield land before considering the Green Belt	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S416					Object	The NPPF has stated that "un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt "and that building in the Green Belt could happen only under exceptional circumstances. I don't believe the BCC have given any justification for exceptional circumstances and therefore this is a breach of the policy. In my opinion, consideration for building in the Green Belt should be made only when all the other alternative solutions (e.g. brownfield sites) have been exhausted and therefore it should be removed from the plan for the time being and certainly not open to development as soon as the plan is approved.	I suggest a review of the plan at a fixed future date to do an assessment of the housing situation, the growth and the need and to make a decision at that stage if the Green Belt is the last resort for providing new houses.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S417					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3600311
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S419					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3600322
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S42					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3576009
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S423					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3600518
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S425					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3600836
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S427					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601108
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S430					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601108
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S432					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601154
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S434					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601154
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S436					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601154

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S438					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601154
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S440					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601154
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S442					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601154
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S444					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601280
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S450					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S452					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S454					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S456					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S458					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S460					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S462					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S464					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S466					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S468					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S470					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S472					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S474					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S476					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S478					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S48					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3576182
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S480					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S482					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S484					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S486					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S488					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S490					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S50					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3576190
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S52					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3576315

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S527					Object	<p>Whilst recognizing the need for additional housing, utilizing green belt land should be the last option. The government has stated that un-met housing needs should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt, and that established Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances. We do not have exceptional circumstances here, as Birmingham has numerous brownfield sites, many of which have a current infrastructure. There are also within Birmingham 17000 houses with full or outline planning permission and 12000 empty houses. Birmingham has only 15% Green Belt land and the proposed development in Walmley will further diminish this figure and create an urban sprawl. Green Belt land should be protected for future generations. Do not take the easy option of giving in to developers who would rather deal with a greenfield scenario rather than a brownfield one.</p>	<p>The Government has recently announced changes to the rules surrounding brownfield sites, which will make it easier for developers. This should now be recognized within the plan. I also believe that to try to create a plan for 2031, is a process that could have major negative consequences if decisions taken today are proven to be incorrect as time elapses. No one can predict with any degree of accuracy this far ahead. It may well be that with immigration at the top of voters concerns, the Government will have to introduce controls which will impact on the number of houses required. In the meantime the Green Belt land should be withdrawn from the plan until: All brownfield sites in Birmingham have been identified and utilised All empty houses in Birmingham have been utilised. All brownfield sites outside Birmingham, which could possibly be used, have been identified. Negotiations have taken place with neighbouring Councils to indicate what contribution, if any, they can make to the overall requirement.</p>	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S542					Object	<p>I strongly object to the inclusion of Green Belt in the Birmingham Plan its inclusion is short sighted and would not solve the long term housing shortage problem. There are many avenues that can and must be utilised before Green Belt is considered for development. The obvious area to consider is the use of brownfield sites where invariably the infrastructure is already in place. Invigorate those areas in decline or lying dormant. This consideration should also apply to sites outside of Birmingham's boundaries. Investigate other areas more conducive to an urban extension. Consider the supply of empty dwellings in and round the City. These dwellings must be brought back into use before attention turns to the use of Green Belt. Once again infrastructure is already in place. Be absolutely clear on statistics used to drive the intended development. It's imperative that annual reviews are used to update housing need. Society is ever changing and transient and must be very closely tracked to confirm the true housing need. How can precious Green Belt be considered before these resources have been used? The Green Belt is not only Grade 3 agricultural land but is also also used and enjoyed in many ways by the community. Green Belt in North Birmingham is at a minimum and must be safeguarded from unnecessary development. Do not make the irreversible mistake of using Green Belt when other options are clearly available. Walmley is a village with the road classification you would expect from a semi rural environment including lanes of historic note. With the recent housing developments in Newhall and Harvestfields and no change to infrastructure the volume of traffic has increased significantly over recent years. Traffic heading towards and into Birmingham clogs not only the main route into Walmley but also the surrounding road networks including all major roads beyond the village. Traffic from neighbouring towns can be stationary for lengthy periods of time. In and around the village side roads become rat runs as city bound traffic attempts to avoid hold ups at traffic lights on the main roads.</p>	Remove the use of Green Belt from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								<p>Further development in this area would only exacerbate an already difficult traffic problem and the plan to bring additional traffic into the area with an employment development at Peddimore promises to bring complete chaos to the area. How can a "Sprint"/Rapid Transit service be deliverable in these traffic and road conditions? In addition to the increased, slow moving traffic air and noise pollution cannot be ignored. There are grave concerns about air pollution brought about by the move to diesel petrol. Respiratory infections are on the increase and it is estimated that many deaths will be the result of unacceptable emissions from vehicles. How does this situation dovetail with the council's 'green' expectation that walking and cycling become the preferred mode of transport? The future generations are being poisoned as they make their way to school or work. The cycle lane designated to Penns Lane is one way. If lucky enough to navigate around cars parked across the pavement and cycling lane without incident there is no way back!!! All cycle lanes should be made safe with the addition of double yellow lines. How serious are BCC about a green environment? The roads just don't have the capacity for cycle lanes where cyclists can feel safe. The drainage on Springfield Road is another cause for great concern. Heavy rainfall converts the road into a river within minutes making it a hazard for drivers and pedestrians alike. I have seen many pedestrians completely drenched as they try to make their way along this hazardous stretch of road. I have seen a manhole cover lifted and 'floating' on a powerful jet of water from the overstretched drainage system. Walmley shopping facilities are extremely limited. An increased demand on the small run of convenience shops and the lack of parking will add to the village centre traffic congestion as drivers circle waiting for a free parking space. To shop with choice it is recognised that residents have to travel to Tamworth, Solihull or the City Centre these options are not compatible with the 'green' lifestyle being advocated. Doctors' surgeries are working to capacity and the reorganisation of hospitals and resulting centres of excellence all require increased travel to the other side of the city. This is not compatible with a 'green' lifestyle. Anyone working in education will know that new pupils joining a school can be disruptive to a settled class - imagine the affect of a never ending flow of new pupils on the area's education system. This consultation period has again hit a family holiday time when residents and interested parties are most likely on holiday for the first two weeks. The community has not been informed in the manner I believe appropriate for a development of this size and nature.</p>				

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S544					Object	<p>I object to the green belt still being included in the above plan. The development would have many adverse impacts that would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. Birmingham has very little green belt remaining and once the areas in Sutton Coldfield have been removed it can never be reinstated. The NPPF states "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt "Source: NPPF. There are many brownfield sites across Birmingham that can be used to provide housing and this would also improve the general environment that these sites are in. All of these sites should be exhausted before any Green Belt is used. In addition to this all empty homes should be exhausted as per "Policy May 2015 2010-2015 government policy: house building". I would also like to know why this housing need has to be fulfilled by Birmingham and cannot be met outside of Birmingham's boundaries. There are many brownfield sites that are suitable with better infrastructure than in Walmley. The decisions that Walmley and Peddimore are the preferred strategic option is seriously flawed. The impact on this area would be hugely negative as it is already an area that is struggling with a lack of decent infrastructure to maintain the houses that have already been built here. The transport options are woeful and this has resulted in a seriously congested area. The congestion in Sutton Coldfield and Walmley it is already such that every road through Walmley is gridlocked in the morning and evening and at the end of school (Walmley Road, Webster Way, Reddicap Road, Fox Hollies Road and Eachelhurst Road). On Saturday at 3pm the traffic was queued for half a mile into Sutton from Reddicap Hill and last Tuesday morning at 7.45am to get from Eachelhurst Road across Chester Road took 20 minutes due to the traffic being backed up for half a mile and this is normal. The A38 queues leading on to Kingsbury Road are also bumper to bumper queuing to get on the island at Minworth - traffic surveys have identified in some areas into the city the maximum speed is 13.mph during peak times. A 6,000 housing development and employment zone will only increase capacity on these roads, increasing private transport, unless more consideration is given to how the transport infrastructure needs of the area can be met. The bus lanes and sprint buses are not viable as they cannot sprint all of the way into Birmingham and the 115 bus service that goes through Walmley into Birmingham has been recently cut down causing problems for residents . To work in Peddimore people will have to travel across town from the city centre to the employment zone. Or access it via the motorway network, A38 or A47 increasing capacity on the roads. There is an industrial site available in Washwood Heath with all of the infrastructure already in place so why isn't this being used? Once the greenbelt is removed it cannot be restored. I have to drive for my job as do many others, so the presumption that you can bus people in and out of the area is not viable. In addition to this many people cannot get a bus directly from their home to where they work. The location of the Peddimore site will mean people have to drive across Sutton Coldfield to the site or into Birmingham which will increase the use of private cars and increase congestion and capacity on the roads which is harmful for the many residents that walk to work in the town centre or to school with children. There are 4 junior schools in the near vicinity of Peddimore and Langley which adds to the danger for children walking to school. There is also no funding for an entry/exit on to the A38 to keep traffic away from the residential areas. In addition to the congestion on the local roads the M42 will also be pushed further to accommodate more cars and the M42 is already queued up in both directions from Dunton Island junction. A new housing development will also increase private transport to the Heart of England Hospital facilities in Bordesley or Solihull as Good Hope only provides limited services. There is an absence of any evidence showing how the extra traffic from at least 10,000 more cars can be accommodated on the local roads. Birmingham City Council have</p>	<p>Green Belt removed from the plan until all brownfield sites and all empty housing are exhausted before the Green Belt is even considered and at the point of everything available has been used only then should building on the Green Belt be readdressed. A more creative approach should be applied to how to address the housing shortage. A more sustainable approach is to locate housing in areas requiring regeneration in the wider West Midlands community. In addition to this the employment land allocated at Peddimore should be removed from the plan due to HS2 in Washwood Heath now being available. The infrastructure is in place already and it is in a more viable position for people travelling to work there. A long term view should be taken to address the overall housing need and building blocks in place for new settlements to cope with future growth in the next plan period.</p>	<p>Object to principle of Green Belt development.</p>	<p>Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.</p>	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								<p>can be accommodated on the local roads. Birmingham City Council have made efforts to make the proposal more sustainable by focusing on use of " public transport, cycling and walking as a proportion of total travel". However the cycle routes are limited and cycling on main roads such as Eachelhurst Road, Kingsbury Road, Walmley Road and Thimble End Road are fraught with danger due to the high capacity of cars. I am also not comfortable, as are many others I know, at using the buses following a spate of attacks on buses in the area. Walmley is not on the train line whereas Areas A and B are. Therefore,Sutton Park train line opening is essential with parking available and funding should be secured for this before any building goes ahead. With this in mind we drive to the stations at Wylde Green and Sutton Coldfield stations with a 20 plus minute journey to both at peak times and if you arrive after 7.30am in Wylde Green and 8.00am in Sutton Coldfield there is no parking available. In addition to the infrastructure issues there will be a significant impact on the air quality from co2 emissions. As mentioned before people will have to use private transport to Heartlands Hospital in Bordesley Green as there is no direct public transport and this will cause even more traffic and more emissions. All of this passing the local schools where children are walking to and from on a daily basis. The plan for the Langley/Peddimore area of Sutton Coldfield is in direct conflict with the policies for limiting pollution and ensuring that the green agenda is followed. The fact that the congestion in Sutton Coldfield is already so bad that you cannot drive into the centre of the town or into Birmingham without substantial traffic and it can take in excess of 30 minutes to travel under a mile means that the addition of a potential 10,000 cars from the 6,000 houses built in Walmley will increase an already congested area and c02 emissions. When walking in the area at certain parts of the day the fumes from the traffic are at unhealthy levels. Public transport in the area is also so expensive that it is actually cheaper to travel by car in to Sutton Coldfield. There should be focus on reducing congestion looking at more sustainable transport options. Locating an employment zone closer to where there is high unemployment such as the HS2 site in Washwood Heath. The current congestion and capacity issues need to be addressed first and then a transport solution considered which is focused on reducing Co2 emissions. Has anybody actually looked at the traffic issues here and the Co2 emissions situation? I am also concerned about the lack of facilities in Walmley already. Parking is almost impossible and people living on the proposed Langley site will need transport to get into Sutton Coldfield, Minworth and Walmley as it is at least a mile in any direction to Walmley village where the doctors,supermarket and other services are located. The parking there is already difficult and the village gridlocks. Having two young children who would like to walk to School I fear with the additional 6,000 houses there could potentially be an additional 12,000 cars on the roads in Walmley that are already congested. I would like information on how the BCC engaged with the local community organisations, associations, hospitals, police federations, schools. their governors etc and what were their suggestions,feedback and comments and how these were taken into account when creating the BDP. Reading and understanding the plan has been very difficult and time consuming. There is too much information and detail for a consultation period of just 8 weeks for a decision as significant that is to be made by the community on the full detail and to understand its implications.</p>				

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S549					Object	I am objecting to the fact that the Green Belt is still included in this Development Plan. The Green Belt was created specifically to prevent the suburbs of Birmingham sprawling across the countryside and joining up with Tamworth and Lichfield. This proposed development would do significant harm to the area of Green Belt which we have left. This is not empty, unused land. It is mostly productive farmland, and once it is built on it will be lost forever. The harm to the Green Belt would outweigh the benefits of this development.	The Green Belt should be removed from this proposed development. Brownfield sites in under-populated inner-city areas should be developed before the Green Belt is touched.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S551					Object	I am writing to object to the green belt around Walmley and Peddimore still being included in this plan. I believe that the proposed development would have adverse impacts on the surround area, roads and populus. It will significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. There is so little green belt remaining within Birmingham, that its removal would be of significant harm to the green belt. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt"(source: NPPF) The use of the Green Belt should be removed from the plan until all brownfield sites have been identified and utilised, and that all empty homes are filled (policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building). A truer picture of housing need has to be realised before any Green Belt is released. The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings in Birmingham needs to be delivered and filled. Only after these options have been exhausted should a review to be undertaken to consider release of Green Belt. Land around the HS2 Washwood Heath site should also be used first before releasing land around Peddimore. The consultation process, since 2012, has been very difficult to access - such a long document that is not one that is accessible to all members of the public.	The development of the Green Belt to be removed from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S556					Object	This development is not suitable for the use of green belt. Brown field and empty housing should be used first to protect what little green belt is left in Birmingham. Indeed, the planning guidance is clear that "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt". This particular area was, in the previous Birmingham Development Plans, designated as the end of the urban wildlife corridor extending into Birmingham along the New Hall Valley and Pype Hayes Park. The building of 6000 homes across the end of this corridor will render it useless, thereby setting off the destruction of the entire wildlife population left within these areas and the perfect excuse to continue to destroy them with more houses, as they no longer contain wildlife. This green belt must be removed from the plan until such time as all other possibilities within and around Birmingham have been exhausted. This includes areas more suitable for new settlement and sustainable urban extension beyond Birmingham's borders. In addition it is important to note that no additional services are being offered to the new residents. With a large proportion of the homes aimed at low income families it is astonishing that there will be no schools, doctors, shops or amenities for them, thus creating an estate of car owners adding to the pollution, congestion and creating misery in the local area.	Remove the green belt from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S560					Object	Green belt land should not be included in any plan until the available brownfield sites and current housing stock has been fully explored and reviewed. The development will have a significantly adverse impact on the green belt available and will demonstrably outweigh the benefits and will significantly harm the existing local community. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt"(source: NPPF. The consultation process has not allowed sufficient time for real alternatives to be considered and not engaged the Birmingham residents allowing them time to develop and present proposals that will actually meet the needs of Birmingham. Many of those whom require housing in inner Birmingham do not wish to be moved to the outskirts as they enjoy life close to the city centre.	Green belt land should be removed from this plan until all existing brownfield sites have been identified, explored and their use exhausted. All existing empty homes in the area should be utilised as per Government policy May 2015. The HS2 Washwood Heath site should be used before any green belt as the required infrastructure is already partially in place Helping keep costs down. Brownfield sites on the boundary of Birmingham should also be considered as they may provide more economical and quicker returns on delivering a sustainable urban extension. Provide detailed plans of what changes will be made to the existing infrastructure road, rail public transport in order to cater for such an additional volume of residents. Details should be provided of how doctors and hospital facilities for an increased population will be delivered before any more new housing is started. The existing local shops can not service an increase in population of the size suggested. Retailers are currently cutting back on new development so these factors need to be included in a wider review And answers provided before any plan is approved.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S562					Object	I am writing to object to the green belt around Walmley and Peddimore still being included in this plan. I believe that the proposed development would have adverse impacts on the surround area, roads and population. It will significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. There is so little green belt remaining within Birmingham, that its removal would be of significant harm to the green belt. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt"(source: NPPF) The use of the Green Belt should be removed from the plan until all brownfield sites have been identified and utilised, and that all empty homes are filled (policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building). A truer picture of housing need has to be realised before any Green Belt is released. The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings in Birmingham needs to be delivered and filled. Only after these options have been exhausted should a review to be undertaken to consider release of Green Belt. Land around the HS2 Washwood Heath site should also be used first before releasing land around Peddimore. The consultation process, since 2012, has been very difficult to access - such a long document that is not one that is accessible to all members of the public. The Peddimore site is surrounded by historic lanes which must be preserved. The open site is of outstanding beauty for that very fact. Seasonal changes bring fields full of poppies, yellow rape and golden corn. The time immemorial scene of birds circling and following the plough can all be observed and enjoyed on this exceptional site. We will be depriving future generations of these uplifting scenes. My children love to see how the surrounding fields change from season to season, and year to year, discussing with us how farming works and learning where their food comes from. Scenes like these feed and uplift the mind and soul. It is important to consider the recognised link between mental and physical health.	The use of the Green Belt area around Walmley and Peddimore should be removed from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S563					Object	I am writing to object to the green belt around Walmley and Peddimore still being included in this plan. I believe that the proposed development would have adverse impacts on the surround area, roads and population. It will significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. There is so little green belt remaining within Birmingham, that its removal would be of significant harm to the green belt. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt"(source: NPPF) The use of the Green Belt should be removed from the plan until all brownfield sites have been identified and utilised, and that all empty homes are filled (policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building). A truer picture of housing need has to be realised before any Green Belt is released. The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings in Birmingham needs to be delivered and filled. Only after these options have been exhausted should a review to be undertaken to consider release of Green Belt. Land around the HS2 Washwood Heath site should also be used first before releasing land around Peddimore. The consultation process, since 2012, has been very difficult to access - such a long document that is not one that is accessible to all members of the public. The Peddimore site is surrounded by historic lanes which must be preserved. The open site is of outstanding beauty for that very fact. Seasonal changes bring fields full of poppies, yellow rape and golden corn. The time immemorial scene of birds circling and following the plough can all be observed and enjoyed on this exceptional site. We will be depriving future generations of these uplifting scenes. My children love to see how the surrounding fields change from season to season, and year to year, discussing with us how farming works and learning where their food comes from. Scenes like these feed and uplift the mind and soul. It is important to consider the recognised link between mental and physical health.	The use of the Green Belt area around Walmley and Peddimore should be removed from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S575					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604208
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S577					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604233
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S58					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3576465
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S581					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604496
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S585					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604576
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S587					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604796
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S593					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604880

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S601					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3604898
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S605					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3604909
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S609					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3604927
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S614					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3604963
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S62					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3577656
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S622					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3605276
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S625		Project Fields			Object	We understand the principal of the strategic option to build on green belt but we have severe concern that there is no justification based on the clear fact that it is not the most appropriate strategy when considering we are a city veering at capacity. The option also cannot deliver a sustainable development in accordance with NPPF as a result of this. Please also refer to our comments on Chapter 5 of the SA document. The plan is not effective as we do not believe that it is deliverable within the plan period. We have always maintained that the online BDP stated market capacity by the BCC was 3,000. The attached minutes verify the principle that this has always been promoted as true market capacity. Note the date of the minutes is after the hardcopy BDP was presented. We also refer to this in our comments on Chapter 5 of the Sustainability appraisal. The plan is not effective as it is not based on effective joint working on cross-boundary priorities. Our understanding is that planning policy suggests that councils should "seek to meet their housing needs within their HMA before looking elsewhere ", which the city council have done. But due to the fact there remains a 43,900 shortfall (without green belt included) there is an absence of the identification of reasonable alternatives sites within the totality of the HMA. We find this a surprising omission when there are many sites in the wider HMA which have in fact been promoted for development. The absence of a robust transport evidence database, to support the green belt principle, means it is not compliant with NPPF. More importantly any development can only be considered inappropriate due to the finite nature of the green belt we now have here in Birmingham. This proposal is not compliant with NPPF. Any development in Birmingham should be constrained by green belt policy for the fact there is only 4,150 hectares of available green belt land. Any development on the green belt can only be considered inappropriate if we accept the guiding principles of the green belt policy in the National Planning Policy Framework. Birmingham	To make the plan justified, green belt removed from the plan. To make the plan effective, more effective cross-boundary work is required to find strategic sites that have sustainable credentials. Please cross-refer to our comments on Chapter 5 of the sustainability appraisal. Due to the finite nature of the green belt in Birmingham an audit of all brownfield sites in Birmingham, wider HMA and beyond is undertaken before any decision can be considered justified and effective. Compliance to NPPF required with regards to the principals of green belt release and that due to the finite nature of our green belt, any development can now be seen only as inappropriate. Confirmation of whether the site is for 6,000 or 3,000 capacity. Confirmation and evidence that site for 6,000 is deliverable within the plan period.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/creation/download/3604985

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								<p>City Council s planning appears to work on the assumption that the green belt policy is obsolete. Planning policy, of course, suggests otherwise. Planning policy in Birmingham has been overridden at every local plan review here in Birmingham for over 30 years and huge swathes of the green belt have been continually released. This has resulted in this city being now a city of urban sprawl and clearly evidences that there appears to be no protection afforded to the green belt. The question is whether, in decision making, unmet need for housing can outweigh green belt Protection? The answer is very clear in planning guidance that "Unmet housing need (including for traveller sites) is unlikely to outweigh the harm to the green belt and other harm to constitute the "very special circumstances "justifying inappropriate development on a site within the green belt ". For clarity can the BCC and Inspector confirm whether the assumption is therefore that planning policy is obsolete here in Birmingham? Or, is it that whilst planning policy and guidance exists it is being overruled for inappropriate development and on track to do so again for this plan. This plan and previous local plan cycles have shown that there has been no regard for the intended permanence of green belt, it s long term use or demonstrated that it is capable of enduring beyond the plan period and has favoured inappropriate development Planning policy says very clearly that when creating a local plan the local authority "where necessary, identify in their plans areas of safeguarded land between the urban area and the green belt, in order to meet longer-term development needs stretching well beyond the plan period "It is very clear this has never been done. The assumption has been on that green belt would be used to meet future housing needs. Planning policy guides local authorities in the preparation of a local plan to "Green belt boundaries will not need to be altered at the end of the development plan period "But the green belt principal has been established and considered yet again for development at the end of the previous development plan period. The previous local plan defined boundaries "using physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent "but this plan is moving the previous boundary of Webster Way, Thimble End, and Springfield Road to the boundary that is the A38. This overrides the principle that "Once established, green belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances ".At that time, authorities should consider the green belt boundaries having regard to their intended permanence in the long term so that they should be capable of enduring beyond the plan period " Any development can only be considered inappropriate due to the finite nature of the green belt we now have here in Birmingham. This proposal as it stands is therefore not compliant with NPPF.</p>				
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S626					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3605749
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S632					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3606360

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S643					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3606472
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S645					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3606480
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S647					Object	Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt There are many brownfield sites in North Birmingham which do not appear to have been identified and exhausted Have all empty homes been exhausted? The true picture of housing need has not been realised. Only when the current supply has been delivered should any Green Belt be released. There are many brownfield sites outside Birmingham's boundaries Garden Cities should be considered for sustainable long term growth. Only when full infrastructure is provided is growth sustainable. The consultation process has been difficult to follow and poorly explained to people both in and surrounding Birmingham.	Do not build on Green Belt. Use brownfield sites and consider new Garden Cities.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S649					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3606506
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S651					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3606507
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S653					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3606740
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S655					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3606756
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S657					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3606766
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S659					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3606782
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S66					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3577715
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S661					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3606789

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S663					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606798
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S665					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606807
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S667					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606813
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S669					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606831
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S671					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606841
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S673					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606852
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S675					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606858
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S677					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606864
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S679					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606874
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S681					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607048
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S683					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607076

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S687					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3607565
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S69					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3577745
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S691					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3607622
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S693					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3607631
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S696					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.. Object to inclusion of SPRINT/ Rapid Transit.	A transport and infrastructure strategy has been developed to accommodate additional travel demand arising from development in the Green Belt and impact on the wider road network. This includes new public transport routes and services, walking and cycling infrastructure, and improvements to the highway network to increase capacity. Smarter choices measures such as travel plans will also be implemented to reduce traffic and increase the use of sustainable modes as part of the planning control process. Works have been developed for the A38 and funding packages will be formulated as required.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3607663
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S698					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3607676
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S700	Mrs Louise Baudet	Parish Council Clerk Curdworth Parish Council			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3607697
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S702					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3607721

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S704					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3608207
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S706					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3608922
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S708					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3608930
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S710					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3608938
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S712					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3608943
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S714					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3608956
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S716					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609094
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S718					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609111
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S720					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609121
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S722					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609411

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S724					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609433
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S726					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609467
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S728					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609486
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S73					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3577912
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S730					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609578
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S732					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610217
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S734					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610506
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S736					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610547
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S738					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610594
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S742					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610756
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S744					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610804
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S746					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610815
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S748					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610831
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S753					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610979

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S755					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3611035
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S757					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3611226
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S772					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3612142
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S774					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3612309
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S776					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3612325
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S778					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3612350
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S78					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3577947
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S780					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613546
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S781					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613868
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S783					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613546
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S786					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613546
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S788					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613546

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S790					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3613964
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S792					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3613981
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S794					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3613987
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S796					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3613999
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S798					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614010
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S800					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614010
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S802					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614048
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S804					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614010
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S806					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614104
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S809					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614219
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S811					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614220
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S813					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614224
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S815					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614226

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S817					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614228
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S819					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614228
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S821					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614236
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S823					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614251
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S825					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614275
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S827					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614293
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S831					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614505
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S833					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3614505
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S835					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3615726
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S837					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3615726
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S839					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3615726
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S841					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3615726
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S843					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3615726
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S845					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3615726

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S847					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3615726
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S849					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3615726
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S851					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3615726
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S853					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3618276
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S855					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3619014
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S857					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3619274
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S86					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3578360
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S860					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3619349
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S862					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3619350
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S864					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3619354
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S868					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3620790
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S870					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3620793
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S872					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3620795
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S874					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3620929

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S876					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3620937
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S878					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3621132
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S88					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3578376
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S880					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3621140
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S882					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3621140
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S884					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3621226
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S886					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3621244
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S888					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3621262
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S890					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622247
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S892					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622349
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S894					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622359
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S896					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622389
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S898					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S900					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622405

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S902					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622413
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S905					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622518
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S907					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S909					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S911					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S913					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S915					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S917					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S919					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S921					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S923					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S925					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S927					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3622714
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S93					Object	I am objecting to the green belt still being included in this plan. I believe the development would have adverse impacts and "significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits ". We have so little green belt remaining that by removing it is of significant harm to the green belt. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt "Source: NPPF. The roads around Sutton Coldfield are already heavily congested causing intense air and noise pollution. As someone who attempts to travel by environmentally conscious means i.e. by bike and foot, the proposed development will significantly affect my quality of life. Already I face very nasty bullying from drivers in the area, both verbal and physical. Death threats are becoming more common as I ride around the area, this will only get worse as congestion and road rage increases. Doctors surgeries and Good Hope Hospital already cannot cope with demand. It is becoming increasingly difficult to make appointments and waiting times at the hospital are ridiculous. Green space, whether it be fields or golf courses is fundamental for a good quality of life. Especially if you care about your surroundings and not just your bottom line.	All Brownfield sites should be considered and the Green Belt should be removed from this proposal.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3578728
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S930					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.. Object to inclusion of SPRINT/ Rapid Transit. Addition to para. 5.58 should be mandatory. Amendments to the final sentence of High Quality Design and Landscape section should be made a requirement.	Comments relating to the principle of development on the Green Belt do not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications. A transport and infrastructure strategy has been developed to accommodate additional travel demand arising from development in the Green Belt and impact on the wider road network. This includes new public transport routes and services, walking and cycling infrastructure, and improvements to the highway network to increase capacity. Smarter choices measures such as travel plans will also be implemented to reduce traffic and increase the use of sustainable modes as part of the planning control process. Works have been developed for the A38 and funding packages will be formulated as required. Policy does not require any change.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3622973
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S933					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3622985

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S935					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3623008
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S937					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3623008
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S939					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3623008
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S941					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3623053
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S943					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3623053
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S945					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3623092
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S947					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3623092
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S949					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3623130
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S95					Object	I live in Four Oaks on the Main Weeford Road. I ve lived here for the last 15 years. In that time the pollution has worsened and the traffic is horrendous. It s now difficult to get your child into a school, they are so overcrowded, Doctors and hospitals is another No! No! When they did build a new estate a few years ago they promised new schools, Doctors etc. These promises have never been carried out. Forget building around here and start a new build in Scotland!!!		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3578755
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S951					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3623130
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S953					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3623130
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S955					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3623252

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S957					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623262
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S959					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623360
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S961					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623364
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S963					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623371
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S965					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3624776
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S967					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3624796
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S969					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3624849
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S97					Object	I am objecting to the green belt being included in this plan. The development will have adverse effect and will completely outweigh any benefits for the area. Witness the already congested traffic in Walmley Village, Walmley Ash Road and the junction of Eachelhurst Road, Penns Lane and Walmley Ash Road junction. The new B&M Store with its discounted goods has only made the situation worse. There is little green belt left in Sutton Coldfield and removing more will significantly harm the environment. I submit that unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt, source: NPPF. It is essential that the green belt should be removed from the building plan and only considered when all brownfield sites outweigh Birmingham s boundaries (adjacent) have been identified and which are more suitable for urban extension. The HS2 Washwood Heath site should be used first before releasing green belt land in Sutton Coldfield.		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3580910
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S973					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3624899

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S975					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3624918
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S977					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3624931
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S979					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3624950
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S981					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3624980
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S983					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625038
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S985					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625069
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S987					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625140
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S99					Object	I am objecting to the green belt still being included in this plan. Development would significantly and demonstrably outweigh any benefits envisaged. Unmet housing needs should not outweigh harm to the green belt. Birmingham covers a vast area and should exhaust all brownfield sites before any consideration is given to green belt land. Also identify and utilise all empty homes before any consideration is given to green belt land.	Green belt means green belt and should be passed on to our children and our children s children. It is far too simplistic for Birmingham City Council to avoid their obligation to identify brownfield sites and empty housing. Only in exceptional circumstances can green belt be built upon and this is not an exceptional circumstance.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3580914
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S990					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625205
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S992					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625320
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S994					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625408
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S996					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625408

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S998					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3625408
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1161					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to inclusion of SPRINT/ Rapid Transit. Object to requirement for investigation of mineral deposits prior to the commencement of development.	Comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. The Council considers that the proposal is consistent with the NPPF. The Council is committed to the delivery of Sprint/Rapid Transit to this site and believes that it will make a significant contribution to meeting the transport needs of the site. No change required. Minerals policy is required to ensure that mineral resources are protected, in line with the NPPF.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3629051
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S354	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support	For amendment of para 5.58		Support amendment to para. 5.58	Support noted.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S571					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Objection to green belt development on transport, pollution and quality of life grounds and because brownfield sites are considered preferable.	Comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3603509
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S588	Councillor Rob Pocock				Object	The proposed modification is welcome in that there is a commitment to the protection and enhancement of biodiversity. However the modification is not strong enough to allow that objective to be achieved.	The modification should be strengthened to highlight to the NPPF principle that any such development should be such that does not outweigh harm to the green belt. It should also highlight that a 'Garden Cities' approach to all housing development is the preferred model for achieving the 'enhancement of biodiversity' that is now included in the modification.	Welcomes the reference to biodiversity but considers that the wording should be strengthened.	The Council considers that the wording is sufficiently strong. Any further clarification could be provided through the SPD. No change required.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1202					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3629938
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1341	Mrs Elizabeth Allison		Chairman Sutton Coldfield Civic Society		Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3636421
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1238					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3633732

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1325					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1262					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1264					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1274					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1304					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635535
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1129					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628486
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1194					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629854
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1185					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629800
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1172					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629624
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1130					Object	Please find attached	Please find attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628421

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1376					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637645
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1431					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638073
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1437					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638112
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1443					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638238
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1445					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638248
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1449					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638518
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1335					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636352
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1345					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636444
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1394					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637814
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1387					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637739

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1447					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638255
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1337					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636368
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1317					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635760
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1323					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636111
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1302					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635512
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1250					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1276					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634203
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1296					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634812
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1240					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633732
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1321					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635795
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1244					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633747
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1242					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633747
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1254					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1298					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634820
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1349					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636496
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1307					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635636
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1329					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636324
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1266					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1270					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1288					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634442
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1252					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1231					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630135
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1246					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633747
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1248					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633747
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1256					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1258					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1260					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1268					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1272					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1278					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634214
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1280					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634227
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1282					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634379
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1284					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1286					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634409
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1290					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634773
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1292					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634792
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1294					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634800
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1300					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634838
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1309					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635676
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1311					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635719
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1313					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635733

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1315					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635750
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1319					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635765
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1327					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636317
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1331					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636327
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1333					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636336
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1339					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636390
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1343					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636433
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1347					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636461
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1351					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636502
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1354					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636970

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1595								Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1506					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639304
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1589					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641047
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1574					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640730
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1566					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640660
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1486					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639219
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1487					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639219
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1584					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640940
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1439					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638114
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1473					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639177
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1451					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638519
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1554					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640541
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1382					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637635

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1395					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637816
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1425					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638053
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1378					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637642
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1500					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639278
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1397					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1405					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1415					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1429					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638066
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1392					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637805
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1393					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637811
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1441					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638232
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1453					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638528

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1433					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638084
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1423					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638043
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1356					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637695
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1358					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637687
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1360					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637686
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1362					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637683
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1364					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637681
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1366					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637680
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1368					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637676
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1370					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637359
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1372					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637674
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1374					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637646
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1380					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637638

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1384					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637627
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1388					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637778
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1389					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637785
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1390					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637790
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1391					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637797
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1396					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637826
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1399					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1401					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1403					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1407					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1409					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1411					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1413					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1417					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638012
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1419					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638032
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1421					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638035
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1427					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638056
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1435					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638104
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1455					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638643
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1457					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638876
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1459					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638960

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1461					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638976
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1463					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638985
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1465					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639139
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1472					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639190
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1475					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639191
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1478					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639199
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1479					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639203
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1725					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644420
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1722					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644400

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1662					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642331
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1658					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642302
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1624					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641789
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1630					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641858
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1707					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644219
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1642					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642185
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1640					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642176
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1588					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641038

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1528					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640305
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1562					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640631
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1560					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640608
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1484					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639208
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1502					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639287
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1491					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639241
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1587					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640992
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1482					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639205
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1488					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639231

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1490					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639235
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1494					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639249
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1495					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639257
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1498					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639265
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1504					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639301
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1508					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639314
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1510					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639323
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1512					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3639336

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1514					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639341
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1529					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640314
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1534					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640401
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1536					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640412
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1538					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640426
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1540					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640427
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1542					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640434
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1544					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640444
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1546					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640505
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1548					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640510

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1549					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640515
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1552					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640532
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1556					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640563
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1558					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640582
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1564					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640647
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1568					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640669
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1570					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640696
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1572					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640716
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1576					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640739
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1578					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3640831

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1580					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640843
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1582					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640847
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1586					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640988
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1591					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641300
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1593					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641483
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1596					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641514
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1598					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641519
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1600					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641527
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1602					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641572

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1604					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3641590
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1605					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3641603
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1607					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3641602
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1850					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1857					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3648367
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1755					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3644782
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1831					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3647319
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1711					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3644225
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1701					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3644193
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1771					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3645369

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1672					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642404
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1667					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642371
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1855					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1772					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645375
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1787					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645993
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1775					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645383
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1810					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646891
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1648					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642233
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1805					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646254
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1610					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641615

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1612					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641622
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1614					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641632
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1616					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641654
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1618					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641661
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1620					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641674
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1622					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641686
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1626					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641825
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1628					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641844
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1632					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642118
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1634					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642128
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1636					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642162
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1638					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642165
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1644					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642191
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1646					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642196
Langley	PMM17	BDP MOD S1650					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642252

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1652					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642268
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1654					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642282
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1656					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642297
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1660					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642312
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1663					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642338
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1666					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642357
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1669					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642357
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1673					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642357
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1676					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642849
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1678					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643010
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1680					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643184
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1682					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643197

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1684					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643219
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1687					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643376
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1689					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643389
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1691					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643635
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1693					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643961
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1695					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644130
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1697					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644136
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1699					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644156
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1702					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644197
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1709					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644224
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1713					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644230
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1715					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644244

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1717					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644371
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1719					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644376
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1721					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644393
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1726					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644421
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1729					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644455
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1731					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644503
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1732					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644500
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1925					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648923
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1920					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648905
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1874					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1922					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648913
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1976					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1905					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648711

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1908					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1904					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1892					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648652
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1882					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1936					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648954
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1939					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648966
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1735					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644515
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1737					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644684
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1739					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644695
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1741					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644707
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1742					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644704
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1745					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644718
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1747					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644750

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1749					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644757
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1751					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644768
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1753					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644778
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1757					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644788
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1759					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644705
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1760					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645299
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1764					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645324
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1763					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645323
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1768					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645347
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1767					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645348
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1777					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645409
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1779					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645820

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1781					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645846
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1783					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645866
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1785					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645983
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1788					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645994
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1791					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646182
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1792					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646187
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1795					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646211
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1796					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646205
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1799					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646220
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1800					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646225

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1803					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646251
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1806					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646256
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1809					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646676
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1813					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646993
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1815					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647014
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1817					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647034
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1819					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647118
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1820					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647126
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1823					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647144
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1824					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647151

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1827					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647275
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1828					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647276
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1832					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647297
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1834					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647320
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1837					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647410
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1839					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648286
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1841					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1842					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648295
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1845					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648299
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1846					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1849					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648301

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1853					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1859					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648372
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2006					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2036					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2094					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654685
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2044					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2112					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654756
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2109					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654748
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1871					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648424
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1862					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648379
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1864					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648389

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1866					Object	See attached.Â	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1868					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648413
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1870					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1875					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648509
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1878					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1880					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1884					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648613
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1886					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648636
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1888					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648638
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S1890					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648643

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1894					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648668
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1895					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648668
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1896					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648683
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1898					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648693
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1900					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648700
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1902					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648707
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1910					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1912					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1914					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1916					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648895

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1918					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648900
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1924					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1928					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648931
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1929					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1932					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1934					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648943
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1938					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1942					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649009
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1943					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1946					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1948					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649020

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1949					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1952					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649022
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1954					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649034
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1956					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1958					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649060
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1960					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649077
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1962					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649082
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1964					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1966					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1968					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649114
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1970					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649622

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1971					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1974					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1978					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1980					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1982					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1984					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1986					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1988					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1990					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1992					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1994					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1996					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S1998					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2000					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2002					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2217					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2184					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3655953
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2182					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3655946
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2197					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3656942
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2004					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2008					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2010					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2012					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2014					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2016					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obieactive.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2018					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obieactive.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2020					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obieactive.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2022					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obieactive.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2024					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obieactive.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2026					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obieactive.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2028					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2030					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2032					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2034					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2038					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2040					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2042					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2046					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2048					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2050					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2052					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2054					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2056					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2058					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2060					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2062					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2064					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654420
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2065					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2068					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2069					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654429
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2073					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2072					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654542
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2075					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2078					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654551
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2080					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654554
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2082					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654569
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2085					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2087					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2089					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2084					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654639

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2092					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2096					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2098					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654729
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2099					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2102					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2104					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654739
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2106					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2108					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2113					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2116					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2118					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654772
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2120					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2122					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654801
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2124					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654805
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2126					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654806
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2128					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654813
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2130					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654828
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2132					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654842
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2247					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2248					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2281					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658158
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2249					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2347					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2319					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2337					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2261					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2323					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658406
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2357					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658704
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2303					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658337
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2134					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654851
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2136					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654868
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2138					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2140					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2142					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2144					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2146					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2147					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2148					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654921
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2149					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2152					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2153					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654968
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2156					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654985
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2159					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2158					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3655011
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2162					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2164					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2166					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2168					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2170					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2172					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2174					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2176					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2178					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2180					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3655935
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2186					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3655972
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2188					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3656304
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2190					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3656853

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2192					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3656884
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2194					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3656902
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2199					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3656952
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2201					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3657014
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2203					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2205					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2207					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2209					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2211					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2213					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2215					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2219					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2221					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2223					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2225					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2227					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2229					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2231					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2233					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2235					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2237					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2239					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2241					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2243					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2245					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2251					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2253					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2399					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3660475
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2479					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3679922
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2477					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3679915
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2255					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2257					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2259					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2263					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2265					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2267					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2269					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2271					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2273					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2275					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2277					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2279					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658147
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2282					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2285					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2287					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2289					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2291					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2293					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2295					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2297					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2298					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658321
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2301					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2304					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2307					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2308					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658380
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2311					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2313					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2315					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658390

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2317					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2321					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658403
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2325					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658411
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2327					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658421
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2329					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658424
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2331					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2333					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2335					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2338					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658626
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2341					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2343					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658635
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2345					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2349					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2351					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2353					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2355					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2360					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2359					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658717
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2362					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2366					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2365					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658738
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2368					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2371					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2373					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2375					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658911
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2377					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658917

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2379					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658923
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2381					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658933
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2383					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658945
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2385					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658990
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2387					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660127
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2389					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660189
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2391					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660225
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2516			EDEN PROPERTIES		Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681088
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2495					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680652
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2501					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680997
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2511					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681062
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2497					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680885
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2499					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680918
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2523					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681175
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2393					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660459

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2395					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3660468
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2397					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3660473
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2401					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3660482
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2403					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3660487
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2405					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3660617
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2407					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3660625
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2409					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3660634
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2411					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3661780
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2413					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3661791
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2415					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3661795
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2417					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3661797
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2419					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3661813
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2421					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3661832
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2423					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3661839
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2425					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3661860
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2427					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3661875

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2429					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661925
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2431					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661935
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2433					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662244
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2435					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662284
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2437					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662289
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2439					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662304
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2441					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662395
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2443					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662408
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2445					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662419
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2447					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662423
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2449					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663739
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2451					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663763
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2453					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663780
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2455					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663826
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2457					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663835
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2459					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663843

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2461					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663859
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2463					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663862
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2465					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663872
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2467					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663877
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2469					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3679813
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2471					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3679825
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2473					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3679827
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2475					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3679831
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2481					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3679947
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2483					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3679960
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2485					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680524
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2487					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680536
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2489					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680559
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2491					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680591
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2493					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680629
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2503					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681016
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2504					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681031
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2507					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681036
Langley	PMM17	BDPMD S2509					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681051

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2510					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3681058
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2514					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3681078
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2519					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3681112
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2521					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3681120
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2525					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3661860
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2527					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3681233
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2529					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3681239
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2531					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3681251
Langley	PPM17	BDPMOD S2535					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Langley	PMM17	BDPMOD S2537					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3719624
Langley	PPM17	BDPMOD S2539					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648924
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S100					Object	I am objecting to the green belt still being included in this plan. Development would significantly and demonstrably outweigh any benefits envisaged. Unmet housing needs should not outweigh harm to the green belt. Birmingham covers a vast area and should exhaust all brownfield sites before any consideration is given to green belt land. Also identify and utilise all empty homes before any consideration is given to green belt land.	Green belt means green belt and should be passed on to our children and our children's children. It is far too simplistic for Birmingham City Council to avoid their obligation to identify brownfield sites and empty housing. Only in exceptional circumstances can green belt be built upon and this is not an exceptional circumstance.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648925
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1001					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625408
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1003					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625571
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1005					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625586
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1007					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625939
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1009					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625992

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1012					Object	See attached.		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626016
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1013					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626015
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1018					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626087
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1019					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626178
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S102					Object	The NPPF states that "un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt ". I do not believe that there are sufficient "exceptional circumstances "to cause the loss of what little green belt exists. There are empty homes unused within the Birmingham boundary, and not all brownfield sites have been utilised. The HS2 site at Washwood Heath is available. Area outside the city boundary have not been explored, and if the need for housing is so great it would be advisable to have a new garden city away from existing areas of development. The number of houses required seems vague " more a question of guesswork than investigation.	The Council should reconsider their decision, which seems to have been made to take advantage of the government-made opportunity to use green belt land, rather than as a carefully thought-out strategy.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3580926
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1022					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626364
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1023					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626367
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1030					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626448
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1031	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Support	See attached.		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626435
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S104					Object	The NPPF document states that "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt ". The "exceptional circumstances "allowed do not apply in this case. There are many undeveloped brownfield sites I see as I go around the city, such as the HS2 Washwood Heath site. There are also many empty properties " some empty for years. Furthermore, in places like Salford Park, industrial complexes have replaced residential, why? With a mile, there are empty industrial units. We do need homes, so why not reallocate some unused industrial land rather than vice versa. I am not convinced that Birmingham has really gone into Regional approach with other local councils. Has it considered a Garden City, perhaps jointly with Coventry or other local towns and cities? I am fairly sure that money is a major issue " developers can make more on greenfield sites, so give them a mass of fields and the council, being short on cash, can sell the family silver to help restore the balance. Alternatives won t do this! In any case, the numbers of homes has been noticeably reduced since the first time round, suggesting that more research is needed by the council before destroying this asset.	I think that they should withdraw the application and start again, bearing in mind all the objectors' comments.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3580945

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1043					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3626504
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1044					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3626505
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1049					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3626645
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1050					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3626648
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1052					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3626826
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1054					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627036
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1059					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627338
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S106					Object	I am objecting to the green belt still being included in this plan. The developments would have adverse impacts and significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. Unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt. The green belt should be removed from the plan. This has been a difficult consultation process. Since 2012, how much longer can this go on? How much more evidence is needed in support of the green belt being removed from the plan?	The green belt should be removed from the plan, only to be included if all brownfield sites have been identified and exhausted. All empty homes exhausted. All surplus brownfield public sector land exhausted. All brownfield sites outside Birmingham's boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for urban extension.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3580952
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1061					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627366
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1063					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627389
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1065					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627416
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1068					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3627442

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1069					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627440
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1072					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627485
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1073					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627492
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1075					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627616
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1078					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627725
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1079					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627756
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S108					Object	I am objecting to the green belt still being included in this plan. I believe the development will have adverse impacts and "significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits". As we have so little green belt there will be significant harm to the green belt overall. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt; source: NPPF. It should only be included when: - Please see section 3. Also the timing of this consultation process is very poor i.e. summer holidays. The whole consultation process has been difficult since 2012.	All brown sites have been identified and exhausted. All empty homes exhausted as per policy May 2010 " 2015 Government Policy: House Building. Only when current supply of 45,000 available dwellings have been delivered and only when an inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted should a review be undertaken to consider releasing the green belt. All brownfield sites outside Birmingham s boundaries have been identified that would be more suitable for urban extension. Garden Cities are considered for long-term population growth not just within this period. Washwood Heath HS2 to be used before Peddimore.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3580891
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1082					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627769
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1083					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627827
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1087					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627949

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1090					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627973
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1094					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628001
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1109					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628035
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S111					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3580886
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1111					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628075
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1113					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628087
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1115					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628110
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1117					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628110
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1119					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628110
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1121					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628272
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1123					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628331
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1127					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628352
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S113					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3580776

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1135					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628501
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1136					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628486
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1138					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628486
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1142					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628587
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1148					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628605
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S115					Object	I object strongly to the "green belt "land being included in the plan and wish it to be removed. The amount of green belt land remaining is small and precious and I believe that we are only "custodians "of this land for future generations. The landscape is attractive "rolling "country side, valued by all who live in the area (as I have done for 50+ years). Only in exceptional circumstances should this land be released and I quote "un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt "source: NPPF. Once this land is surrendered it is lost forever. I believe that our previous green belt should only be included when all brownfield sites have been identified and exhausted, including surplus public sector brownfield sites. All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham boundaries have been identified which are more suitable to sustain urban extension " including discussions with neighbouring authorities re potential sites. All empty homes should first be exhausted as per "policy May 2010-2015 Government Policy: House Building ". The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings should be utilised and only when an Inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted should a review be undertaken to consider releasing our green belt. To include green belt would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits and a true picture of housing needs should be realised before any green belt is released. Garden cities should be considered which will cater for and have the infrastructure to cope with and cater for long term population growth. I have lived in Walmley for 53 years now, and over that time the beautiful countryside has gradually diminished in size dramatically " traffic and travel have become challenging and the area cannot lose our green belt to a plan that the area cannot cater for with it s already "creaking at the seams " population, traffic, schools and total infrastructure.	The inclusion of green belt land should be removed from this plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3580883
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1152					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628991

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1154					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629010
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1156					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629010
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1159					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629048
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S117	Mr Francis Mason	Walmley Residents Association			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3581729
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1171					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629288
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1179					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629652
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1184					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629673
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1188					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629803
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S119					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3581734
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1192					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629834
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1197					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629863
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1201					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629914
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1205					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629955
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1207					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629989

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S121					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3581763
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1216					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630004
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1222					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630043
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1227					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630107
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S123					Object	I object to green belt land being in the plan and I believe the council s assessment of the suitability of using Walmley & Peddimore is wrong : Transport - Walmley is already very congested, particularly at: school starting and home times, and at morning and evening rush hours, especially on Walmley Ash Road (2 infant and primary schools) and Fox Hollies Road (another infant and primary school), Morning and evening rush hours just crawling to and getting through Walmley Village via Penns Lane, Eachelhurst Rd, Wylde Green Road, Walmley Ash Road both to the village and the other way down to the A38, Webster Way onto Walmley Ash Rd. I also have concerns about the increase of HGV traffic which the developments would bring, particularly on the roads where there are schools. - Air & Noise pollution 6000 extra family homes will probably mean 12,000 extra cars which will have a really bad impact on the quality of the air with increased CO2 emissions. - Green Infrastructure BCC seems to think this development will bring benefits with respect to Green Infrastructure -“ how can this be possible? This it is agricultural land, the last in Birmingham - food is grown on it, it provides better air quality, a better quality of life providing green space bringing benefits for both physical and psychological health, and its removal will obviously have a huge negative impact on environmental health.	Remove the green belt from the plan. Identify and use brownfieldd sites both inside Birmingham and regionally Use the no longer needed HS2 site at Washwood Heath instead.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S128					Object	No amount of new bus services and freight management will avert the problems created by extra traffic on two main routes being Kingsbury Road and Tyburn Road. These routes both lead to Birmingham City Centre via expressway and M6 Salford Circus and Kingsbury Road which leads to the motorway network at Dutton Island. These routes are currently overwhelmed with thundering lorries, cars, buses and motorbikes. By adding additional industrial and distribution parks it will only increase the number of vehicles on these routes. You cannot create any additional routes into the City Centre as they are bounded by homes and existing businesses.	Build in an isolated area to create a new town with access to the current motorway network.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S129					Object	traffic, traffic, traffic - as all the above comments	Create a sustainable development in an alternative area in order to create new road infrastructure that wont impact on the current in efficient road network. It takes the council 12 months to fill pot holes in the Walmley area having reported them twice.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S133					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3589719
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S135					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3589740
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S137					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3589767
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S139					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3589825
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S141					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3589880
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S143					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590120
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S145					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590149
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S148					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590182
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S150					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590203
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S152					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590219
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S154					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590233
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S156					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590269
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S158					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590308
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S164					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590452

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S166					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590514
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S168					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590541
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S170					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590578
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S172					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590599
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S174					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590623
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S176					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590673
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S178					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590679
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S180					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590685
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S182					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590691
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S184					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590810
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S186					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3592726
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S188					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590840
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S190					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3590865
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S192					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3592731

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S194					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591063
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S196					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591115
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S198					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591141
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S199					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591196
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S200					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591196
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S202					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591203
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S204					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591217
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S206					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591244
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S208					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591250
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S21					Object	1) Whilst there are 750,000 empty buildings throughout the United Kingdom (several of them in Sutton Coldfield) I cannot see the need, moral or political, to build new buildings on the Green Belt in either Sutton Coldfield or anywhere in the UK. However, I recognise that the Development Plan is pushing for more brownfield and derelict areas to be used within the "city walls". 2) If the City thinks the residents of the new build area on the north-east edge of Sutton Coldfield will look towards Birmingham for shopping, leisure, entertainment then I think this may not be the case. Residents will look to Tamworth, Lichfield, both within easy reach by car and public transport and much more scenic than travelling into Birmingham! 3) Only two weeks ago, it was announced that the United Kingdom is not able to feed itself without imports. Concreting over land which could be used for crops and livestock to keep the food production viable is environmentally questionable - some would say "insane". 4) No-one seems to want to grasp the nettle of overpopulation. We are a finite little set of islands. It will take a finer mind than a planner to deal with that question but it needs to be addressed. I believe, ethically and practically, development of new buildings on Green Belt is wrong.		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3561469

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S210					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591259
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S212					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591335
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S216					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591867
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S218					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3591870
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S220					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3593727
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S222					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3593782
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S224					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3593835
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S226					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3593863
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S228					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3594151
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S231					Object	Again, the use of the sprint service is included here as a way of suggesting that building here will not affect traffic congestion. The traffic in this area is always congested and this plan will just add to that congestion and severely ruin the lives of those who live in the area.	Not build on this site.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S240					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3595971
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S244					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596233
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S246					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596243

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S248					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596257
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S250					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.. Object to inclusion of SPRINT/ Rapid Transit.	A transport and infrastructure strategy has been developed to accommodate additional travel demand arising from development in the Green Belt and impact on the wider road network. This includes new public transport routes and services, walking and cycling infrastructure, and improvements to the highway network to increase capacity. Smarter choices measures such as travel plans will also be implemented to reduce traffic and increase the use of sustainable modes as part of the planning control process. Works have been developed for the A38 and funding packages will be formulated as required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596447
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S251					Object	I object to the continuing inclusion of Green Belt in the Birmingham Development Plan. There should be a presumption against the inclusion of Green Belt and should be removed. The Peddimore area is historically rich especially with Peddimore Hall and buildings as well as being a very attractive landscape. The impact of industrial buildings on the countryside and also the surrounding road system would be overwhelming. Even with the suggested alterations to the A 38 these would not be enough to take account of the great increase in commercial traffic. The existing road system finds it difficult to cope with current use. Any holdup - accident, roadworks, breakdowns - results in tailbacks. A new set of traffic trying to reach the motorway or main road system will have an adverse effect on A 38 A4097 B4148 A452 as well as smaller local roads such as Walmley Ash Lane, Ox Leys Road, and local villages of Minworth and Curdworth. The proposed public transport suggestions are not enough and many people will not travel there by bus etc especially if they live at some distance. The impact on air and noise pollution will be significant continuing through any construction into the use of the site. It will have an adverse effect on the surrounding area which already has some industrial developments. We do not need any more in the area except to utilise vacant space locally.	This area of Green Belt should be taken out of the Birmingham Plan. All other available industrial land should be developed and used before any consideration if at all is given to use of Green Belt. From my observations there is a considerable amount of vacant industrial land and derelict buildings which could be developed. There are large areas in the Aston Area and in Drews Lane, Washwood Heath not being used by HS2 should be developed. There are even areas close by which should also be utilised such as Minworth Industrial Park. Assessment of traffic use in the area should be undertaken at various times of the day and on different days so that a good overview of the current situation is made.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S253					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596489
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S255					Object	I accept that houses have to be built somewhere but our precious green belt land should not be used until the Council make a proper effort to identify all brownfield sites and that they have been exhausted. We are all custodians of our green belt for our children and their childrens children. There are numerous empty homes that should be refurbished and brought back into use Traffic is a problem at certain times of day when the roads cannot handle current volumes it is total gridlock Webster Way, Walmley Ash Road	Identify all brownfield sites not only in Birmingham but surrounding areas. The council must demonstrate that all empty houses have been identified and brought back into use and all brownfield sites have been identified and built upon. Once that stage has been reached only then should any consideration be given to release any green belt land The Council must explain how the additional trafficvolumes, under their proposal, are to be handled. What new roads are proposed	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S256					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3596502
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S258					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3596514
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S261					Object	A SUE for up to 6,000 can but only have a negative impact whether it is put in Areas, A, B, C or B. There is pressure on the current infrastructure and air and pollution without even the consideration of the sustainability credentials of 45,000 additional homes and an urban extension of 6,000 homes. The appraisal has failed to take these current infrastructure pressures into account. More importantly as we are a city of urban sprawl, any new development can t be considered a "sustainable "development. It is inconceivable that a SUE here in Sutton Coldfield can ever have positive impacts in terms of the environment, air and pollution as you are removing a quarter of our remaining countryside leaving Birmingham as a city of Urban Sprawl. We believe there are more viable sustainable locations on brownfield sites outside of the city boundaries. Until these have been considered the option to build a sustainable urban extension should be removed from the plan. This consultation, as per previous, has not been accessible. It is very unclear how, without guidance we were expected to interpret the Sustainability appraisal. Due to the scale and impact if this development there should have been more engagement with the community from the very start of the process. Our city council has not respected this.	The option to build a sustainable urban extension should be removed from the plan and not be reviewed until all other options within and outside Birmingham Boundaries have been explored and exhausted. If necessary more financial incentives should be provided to developers to use all other land sources first where affordable housing should also be the main priority not 4, 5 or 6 bedroom properties.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S264					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3597401
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S266					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3597691
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S271					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3597978
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S273					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3597984
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S275					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3598013
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S280					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3598247

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S281					Object	My comments relate to PMM17 and PMM18 This is a further objection to the development of the green belt land being included in the Birmingham Development Plan 2031. I do not believe the the Birmingham City Council are impartial to make a decision regarding this land due to their ownership of it. I feel the Birmingham City Council have made this process for objecting to the Green Belt development incredibly difficult to do. This is the second time now to make my objections clear and it feels like we are being ground down slowly and think it is a 'done deal' as the papers have reported recently. The problems for the local areas will be catastrophic. These do not appear to have been given proper consideration for example the traffic conditions terrible during the peak times and all main roads are at a slow pace. Roads such as Webster Way, Walmley Ash Road, A38, Minworth Island, B4148 in and around Walmley Village Fox Hollies Road, Ox Leys Road are already at capacity for great parts of the day with rat-runs through the residential estate roads being used to try to negate queuing. As a local resident I do not to use the roads at peak times unless absolutely necessary and this is now before any new developments! The existing services such as public transport, schools, doctors, shops and especially hospital services will not be sufficient to meet the needs of the many thousands of people who be moving into the area. It is so sad that the Green Belt will be lost forever to future generations in Birmingham.	I believe the preferred option to build in Walmley and Peddimore is the wrong one and Birmingham should look at all reasonable alternatives and not just Walmley and Peddimore.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S283					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3598259
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S286					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3598278
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S288					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3598287
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S290					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3598298
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S292					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3598302
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S294					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3598314
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S296					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3598320
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S298					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3598321

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S300					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598323
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S302					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598336
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S304					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598355
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S307					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598372
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S310					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598986
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S314					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598411
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S316					Object	This talks about protecting the view from the Green Belt of this monstrous development. What about the view of it from Walmley and Sutton Coldfield?	Do not build on the Green belt.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S321					Object	Main Modifications relate to PMM17 PMM18 I object to green bely still being included in this plan. The development will have adverse impacts and significantly and demonstrably out weigh the benefits. Currently Walmley is in gridlock at rush hour so what will an additional 10000 cars do. In the morning thimble end road is gridlock and then diving to asda island is a nightmare. The centre of walmley does not move and hatched lines had to be put in on the junction to try and let traffic flow. The road network cannot cope with the additional traffic. Birmingham has little green belt. Once it is built on it has gone for ever. This is not a plan for the future as birmingham increases in size. What will happen when there is no green belt. So this plan is flawed as it is not a substnable plan for the city "Un-met housing should not out weigh harm to the green belt"Source NPPF The green belt should be removed from the plan until the following have been exhausted All brown field sites have been built on All empty homes are filled - as per government policy May 2010-2015 All surplus brownfield public sector land is exhausted The realhousing need is realised before green belt is used All brown field sites out side birmingham has been identified to use Create new cities like telford to satisfy the population requirements The Hs2 washwood Heath site should be used before using green belt This process to comment is not straight forward and i beleieve it has been made difficult on purpose. Also consultantion from the council has been poor. Peoples comments have not been included. The hospitals and infastructure cannot cope currently. So the extra house will bring the area to a stop. This is not a solution at all but a sticky plaster that will fall off. All the land is used for agriculture and to remove this will have a negative impact on birmingham Also the media are stating this is a done deal and the proposal goe against the rules for building on green belt. Im no lawyer so I cannot use the correct terminology but this is wrong. All brown field sites need to be explored first. Extra vehilces will effect air quality for everyone. So this plan is risking the health of all the residents. Surely that is morally wrong.	Do not build on green belt	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S322					Object	i am objecting as i do not believe the green belt should be included in this development. I firmly believe that the development would have a negative impact and significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. There is so little green belt left that if it is built on it will have significant harm to the little remaining green belt and impact greatly on the local environment. Per the NPPF "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt"The green Belt should be removed from the plan and should only be included when All brownfield sites have been identified and exhausted All empty Homes are exhausted as per "Policy May 2010-2015 government policy:House Building"All surplus brownfield public sector land exhausted The true picture of housing need is realised before green belt is released The current supply of 45,000 dwellings has been delivered and only when an inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted should a review be undertaken to consider releasing the green belt All brownfield sites outside of birmingham's boundries have been identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension Garden cities considered which will cater for long term population growth not just within this plan period	Do not build on the green belt, once it has been built on and destroyed we cannot get it back	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S324					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598863
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S326					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598921
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S328					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3598940
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S332					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599410
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S334					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599424
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S336					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599504
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S338					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3599534
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1089					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627966

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S341					Object	Any development can only be described as "in appropriate "in Sutton Coldfield due to the finite nature of theGreen Belt Land and that we only 4,150 hectares remaining. Every-time this local authority has created a local plan Green Belt has been released despite planning policy being very clear that green belt is there to prevent urban sprawl. This plan actively promotes urban sprawl. Planning guidance is very clear "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt ". The Green Belt should be removed from Birmingham City Council s the plan and only included when: -All brownfield sites within the city have been identified and used. - All empty homes are exhausted as per Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building. - All surplus brownfield public sector land has been exhausted. - The true picture of housing need is realised before any green belt is released. The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings has been delivered and only when an Inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted following interim review. All brownfield sites outside of Birmingham s boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension or new settlement. Garden Cities considered which will cater for long term population growth not just within this local plan period. The HS2 Washwood Heath industrial site should be considered first prior to releasing land in Peddimore.	The green belt should be removed from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S342					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599586
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S344					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599605
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S346					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599613
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S348					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599690
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S361					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599702
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S372					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599708
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S375					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599716
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S377					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599722
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S38					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3575535

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S380					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599735
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S384					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599752
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S386					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599765
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S388					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599781
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S390					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599788
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S392					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599820
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S394					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599834
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S396					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599842
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S398					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3599846
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S403					Object	I object to the removal of Green Belt land at the Peddimore site. The use of the land is not necessary as there are no known companies requiring large scale sites. The area is of historic value and should be respected. The open aspect is a rare commodity to enjoy by all who live in an urban environment. Land at Washwood Heath is now available for use if required. There are many units still standing empty in the local industrial development.	Remove the use of Green Belt from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S406					Object	Any development can only be described as "in appropriate "in Sutton Coldfield due to the finite nature of the Green Belt Land and that we only 4,150 hectares remaining. Every-time this local authority has created a local plan Green Belt has been released despite planning policy being very clear that green belt is there to prevent urban sprawl. This plan actively promotes urban sprawl. Planning guidance is very clear "unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt ". The Green Belt should be removed from Birmingham City Council s the plan and only included when: - All brownfield sites within the city have been identified and used. - All empty homes are exhausted as per Policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building. - All surplus brownfield public sector land has been exhausted. - The true picture of housing need is realised before any green belt is released. The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings has been delivered and only when an Inspector is satisfied that all options have been exhausted following interim review. All brownfield sites outside of Birminghams boundaries have been identified which are more suitable for a sustainable urban extension or new settlement. Garden Cities considered which will cater for long term population growth not just within this local plan period. The HS2 Washwood Heath industrial site should be considered first prior to releasing land in Peddimore.	The Green Belt should be removed from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S41					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3575992
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S418					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3600311
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S420					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3600322
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S422					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3600512
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S424					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3600518
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S426					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3600836
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S429					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601108
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S43					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3576009
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S431					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601108

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S433					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601154
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S435					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601154
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S437					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601154
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S439					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601154
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S441					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601154
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S443					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601154
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S445					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601280
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S448					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601312
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S45					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3576028
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S451					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S453					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S455					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S457					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S459					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S461					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S463					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S465					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S467					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S469					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S47					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3576163
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S471					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S473					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S475					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S477					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S479					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S481					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S483					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S485					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3601393

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S487					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S489					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S49					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3576182
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S491					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3601393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S503					Object	Re "Amend the first paragraph as follows: "Peddimore will deliver 80 71 ha of new employment land..." The only acceptable change would be to reduce this to zero hectares. No justification has yet been given to outweigh the current Green Belt protection given to this land. It is contrary to established policy and has not been produced by some positively prepared process but driven by the fact that the council owns the land and will benefit from its sale as development land.	Remove any reference to Pedimore being employment land and retain the Green Belt protection.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S51					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3576190
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S53					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3576315

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S532					Object	<p>I object to development on the Peddimore site. I understand that there is no particular need for development in the Peddimore vicinity and infact the existing industrial sites in the area still have numerous empty units. It makes more sense to utilise the area of land in Washwood Heath that had been earmarked for the HS2 development IF there is a need. The Peddimore site is surrounded by historic lanes which must be preserved. The open site is of outstanding beauty for that very fact. Seasonal changes bring fields full of poppies, yellow rape and golden corn. The time immemorial scene of birds circling and following the plough can all be observed and enjoyed on this exceptional site. We will be depriving future generations of these uplifting scenes. Scenes like these feed and uplift the mind and soul. It is important to consider the recognised link between mental and physical health. I strongly object to the inclusion of Green Belt in the Birmingham Plan its inclusion is short sighted and would not solve the long term housing shortage problem. There are many avenues that can and must be utilised before Green Belt is considered for development. The obvious area to consider is the use of brownfield sites where invariably the infrastructure is already in place. Invigorate those areas in decline or lying dormant. This consideration should also apply to sites outside of Birmingham's boundaries. Investigate other areas more conducive to an urban extension. Consider the supply of empty dwellings in and round the City. These dwellings must be brought back into use before attention turns to the use of Green Belt. Once again infrastructure is already in place. Be absolutely clear on statistics used to drive the intended development. It's imperative that annual reviews are used to update housing need. Society is ever changing and transient and must be very closely tracked to confirm the true housing need. How can precious Green Belt be considered before these resources have been used? The Green Belt is not only Grade 3 agricultural land but is also also used and enjoyed in many ways by the community. Green Belt in North Birmingham is at a minimum and must be safeguarded from unnecessary development. Do not make the irreversible mistake of using Green Belt when other options are clearly available.</p>	Remove the use of the Peddimore site from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								<p>to and from on a daily basis. The plan for the Langley/Reddmore area or Sutton Coldfield is in direct conflict with the policies for limiting pollution and ensuring that the green agenda is followed. The fact that the congestion in Sutton Coldfield is already so bad that you cannot drive into the centre of the town or into Birmingham without substantial traffic and it can take in excess of 30 minutes to travel under a mile means that the addition of a potential 10,000 cars from the 6,000 houses built in Walmley will increase an already congested area and CO2 emissions. When walking in the area at certain parts of the day the fumes from the traffic are at unhealthy levels. Public transport in the area is also so expensive that it is actually cheaper to travel by car in to Sutton Coldfield.</p> <p>There should be focus on reducing congestion looking at more sustainable transport options. Locating an employment zone closer to where there is high unemployment such as the HS2 site in Washwood Heath. The current congestion and capacity issues need to be addressed first and then a transport solution considered which is focused on reducing CO2 emissions. Has anybody actually looked at the traffic issues here and the CO2 emissions situation? I am also concerned about the lack of facilities in Walmley already. Parking is almost impossible and people living on the proposed Langley site will need transport to get into Sutton Coldfield, Minworth and Walmley as it is at least a mile in any direction to Walmley village where the doctors, supermarket and other services are located. The parking there is already difficult and the village gridlocks constantly. It is almost impossible to get a doctors appointment on the same day and hospital appointments take weeks or even months. I would like information on how the BCC engaged with the local community organisations, associations, hospitals, police federations, schools, their governors etc and what were their suggestions, feedback and comments and how these were taken into account when creating the BDP. Reading and understanding the plan has been very difficult and time consuming. There is too much information and detail for a consultation period of just 8 weeks for a decision as significant that is to be made by the community on the full detail and to understand its implications.</p>				

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S547					Object	<p>The decisions that Walmley and Peddimore are the preferred strategic option is seriously flawed. The impact on this area would be hugely negative as it is already an area that is struggling with a lack of decent infrastructure to maintain the houses that have already been built here. The transport options are woeful and this has resulted in a seriously congested area. The congestion in Sutton Coldfield and Walmley it is already such that every road through Walmley is gridlocked in the morning and evening and at the end of school (Walmley Road, Webster Way, Reddicap Road, Fox Hollies Road and Eachelhurst Road). The A38 queues leading on to Kingsbury Road are also bumper to bumper queuing to get on the island at Minworth - traffic surveys have identified in some areas into the city the maximum speed is 13.mph during peak times. A 6,000 housing development and employment zone will only increase capacity on these roads, increasing private transport, unless more consideration is given to how the transport infrastructure needs of the area can be met. The bus lanes and sprint buses are not viable as they cannot sprint all of the way into Birmingham and the 115 bus service that goes through Walmley into Birmingham has been recently cut down causing problems for residents . To work in Peddimore people will have to travel across town from the city centre to the employment zone. Or access it via the motorway network, A38 or A47 increasing capacity on the roads. There is an industrial site available in Washwood Heath with all of the infrastructure already in place so why isn't this being used? Once the greenbelt is removed it cannot be restored. The presumption that you can bus people in and out of the area is not viable. In addition to this many people cannot get a bus directly from their home to where they work. The location of the Peddimore site will mean people have to drive across Sutton Coldfield to the site or into Birmingham which will increase the use of private cars and increase congestion and capacity on the roads which is harmful for the many residents that walk to work in the town centre or to school with children. There are 4 junior schools in the near vicinity of Peddimore and Langley which adds to the danger for children walking to school. There is also no funding for an entry/exit on to the A38 to keep traffic away from the residential areas. In addition to the congestion on the local roads the M42 will also be pushed further to accommodate more cars and the M42 is already queued up in both directions from Dunton Island junction. A new housing development will also increase private transport to the Heart of England Hospital facilities in Bordesley or Solihull as Good Hope only provides limited services. There is an absence of any evidence showing how the extra traffic from at least 10,000 more cars can be accommodated on the local roads. Birmingham City Council have made efforts to make the proposal more sustainable by focusing on use of " public transport, cycling and walking as a proportion of total travel". However the cycle routes are limited and cycling on main roads such as Eachelhurst Road, Kingsbury Road, Walmley Road and Thimble End Road are fraught with danger due to the high capacity of cars. I am also not comfortable, as are many others I know, at using the buses following a spate of attacks on buses in the area. Walmley is not on the train line whereas Areas A and B are. Therefore,Sutton Park train line opening is essential with parking available and funding should be secured for this before any building goes ahead. With this in mind we drive to the stations at Wylde Green and Sutton Coldfield stations with a 20 plus minute journey to both at peak times and if you arrive after 7.30am in Wylde Green and 8.00am in Sutton Coldfield there is no parking available. In addition to the infrastructure issues there will be a significant impact on the air quality from co2 emissions. As mentioned before people will have to use private transport to Heartlands Hospital in Bordesley Green as there is no direct public transport and this will cause even more traffic and more emissions. All of this passing the local schools</p>	Green Belt removed from the plan until all brownfield sites and all empty housing are exhausted before the Green Belt is even considered and at the point of everything available has been used only then should building on the Green Belt be readdressed. A more creative approach should be applied to how to address the housing shortage. A more sustainable approach is to locate housing in areas requiring regeneration in the wider West Midlands community. In addition to this the employment land allocated at Peddimore should be removed from the plan due to HS2 in Washwood Heath now being available. The infrastructure is in place already and it is in a more viable position for people travelling to work there. A long term view should be taken to address the overall housing need and building blocks in place for new settlements to cope with future growth in the next plan period.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								<p>where children are walking to and from on a daily basis. The plan for the Langley/Peddimore area of Sutton Coldfield is in direct conflict with the policies for limiting pollution and ensuring that the green agenda is followed. The fact that the congestion in Sutton Coldfield is already so bad that you cannot drive into the centre of the town or into Birmingham without substantial traffic and it can take in excess of 30 minutes to travel under a mile means that the addition of a potential 10,000 cars from the 6,000 houses built in Walmley will increase an already congested area and CO2 emissions. When walking in the area at certain parts of the day the fumes from the traffic are at unhealthy levels. Public transport in the area is also so expensive that it is actually cheaper to travel by car in to Sutton Coldfield. There should be focus on reducing congestion looking at more sustainable transport options. Locating an employment zone closer to where there is high unemployment such as the HS2 site in Washwood Heath. The current congestion and capacity issues need to be addressed first and then a transport solution considered which is focused on reducing CO2 emissions. Has anybody actually looked at the traffic issues here and the CO2 emissions situation?</p> <p>I am also concerned about the lack of facilities in Walmley already. Parking is almost impossible and people living on the proposed Langley site will need transport to get into Sutton Coldfield, Minworth and Walmley as it is at least a mile in any direction to Walmley village where the doctors, supermarket and other services are located. The parking there is already difficult and the village gridlocks constantly. It is almost impossible to get a doctors appointment on the same day and hospital appointments take weeks or even months. I would like information on how the BCC engaged with the local community organisations, associations, hospitals, police federations, schools, their governors etc and what were their suggestions, feedback and comments and how these were taken into account when creating the BDP. Reading and understanding the plan has been very difficult and time consuming. There is too much information and detail for a consultation period of just 8 weeks for a decision as significant that is to be made by the community on the full detail and to understand its implications.</p>				
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S548					Object	at present there is far too much green belt land used when brown land could easily cope the roads are all ready over crowded and will not cope with the extra traffic about schooling will suffer badly not enough public transport only 1 bus hour after 20.40 far more trains with good connections with the reopening of Walmley station and better bus service		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S55					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3576435

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S552					Object	The Peddimore site is surrounded by historic lanes which must be preserved. I am writing to object to the green belt around Walmley and Peddimore still being included in this plan. I believe that the proposed development would have adverse impacts on the surround area, roads and population. It will significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. There is so little green belt remaining within Birmingham, that its removal would be of significant harm to the green belt. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt"(source: NPPF) The use of the Green Belt should be removed from the plan until all brownfield sites have been identified and utilised, and that all empty homes are filled (policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building). A truer picture of housing need has to be realised before any Green Belt is released. The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings in Birmingham needs to be delivered and filled. Only after these options have been exhausted should a review to be undertaken to consider release of Green Belt. Land around the HS2 Washwood Heath site should also be used first before releasing land around Peddimore. The consultation process, since 2012, has been very difficult to access - such a long document that is not one that is accessible to all members of the public. The open site is of outstanding beauty for that very fact. Seasonal changes bring fields full of poppies, yellow rape and golden corn. The time immemorial scene of birds circling and following the plough can all be observed and enjoyed on this exceptional site. We will be depriving future generations of these uplifting scenes. Scenes like these feed and uplift the mind and soul. It is important to consider the recognised link between mental and physical health.	To remove the development of Green Belt from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S557					Object	How ridiculous is it to build another load of empty units that will sit unused in a location remote from the centres of population.. Not only is this the destruction of green belt, the previously important and now conveniently forgotten wildlife corridor and the increase in pollution, congestion and the creation of a more miserable place to live, but it is also hard to see how many jobs can be created in this space. Certainly not enough for the number of houses being built and probably not even enough for any unfortunate members of the local population that currently require them as well. There are already empty industrial sites in the area. There is also the HS2 Washwood Heath industrial site. The creation of another is just the wanton destruction of green belt land.	Remove the green belt from the plan	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S561					Object	I object to development in the Walmley area and on the Peddimore site. There has been no evidence provided for the need for development in the Peddimore area and to let signs on existing industrial sites in the area shows that numerous empty units are already available. Using the area of land in Washwood Heath that had been earmarked for the HS2 development would make more sense as it is already served by the near by motorway junction. The Walmley and Peddimore area has many historic lanes which should be preserved. I strongly object to the inclusion of Green Belt in the Birmingham Plan its inclusion is not thought through, planned professionally and would not solve the long term housing shortage problem. Many of those requiring housing wish to be located within the city actually in arenas where brownfield sites exist. Other avenues should and must be utilised before Green Belt is considered for development. Green Belt in Sutton Coldfield is a rare commodity and should be safeguarded from unnecessary development. The irreversible error of using Green Belt when other options have not been considered will leave those decision makers responsible, answerable to future generations.	Consider all the available empty industrial units available in Birmingham before Green Belt is used. Brownfield sites should be included as part of the solution and only when it can be demonstrated that there is no further sites available should digging up green belt land be considered. The available empty housing stock in Birmingham should be utilised prior to any fresh development. The utilities (particularly water) required to support housing and development of the size proposed needs to be demonstrated as this has not been proven to be available for the long term. The land is currently used for producing crops for feeding the wider population. As the costs both financial and environmental of food being transported these fields will become increasingly valuable to provide the food required by the growing population. These factors should be included in the overall plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S565					Object	I am writing to object to the green belt around Walmley and Peddimore still being included in this plan. I believe that the proposed development would have adverse impacts on the surround area, roads and population. It will significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. There is so little green belt remaining within Birmingham, that its removal would be of significant harm to the green belt. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt"(source: NPPF) The use of the Green Belt should be removed from the plan until all brownfield sites have been identified and utilised, and that all empty homes are filled (policy May 2010-2015 government policy: house building). A truer picture of housing need has to be realised before any Green Belt is released. The current supply of 45,000 available dwellings in Birmingham needs to be delivered and filled. Only after these options have been exhausted should a review to be undertaken to consider release of Green Belt. Land around the HS2 Washwood Heath site should also be used first before releasing land around Peddimore. The consultation process, since 2012, has been very difficult to access - such a long document that is not one that is accessible to all members of the public. The Peddimore site is surrounded by historic lanes which must be preserved. The open site is of outstanding beauty for that very fact. Seasonal changes bring fields full of poppies, yellow rape and golden corn. The time immemorial scene of birds circling and following the plough can all be observed and enjoyed on this exceptional site. We will be depriving future generations of these uplifting scenes. My children love to see how the surrounding fields change from season to season, and year to year, discussing with us how farming works and learning where their food comes from. Scenes like these feed and uplift the mind and soul. It is important to consider the recognised link between mental and physical health. How ironic that the council believe that the development will bring benefits with respect to green infrastructure. In destroying the Green Belt they are taking away the already existing green infrastructure of food production, better air quality, clean water and healthy soils and the social, economic and environmental health of the surroundings. Natural resources and productive agricultural land (some of the last remaining in Birmingham) will be lost. Our environmental health will not be improved by 6,000 houses and 12,000 cars.	The use of the Green Belt area around Walmley and Peddimore should be removed from the plan.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S576					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604208
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S578					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604233
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S580					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604282
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S582					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604496
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S586					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604576

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S589					Object	Greenbelt is still being used in the plan despite there being no exceptional circumstances to justify its inclusion. Removal of much of the small remaining green belt land would have significant adverse effects. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt", according to the National Planning Policy Framework.	Green belt should be removed from the plan and its potential inclusion re-considered ONLY after brownfield sites have been properly evaluated and incorporated into the plans, consideration has been given to the 50 hectares of unused and available land at the HS2 washwood Heath site, and all empty homes have been utilised, as per the government's May 2010-2015 house building policy.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S59					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3576465
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S590					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604796
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S594					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604880
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S598					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604886
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S602					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604898
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S606					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604909
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S610					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604927
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S616					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3604963
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S623					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3605276

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S624		Project Fields			Object	<p>We understand the principal of the strategic option to build on green belt but we have severe concern that there is no justification based on the clear fact that it is not the most appropriate strategy when considering we are a city veering at capacity. Planning guidance is very clear about inappropriate development. The plan is not effective as it is not based on effective joint working on cross-boundary priorities. The modification has not taken into account reasonable alternatives such as the HS2 Washwood Heath site. The absence of a robust transport evidence database, to support the green belt principle, means it is not compliant with NPPF. More importantly any development can only be considered inappropriate due to the finite nature of the green belt we now have here in Birmingham. This proposal is not compliant with NPPF. Any development in Birmingham should be constrained by green belt policy for the fact there are only 4,150 hectares of available green belt land. Any development on the green belt can only be considered inappropriate if we accept the guiding principles of the green belt policy in the National Planning Policy Framework. Birmingham City Council's planning appears to work on the assumption that the green belt policy is obsolete. Planning policy of course suggests otherwise. Planning policy in Birmingham has been overridden at every local plan review here in Birmingham for over 30 years and huge swathes of the green belt have been continually released. This has resulted in this city being now a city of urban sprawl and clearly evidences that there appears to be no protection afforded to the green belt. For clarity can the BCC and Inspector confirm whether the assumption is therefore that planning policy is obsolete here in Birmingham? Or, is it that whilst planning policy and guidance exist but it is being overruled for inappropriate development and on track to do so again for this plan? This plan and previous local plan cycles have shown that there has been no regard for the intended permanence of green belt, it's long term use or demonstrated that it is capable of enduring beyond the plan period and has favoured inappropriate development. Planning policy says very clearly that when creating a local plan the local authority "where necessary, identify in their plans areas of safeguarded land between the urban area and the green belt, in order to meet longer-term development needs stretching well beyond the plan period "It is very clear this has never been done. The assumption has been on that green belt would be used to meet future housing needs. Planning policy guides local authorities in the preparation of a local plan to "Green belt boundaries will not need to be altered at the end of the development plan period "But the green belt principal has been established and considered yet again for development at the end of the previous development plan period. The previous local plan defined boundaries "using physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent "but this plan is moving the previous boundary of Webster Way, Thimble End, and Springfield Road to the boundary that is the A38. This overrides the principle that "Once established, green belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances "At that time, authorities should consider the green belt boundaries having regard to their intended permanence in the long term so that they should be capable of enduring beyond the plan period " Any development can only be considered inappropriate due to the finite nature of the green belt we now have here in Birmingham. This proposal as it stands is therefore not compliant with NPPF.</p>	To make the plan justified, green belt removed from the plan. To make the plan effective, more effective cross-boundary work is required to find strategic sites that have sustainable credentials. Alternatives such as Washwood Heath should be taken into consideration. Please cross-refer to our comments on Chapter 5 of the sustainability appraisal. Due to the finite nature of the green belt in Birmingham an audit of all brownfield sites in Birmingham, wider HMA and beyond is undertaken before any decision can be considered justified and effective. Compliance to NPPF required with regards to the principals of green belt release and that due to the finite nature of our green belt, any development can now be seen only as inappropriate.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/creation/download/3604930
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S627					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3605749

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S63					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3577656
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S633					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606360
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S644					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606472
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S648					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606480
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S65					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3577687
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S650					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606506
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S652					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606507
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S654					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606740
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S656					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606756
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S658					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606766
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S660					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606782
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S662					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606789
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S664					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606798
Peddimore	PMM18	BDP MOD S666					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606807

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S668					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606813
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S67					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3577715
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S670					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606831
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S672					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606841
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S674					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606852
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S676					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606858
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S678					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606864
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S680					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606874
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S682					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607048
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S684					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607076
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S688					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607565
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S692					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607622
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S694					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607631
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S697					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607663

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S699					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607676
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S70					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3577745
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S701	Mrs Louise Baudet	Parish Council Clerk Curdworth Parish Council			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607697
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S703					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607721
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S705					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3608207
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S707					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3608922
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S709					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3608930
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S711					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3608938
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S713					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3608943
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S715					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3608956
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S717					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609094
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S719					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609111
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S72					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3577865
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S721					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609121

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S723					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609411
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S725					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609433
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S727					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609467
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S729					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609486
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S731					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3609578
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S733					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610217
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S735					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610506
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S737					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610547
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S739					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610594
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S74					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3577912
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S741					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610729
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S743					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610756
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S745					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610804
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S747					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610815
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S749					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610831

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S751	Mr Steve Maxey	Assistant Chief Executive North Warwickshire Borough Council			Support	See attached.		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610882
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S754					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3610979
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S756					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3611035
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S758					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3611226
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S765					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612077
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S767					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612085
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S769					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612089
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S77					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3577940
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S771					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612132
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S773					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612142
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S775					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612309
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S777					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612325
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S779					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612350
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S782					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3613546

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S784					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613546
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S785					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613868
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S787					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613546
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S789					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613546
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S79					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3577947
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S791					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613964
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S793					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613981
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S795					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613987
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S797					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3613999
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S799					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614010
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S801					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614010
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S803					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614048
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S805					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614010
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S807					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614104
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S81					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3577951

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S810					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614219
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S812					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614220
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S814					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614224
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S816					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614226
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S818					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614228
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S820					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614228
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S822					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614236
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S824					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614251
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S826					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614275
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S828					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614293
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S832					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614505
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S834					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3614505
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S836					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3615726
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S838					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3615726
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S840					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3615726

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S842					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3615726
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S844					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3615726
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S846					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3615726
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S848					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3615726
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S850					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3615726
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S852					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3615726
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S854					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3618276
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S856					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3619014
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S858					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3619274
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S861					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3619349
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S863					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3619350
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S865					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3619354
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S869					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3620790
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S87					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3578360
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S871					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3620793

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S873					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3620795
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S875					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3620929
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S877					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3620937
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S879					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3621132
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S881					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3621140
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S883					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3621140
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S885					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3621226
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S887					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3621244
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S889					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3621262
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S89					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3578376
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S891					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622247
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S893					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622349
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S895					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622359
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S897					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622389
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S899					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622393

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S901					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622405
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S903					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622413
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S906					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622518
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S908					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S910					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S912					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S914					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S916					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S918					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S92	MRS N BAILEY	PARISH CLERK Frankley Parish Council			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3578553
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S920					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S922					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S924					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S926					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S928					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622714

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S931					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.. Object to inclusion of SPRINT/ Rapid Transit.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications. A transport and infrastructure strategy has been developed to accommodate additional travel demand	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622972
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S934					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3622985
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S936					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623008
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S938					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623008
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S94					Object	I am objecting to the green belt still being included in this plan. I believe the development would have adverse impacts and "significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits ". We have so little green belt remaining that by removing it is of significant harm to the green belt. "Un-met housing need should not outweigh harm to the Green Belt "Source: NPPF. The roads around Sutton Coldfield are already heavily congested causing intense air and noise pollution. As someone who attempts to travel by environmentally conscious means i.e. by bike and foot, the proposed development will significantly affect my quality of life. Already I face very nasty bullying from drivers in the area, both verbal and physical. Death threats are becoming more common as I ride around the area, this will only get worse as congestion and road rage increases. Doctors surgeries and Good Hope Hospital already cannot cope with demand. It is becoming increasingly difficult to make appointments and waiting times at the hospital are ridiculous. Green space, whether it be fields or golf courses is fundamental for a good quality of life. Especially if you care about your surroundings and not just your bottom line.	All Brownfield sites should be considered and the Green Belt should be removed from this proposal.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3578728
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S940					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623008
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S942					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623053
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S944					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623053
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S946					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623092
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S948					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623092
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S950					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623130

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S952					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623130
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S954					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623130
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S956					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623252
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S958					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623262
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S96					Object	I live in Four Oaks on the Main Weeford Road. I ve lived here for the last 15 years. In that time the pollution has worsened and the traffic is horrendous. It s now difficult to get your child into a school, they are so overcrowded, Doctors and hospitals is another No! No! When they did build a new estate a few years ago they promised new schools, Doctors etc. These promises have never been carried out. Forget building around here and start a new build in Scotland!!!		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3578755
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S960					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623360
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S962					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623364
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S964					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3623371
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S966					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3624776
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S968					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3624796
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S970					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3624849
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S972					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3624874
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S974					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3624899
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S976					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3624918

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S978					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3624931
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S98					Object	I am objecting to the green belt being included in this plan. The development will have adverse effect and will completely outweigh any benefits for the area. Witness the already congested traffic in Walmley Village, Walmley Ash Road and the junction of Eachelhurst Road, Penns Lane and Walmley Ash Road junction. The new B&M Store with its discounted goods has only made the situation worse. There is little green belt left in Sutton Coldfield and removing more will significantly harm the environment. I submit that unmet housing need should not outweigh harm to the green belt, source: NPPF. It is essential that the green belt should be removed from the building plan and only considered when all brownfield sites outweigh Birmingham s boundaries (adjacent) have been identified and which are more suitable for urban extension. The HS2 Washwood Heath site should be used first before releasing green belt land in Sutton Coldfield.		Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3580910
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S980					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3624950
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S982					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3624980
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S984					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625038
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S986					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625069
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S988					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625140
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S991					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625205
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S993					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625320
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S995					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625408
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S997					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625408
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S999					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3625408

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1047		CPRE Warwickshire			Object	See attached.	See attached.	The modification quotes the ONS projections which is based on assumptions which may be invalid. Para 4.7 should make it clear that Birmingham's housing numbers will not change as a result of the wider study .	The OAHN has been debated at length during the examination hearings and subsequently. The Council considers that it is based on the most robust data available. It is not considered necessary to amend para 4.7 as suggested. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626631
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1162					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to inclusion of SPRINT/ Rapid Transit. Object to requirement for investigation of mineral deposits prior to the commencement of development.	Comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. The Council considers that the proposal is consistent with the NPPF. The Council is committed to the delivery of Sprint/Rapid Transit to this site and believes that it will make a significant contribution to meeting the transport needs of the site. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629051
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S242					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object in principle to Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3596192
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S3		Inland Waterways Association Bham and the Black Country			Support	PMM18 IWA is disappointed that the Peddimore employment site proposal is retained in the Plan, but notes that the developable area has been defined and reduced in size, and that building heights will be controlled, which will to some extent reduce its visual impact on the rural environment of the Birmingham and Fazeley Canal.		Considers the OAHN of 89,000 to be pessimistic for various reasons	This issue has been extensively debated at the examination hearings and through subsequent exchanges, and the Council considers that the 89,000 figure is soundly based. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3541091
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S355	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Object	B&BCWT objects to the Minerals paragraph of the policy and is of the view that this policy element should be removed from GA6. Including a minerals policy element of GA6 acts to trump other policy elements and development allocations within the Langley SUE area covered by this policy. This policy has the potential to "sterilise "land in terms of valid development subject to the other policy requirements within Policy GA6. The minerals policy requirement contains no indication or information about the nature of the minerals resource, how large or small the resource is, no definition of what constitutes "workable minerals ", no definition of how much of the minerals resource would warrant a site being held back from development, no criteria defining the nature of land restoration after the extraction of any workable minerals, nor how restoration might be enabled. The implementation of the policy would act to delay or postpone other types of development which other elements of GA6 are intended to bring forward, or at worse prevent other types of development. Above all, this policy element is no more than pure speculation. Support for soil protection policy though there is an inherent policy conflict between the Minerals policy and the soils policy since the implementation of the minerals policy will result in soil horizons being destroyed.	Remove Minerals policy / para from GA6	Object to requirement for investigation of mineral deposits prior to the commencement of development.	Policy is required to ensure that mineral resources are protected, in line with the NPPF.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S540					Support	The ideas on transport need to be strengthened by: planning for bus lanes on the routes from this development to the city centre and other major destinations; the creation of a rail spur from the Sutton freight line to this industrial development; and a good link to the North Birmingham Cycle Network.		Comments noted but too detailed to include in the Plan.	No change required.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S573					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Objection to green belt development on transport, pollution and quality of life grounds and because brownfield sites are considered preferable.	Comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S592	Councillor Rob Pocock				Object	While it is welcome that the size of the proposed development has been reduced to minimise impact, the modification does not take account of the potential availability of other better industrial development sites within Birmingham.	The Modification should be amended to make the release of the Pedimore site contingent on the absence of any more appropriate land availability arising in Birmingham, such as the potential future release of the Washwood Health HS2 site which could obviate the need for Peddimore to be released.	Support modification but release of the site should be contingent on the absence of more appropriate sites	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications. The Council has already demonstrated that there are no available more appropriate sites. No change required.	
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S639	Mr Matthew Griffin	Team Leader (Minerals Planning Policy) Staffordshire County Council			Support	See attached.		Support in principle.	Noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3606370
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1203					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629938
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1342	Mrs Elizabeth Allison		Chairman Sutton Coldfield Civic Society		Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636421
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1239					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633732
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1326					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1263					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1265					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1275					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1305					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635535
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1132					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628486
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1195					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629854
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1187					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629800

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1174					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629624
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1131					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628421
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1377					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637645
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1432					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638073
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1438					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638112
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1444					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638238
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1446					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638248
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1450					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638518
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1336					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636352
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1346					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636444
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1448					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638255
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1338					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636368
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1318					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635760
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1324					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636111
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1303					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635512

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1251					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1277					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634203
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1297					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634812
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1241					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633732
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1322					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635795
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1245					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633747
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1243					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633747
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1255					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1299					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634820
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1350					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636496
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1308					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635636
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1330					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636324
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1267					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1271					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1289					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634442

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1253					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1233					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630135
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1247					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633747
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1249					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633747
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1257					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1259					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1261					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1269					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1273					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3633801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1279					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634214
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1281					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634227
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1283					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634379
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1285					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1287					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634409
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1291					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634773

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1293					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634792
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1295					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634800
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1301					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3634838
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1310					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635676
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1312					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635719
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1314					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635733
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1316					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635750
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1320					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3635765
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1328					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636317
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1332					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636327
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1334					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636336
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1340					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636390
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1344					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636433
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1348					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636461
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1352					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636502

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1353					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637700
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1355					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3636970
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1507					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639304
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1575					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640730
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1567					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640660
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1585					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640940
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1440					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638114
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1474					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639177
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1452					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638519
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1555					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640541
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1383					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637635
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1426					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638053
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1379					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637642
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1501					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639278
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1398					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1406					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1416					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1430					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638066
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1442					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638232
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1454					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638528
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1434					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638084
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1424					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638043
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1357					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637695
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1359					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637687
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1361					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637686
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1363					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637683
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1365					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637681
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1367					Object	See attached. Å	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637680
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1369					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637676
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1371					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637359

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1373					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637674
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1375					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637646
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1381					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637638
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1385					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637627
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1386					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638878
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1400					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1402					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1404					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1408					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1410					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1412					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1414					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3637850
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1418					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638012
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1420					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638032
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1422					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638035

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1428					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638056
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1436					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638104
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1456					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638643
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1458					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638876
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1460					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638960
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1462					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638976
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1464					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3638985
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1467					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639139
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1469					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639156
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1476					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639190
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1471					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639170
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1477					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639191
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1480					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639199
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1481					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639203
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1728					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644420

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1724					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644400
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1664					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642331
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1659					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642302
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1625					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641789
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1631					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641858
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1704					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644209
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1706					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644209
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1708					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644219
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1643					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642185
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1641					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642176
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1527	Rt Hon Andrew Mitchell MP				Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640298
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1590					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641081
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1563					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640631
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1561					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640608
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1485					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639208

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1503					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639287
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1493					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639241
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1483					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639205
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1489					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639231
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1492					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639235
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1496					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639249
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1497					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639257
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1499					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639265
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1505					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639301
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1509					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639314
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1511					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639323
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1513					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639336
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1515					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3639341
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1521					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640257
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1523					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640283

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1525					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1530					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640314
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1531					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640342
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1533					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640357
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1535					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640401
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1537					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640412
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1539					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640426
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1541					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640427
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1543					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640434
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1545					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640444
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1547					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640505
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1550					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640510
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1551					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640515
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1553					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640532
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1557					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640563

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1559					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640582
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1565					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640647
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1569					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640669
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1571					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640696
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1573					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640716
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1577					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640739
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1579					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640831
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1581					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640843
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1583					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3640847
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1592					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641300
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1594					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641483
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1597					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641514
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1599					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641519
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1601					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641527
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1603					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641572

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1606					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641590
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1852					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1858					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648367
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1756					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644782
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1835					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647319
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1712					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644225
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1703					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644193
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1773					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645369
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1674					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642404
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1670					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642371
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1856					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1774					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645375
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1789					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645993
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1776					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645383
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1812					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646891

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1649					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642233
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1808					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646254
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1609					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641603
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1608					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641602
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1611					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641615
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1613					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641622
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1615					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641632
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1617					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641654
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1619					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641661
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1621					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641674
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1623					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641686
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1627					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641825
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1629					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3641844
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1633					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642118
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1635					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642128

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1637					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642162
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1639					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642165
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1645					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642191
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1647					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642196
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1651					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642252
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1653					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642268
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1655					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642282
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1657					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642297
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1661					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642312
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1665					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642338
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1668					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642357
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1671					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642357
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1675					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642357
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1677					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3642849
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1679			Gardener Catkins Garden Services		Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643010

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1681					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643184
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1683					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643197
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1686					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643219
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1688					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643376
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1690					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643389
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1692					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643635
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1694					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3643961
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1696					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644130
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1698					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644136
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1700					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644156
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1705					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644197
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1710					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644224
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1714					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644230
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1716					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644244
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1718					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644371

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1720					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644376
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1723					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644393
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1727					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644421
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1730					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644455
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1734					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644503
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1733					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644500
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1927					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648923
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1921					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648905
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1876					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1923					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648913
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1977					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1906					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648711
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1909					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1907					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1893					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648652

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1883					Object	See attached..	See attached..	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1937					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648954
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1940					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648966
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1736					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644515
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1738					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644684
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1740					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644695
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1744					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644707
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1743					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644704
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1746					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644718
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1748					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644750
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1750					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644757
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1752					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644768
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1754					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644778
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1758					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3644788
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1762					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645305

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1761					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645299
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1765					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645324
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1766					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645323
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1770					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645347
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1769					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645348
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1778					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645409
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1780					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645820
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1782					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645846
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1784					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645866
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1786					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645983
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1790					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3645994
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1793					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646182
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1794					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646187
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1798					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646211
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1797					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646205

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1801					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646220
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1802					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646225
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1804					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646251
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1807					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646256
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1811					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646676
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1814					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3646993
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1816					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647014
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1818					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647034
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1821					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647118
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1822					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647126
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1825					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647144
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1826					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647151
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1829					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647275
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1830					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647276
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1833					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647297

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1836					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647320
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1838					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3647410
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1840					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648286
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1843					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1844					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648295
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1847					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648299
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1848					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1851					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648301
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1854					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1860					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648372
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2007					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2037					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2095					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654685
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2045					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2111					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654748

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1873					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648424
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1863					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648379
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1865					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648389
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1867					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1869					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648413
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1872					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1877					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648509
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1879					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1881					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1885					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648613
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1887					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648636
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1889					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648638
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1891					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648643
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1897					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648683
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1899					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648693

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1901					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648700
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1903					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648707
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1911					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1913					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1915					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1917					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648895
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1919					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648900
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1926					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1930					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648931
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1931					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1933					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1935					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648943
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1941					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1944					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649009
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S1945					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1947					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1950					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649020
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1951					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1953					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649022
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1955					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649034
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1957					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1959					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649060
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1961					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649077
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1963					Object	See attached	See attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649082
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1965					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1967					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1969					Object	see attached	see attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649114
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1972					Object	See Attached	See Attached	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3649622
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1973					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1975					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1979					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1981					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1983					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1985					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1987					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1989					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1991					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1993					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1995					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1997					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S1999					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2001					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2218					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2185					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3655953
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2183					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3655946

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2114					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654756
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2198					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3656942
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2003					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2005					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2009					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2011					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2013					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2015					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2017					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2019					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2021					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2023					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2025					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2027					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2029					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2031					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2033					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2035					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2039					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2041					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2043					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2047					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2049					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2051					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2053					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2055					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2057					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2059					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2061					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2063					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2066					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654420
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2067					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2070					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2071					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654429
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2074					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2076					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654542
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2077					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2079					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654551
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2081					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654554
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2083					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654569
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2086					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2088					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2090					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2091					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3654639
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2093					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2097					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2101					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654729
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2100					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2103					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2105					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654739
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2107					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2110					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2115					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2117					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2119					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654772
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2121					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2123					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654801
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2125					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654805
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2127					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654806
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2129					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654813

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2131					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654828
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2133					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654842
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2283					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658158
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2250					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2348					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2320					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2339					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2262					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2324					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658406
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2358					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658704
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2305					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658337
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2135					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654851
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2137					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654868
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2139					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2141					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2143					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2145					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2150					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654921
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2151					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2154					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2155					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654968
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2157					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3654985
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2160					Object	See attached. Å	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2161					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3655011
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2163					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2165					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2167					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2169					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2171					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2173					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2175					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2177					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2179					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2181					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3655935
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2187					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3655972
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2189					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3656304
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2191					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3656853
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2193					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3656884
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2196					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3656902
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2200					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3656952
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2202					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3657014
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2204					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2206					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2208					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2210					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2212					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2214					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2216					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2220					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2222					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2224					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2226					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2228					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2230					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2232					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2234					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2236					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2238					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2240					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2242					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2244					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2246					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2252					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2254					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2400					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660475
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2480					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3679922
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2478					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3679915
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2256					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2258					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2260					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2264					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2266					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2268					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2270					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2272					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2274					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2276					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2278					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2280					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658147
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2284					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2286					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2288					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2290					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2292					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2294					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2296					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2299					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2300					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658321
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2302					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2306					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2309					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2310					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658380
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2312					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2314					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2316					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658390
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2318					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2322					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658403
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2326					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658411
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2328					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658421
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2330					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658424
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2332					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2334					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2336					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2340					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658626
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2342					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2344					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658635
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2346					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2350					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2352					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2354					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2356					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2361					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2363					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658717
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2364					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2367					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2370					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658738
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2369					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2372					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2374					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2376					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658911

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2378					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658917
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2380					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658923
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2382					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658933
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2384					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658945
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2386					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3658990
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2388					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660127
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2390					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660189
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2392					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660225
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2517					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681088
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2496					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680652
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2502					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680997
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2513					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681062
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2498					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680885
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2500					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680918
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2524					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681175

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2394					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660459
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2396					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660468
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2398					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660473
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2402					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660482
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2404					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660487
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2406					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660617
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2408					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660625
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2410					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3660634
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2412					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661780
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2414					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661791
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2416					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661795
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2418					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661797
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2420					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661813
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2422					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661832
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2424					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661839

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2426					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661860
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2428					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661875
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2430					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661925
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2432					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3661935
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2434					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662244
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2436					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662284
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2438					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662289
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2440					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662304
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2442					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662395
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2444					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662408
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2446					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662419
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2448					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3662423
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2450					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663739
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2452					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663763
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2454					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3663780

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2456					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3663826
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2458					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3663835
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2460					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3663843
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2462					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3663859
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2464					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3663862
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2466					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3663872
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2468					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3663877
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2470					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3679813
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2472					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3679825
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2474								Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3679827
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2476					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3679831
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2482					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3679947
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2484					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3679960
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2486					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3680524
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2488					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objactive.co.uk/file/3680536

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2490					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680559
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2492					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680591
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2494					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3680629
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2505					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681016
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2506					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681031
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2508					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681036
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2512					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681058
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2515					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681078
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2520					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681112
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2522					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681120
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2526					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681184
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2528					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681233
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2530					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681239
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMD S2532					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3681251
Peddimore	PPM18	BDPMD S2536					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3648294

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Peddimore	PMM18	BDPMOD S2538					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3719624
Peddimore	PPM18	BDPMOD S2540					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3719624
Langley	PMM19	BDPMOD S278					Object	My comments relate to PMM17 and PMM18 This is a further objection to the development of the green belt land being included in the Birmingham Development Plan 2031. I do not believe the the Birmingham City Council are impartial to make a decision regarding this land due to their ownership of it. I feel the Birmingham City Council have made this process for objecting to the Green Belt development incredibly difficult to do. This is the second time now to make my objections clear and it feels like we are being ground down slowly and think it is a 'done deal' as the papers have reported recently. The problems for the local areas will be catastrophic. These do not appear to have been given proper consideration for example the traffic conditions terrible during the peak times and all main roads are at a slow pace. Roads such as Webster Way, Walmley Ash Road, A38, Minworth Island, B4148 in and around Walmley Village, Fox Hollies Road, Ox Leys Road are already at capacity for great parts of the day with rat-runs through the residential estate roads being used to try to negate queueing. As a local resident I do not to use the roads at peak times unless absolutely necessary and this is now before any new developments! The existing services such as public transport, schools, doctors, shops and especially hospital services will not be sufficient to meet the needs of the many thousands of people who be moving into the area. It is so sad that the Green Belt will be lost for ever to future generations in Birmingham.	I believe the preferred option to build in Walmley and Peddimore is the wrong one and Birmingham should look at all reasonable alternatives and not just Walmley and Peddimore.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	
Bordesley Park	PMM19	BDPMOD S523					Support	The Licensees* of Birmingham Wheels Park wish to welcome and support the proposed modification on behalf of the many thousands of users of the facilities. The proposed modification recognises the importance of the range of facilities at Birmingham Wheels Park which are of local, regional and national importance as well as providing a valued community asset. * The Licensees preparing this evidence represent the motorsport and roller speedskating activities at Birmingham Wheels Park.		Support for the proposed modification which recognises the importance of facilities at Birmingham Wheels.	Support noted.	
Bordesley Park	PMM19	BDPMOD S82	Mr Bob Sharples	Sport England			Support	Both Birmingham Wheels Park and Birmingham City Football Club are significant sites for sport. It is important to recognise their albeit different contributions to local communities and within Birmingham and wider afield. It is therefore import to both their long terms sustainable futures to be able to have support to enhance their facilities is as important as their protection.		The sporting importance of the Wheels Park and Birmingham City Football Club should be recognised and their facilities and futures enhanced and protected .	Comment noted.	
Bordesley Park	PMM20	BDPMOD S524					Support	The Licensees* of Birmingham Wheels Park wish to welcome and support the proposed modification on behalf of the many thousands of users of the facilities. The proposed modification recognises the importance of the range of facilities at Birmingham Wheels Park which are of local, regional and national importance as well as providing a valued community asset. * The Licensees preparing this evidence represent the motorsport and roller speedskating activities at Birmingham Wheels Park.		Support for the proposed modification which recognises the importance of facilities at Birmingham Wheels.	Comment noted.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Bordesley Park	PMM20	BDPMOD S83	Mr Bob Sharples	Sport England			Support	The protection of Birmingham Wheels Park is in line with paragraph 74 of the NPPF, which I have raised in my previous representations around the site. I accept there may be a need to relocate the site, and therefore I am pleased to see the wording from NPPF paragraph 74 bullet point 2, now contained within the statement.		Support for policy now reflecting wording of paragraph 74 of NPPF.	Support noted.	
Eastern Triangle	PMM21	BDPMOD S356	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country			Support	For environmental improvement amendment text - NPPF		Support for policy now reflecting wording of paragraph 74 of NPPF.	Comment noted.	
Eastern Triangle	PMM22	BDPMOD S10					Object	These reasons do not justify building on green belt when there are brown sites and empty and boarded up homes across Birmingham.		Objects to building within green belt when other sites and vacant houses exist.	The former Yardley Sewage Works is a previously developed site with limited environmental and recreational value. The limited value of the Yardley Sewage Works site in terms of the role and function of the Green Belt, the shortage of land for housing in the city, together with the local regeneration benefits and the potential for significant enhancements to the Cole Valley represent exceptional circumstances which justify the release of the site from the Green Belt. In addition to this opportunity, proposals have and are being brought forward that include the residential development of other brown field sites, the redevelopment of unpopular housing areas and the bringing of empty properties into use through the Council's successful Empty Property Strategy. No change proposed.	
Eastern Triangle	PMM22	BDPMOD S370	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Object	This relates to the removal of Yardley Sewage Works from the Green Belt and removal of SINC status, not SLINC status as stated in the Proposed Main Modifications, to allow for an allocation for housing growth. This "matter of fact "should be corrected " the referred to SLINC is actually a SINC. The removal of SINC status refers to a strip along the eastern side of the proposed housing allocation and immediately adjacent to the River Cole. This strip of SINC is being removed from the long-standing and much larger Project Kingfisher Cole Valley SINC, key Wildlife Corridor and also designated as a UK Man & the Biosphere Reserve. The housing allocation also removes a strip of SINC site along the eastern boundary. B&BCWT objects to the loss of this strip of SINC, especially given an apparent lack of up to date ecological survey work to inform this decision and the allocation. The SINC and its component habitats should be retained as part of the green infrastructure provision if the housing allocation is confirmed and subsequently taken up, and this should be a key element of Master Planning for this allocation. If this is to be the case, there is no need to include the eastern strip of the SINC within the boundary of the Housing Allocation. The Housing Allocation should respect the SINC status and boundary of the adjacent site.	The Housing Allocation should respect the SINC status and boundary of the adjacent site and the reference to SLINC should be corrected to SINC.	Objection to the incorrect reference to land as SLINC rather than SINC at former Yardley Sewage Works, and how this land is included within the proposed housing allocation. There is concern over the loss of land designated as SINC and that the development boundary should be amended to exclude this area to the east of the site.	There are two main modifications relating to the former Yardley Sewage Works and surrounding area. PMM22 includes additional text at Paragraph 5.98 that sets out the exceptional circumstances for the removal of this land from the green belt. The development site allocation does include land that is designated (correctly) as SINC. The exact development boundary will be determined in accordance with BDP paragraph 5.98 which outlines "retention and further enhancement of the most attractive aspects of the Cole Valley". This will include consideration of constraints imposed by the SINC and the need to safeguard an open space link along the river. No change is therefore proposed. PMM85 relates to proposed changes to the Policies Map, and (E) proposes modifications to the boundaries of the Core Employment Area "At Lea Ford to remove SLINC" - i.e. the boundary of the Core Employment area is reduced. The land in this location is SINC (and not SLINC as suggested in the proposed modification) and the wording of PMM85(E) will be amended accordingly.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Eastern Triangle	PMM22	BDPMOD S57	Dr Peter King	Chairman Campaign to Protect Rural England			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Yardley Sewage Works is designated as a development site and this constitutes a gap in the River Cole green corridor. Development should retain public open space along the river bank to enhance linkages and the wildlife corridor.	The exact development boundary will be determined in accordance with BDP paragraph 5.98 which outlines that development would seek to secure compensatory measures including opportunities for the improvement of access to the heart of the Cole Valley as well as the retention and further enhancement of the most attractive aspects of the Cole Valley. This will include consideration of constraints imposed by the SINC and the need to safeguard an open space link along the river. No change is therefore proposed.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3576447
Selly Oak	PMM23	BDPMOD S11					Support	Improving the natural environment has a positive impact on all citizens.		Supports proposed modifications highlighting specific improvements to the natural environment in Selly Oak.	Support welcomed.	
Selly Oak	PMM23	BDPMOD S1140		Calthorpe Estates c/o Turley			Object	See attached.	See attached.	The proposed modification to policy GA 9 is not considered sound on the basis that it does not identify the northern and western parts of Edgbaston as a growth area	Objection noted. However this issue was debated fully at the Examination in Public. The Council does not consider that this area merits growth area status for the reasons set out in the council's hearing statement or matter G and the Inspector has not recommended any modifications to the BDP. No further modifications are necessary.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628585
Selly Oak	PMM23	BDPMOD S1167	Mr Russell Butchers	Canal & River Trust			Object	See attached.	See attached.	There is an error in PMM23. the text of the pre-submission document has been reproduced incorrectly and the words "and improvements to the canal network" are missing. Alternative wording is suggested to describe the restoration route for the Lapal Canal.	It is not intended that the words "and improvements to the canal network" be deleted. This is a typing error in document EXAM 156. The suggested minor change to the description of the restoration route of the Lapal Canal does not merit further modification to the BDP.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629258
Selly Oak	PMM23	BDPMOD S357	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support	For improvements bringing about improved habitat connectivity - NPPF and Nature Improvement Area		Supports proposed modifications highlighting improvements to the natural environment in Selly Oak	Support welcomed	
Selly Oak	PMM23	BDPMOD S4					Object	PMM23 - See attached. file		Seeks completion of the Selly Oak new road at the southern end which involves works to reduce traffic flows at the Triangle.	Policies GA9 and TP43 already seek completion of the Selly Oak new road at the southern end with associated environmental enhancement works and measures to reduce traffic flows around at the Triangle	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3541558
Selly Oak	PMM23	BDPMOD S7		Inland Waterways Association Bham and the Black Country			Support	IWA is pleased to note and support the various modifications relating to canals, and in particular: PMM23 - that the Lapal Canal preferred restoration route will be protected;		Supports modifications highlighting specific improvements to the environment to include the restoration of the Lapal Canal.	Support welcomed	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3541091

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Longbridge	PMM25	BDPMOD S1141		Calthorpe Estates c/o Turley			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Suggest that Edgbaston Mill is designated a District Centre	Objection noted. However this objection relates to policy TP20 and proposed modification 55 (not 25). the agent for Calthorpe Estates has made an error. The designation of Edgbaston Mill as a local/district centre was considered at the Examination in Public. The Council does not consider that these local shops merit designation as a local or district for the reasons set out in the council's hearing statement for matter K and the Inspector has not recommended any modifications to the BDP. No further modifications are necessary.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628585
Environment and sustainability	PMM26	BDPMOD S130					Object	Current proposals for the development of 6000 homes in Walmley with increase the carbon footprint and not reduce. Walmley is currently and island surrounded by motorway networks which chokes the existing road network. Walmley is currently on the flight path and during May, June, July and August the flights start at 6am each morning banking over the Walmley estates every 5 mintues up until 7am again and then again commencing during the afternoon of each day during these months.We are currently choked either way by fumes.		Developments at Walmley will increase the carbon footprint.	The comment does not relate to the Modification, but in any event the point is not accepted. No change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM26	BDPMOD S317					Object	I would say that Birmingham is on the point of tipping over the legal limit for air quality at the moment. I am sure all those suffering from asthma and other bronchial problems in the city currently would like to know how this development will improve matter. Surely we need green spaces between developed areas, not just fill in the gaps so that we can just join up the roads and sewage systems cheaply.	A seperate New town with all facilities and green space all around would answer the needs better of the vast numbers of people that the council seem to think will be descending on the area in the next few years, rather than turning Birmingham into a polluted slum with no green space around.	Considers that Birmingham is already over-polluted, and new development will make this worse. A new town should be developed.	The comment does not relate to the Modification, but in any event the point is not accepted. No change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM26	BDPMOD S507					Object	"Encouraging" isn't enough. This should be a requirement. Otherwise developers will simply ignore this.	Change "Encouraging" to "Requiring"	Policy should "require" rather than "encourage".	Such an approach would be too inflexible. No change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM26	BDPMOD S541					Support	But this point could be strengthened by the additional specification of the value of south facing roofs for solar panels; provision for ground source heat pumps and provision of storage for waste material for Anaerobic Digestion.		Suggests additional points for inclusion in the policy	These points are considered to be too detailed for the BDP, but could be considered in the context of the Development Management DPD. No change required	
Environment and sustainability	PMM27	BDPMOD S1168	Mr Russell Butchers	Canal & River Trust			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Support	Noted. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629258
Environment and sustainability	PMM27	BDPMOD S12					Support	Good common sense		Support.	Support noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM27	BDPMOD S358	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support	Bring additional biodiversity benefits - NPPF		Support	Support noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM27	BDPMOD S508					Object	The usage of the term "blue" is too vague. If this means canals, rivers and waterways then it should say canals, rivers and waterways. "Where applicable, maintain and enhance the canal blue network to reflect the canals role in urban cooling benefits that canals and rivers bring to urban cooling."	Refer to canals, rivers and waterways not "blue network". At the very least get rid of the extraneous apostrophe after blue.	Opposes the use of the term "blue network"	This is not accepted. The term is defined in the Reasoned Justification (PMM 28). No change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM28	BDPMOD S13					Support			Support	Support noted.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Environment and sustainability	PMM28	BDPMOD S359	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support	Will help to deliver the GLSP		Support	Support noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM28	BDPMOD S492	Mr Justin Milward	Lead Government Affairs Officer - Local Woodland Trust			Object	Reference PMM28/p.70/Policy/TP2 - Reasoned Justification We note the proposed amendments to the third sentence of paragraph 6.10: "Birmingham s Green Living Spaces Plan sets the priorities for creating a green network covering open spaces and parks, linear corridors, blue infrastructure and green roofs / walls to help cool the City. "Birmingham s Green Living Spaces Plan also specifically details the role of trees in helping to cool theCity (p.10: "Trees for cooling and thermal insulation ") . The benefit of trees in this regard is mentioned too in Policy TP2 itself " 7 th bullet point.	We would therefore like to see trees added into paragraph 6.10 as suggested (upper case amendments) "Birmingham s Green Living Spaces Plan sets the priorities for creating a green network covering open spaces and parks, linear corridors, blue infrastructure and green roofs / walls/TREES to help cool the City ".	Wishes to see a reference to trees added to the policy.	This is considered to be unnecessary. No change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM28	BDPMOD S509					Object	What is a "linear corridor". If it is corridor, then it is bound to be linear surely. "Birmingham s Green Living Spaces Plan sets the priorities for creating a green network covering open spaces and parks, linear corridors, blue infrastructure and green roofs / walls to help cool the City. "	Use a better term than linear corridor e.g. corridor.	Opposes the use of the term "linear corridor".	This is an established phrase. No change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM29	BDPMOD S1097	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Seeks a further change to clarify the policy.	This is considered to be unnecessary. No change required.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3628007
Environment and sustainability	PMM29	BDPMOD S1210	Sue Green	Home Builders Federation		Home Builders Federation		See attached.	See attached.	Should be consistent with Ministerial Statements and the Productivity Plan.	The Council considers that this is the case. No change required.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3629992
Environment and sustainability	PMM29	BDPMOD S510					Object	I object to the following .. Amend the second bullet as follows: -Conserve water and minimise reduce flood risk. While it may be over-ambitious to require that flood risk is minimised, to change this to simply be "reduce"is under-ambitious. Even a tiny reduction would be considered acceptable under this. Something more reasonable should be used such as "reduce as far as practical" or similar phrase to ensure that there is some form of demanding requirement.	Something more reasonable should be used such as "reduce as far as practical" or similar phrase to ensure that there is some form of demanding requirement.	Suggests that the policy should be strengthened by replacing "reduce" with "reduce as far as practical".	The policy is considered to be sufficiently demanding. No further change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM29	BDPMOD S533					Object	The plan should not move away from sustainability standards. We need to reduce carbon emissions. Developers should not be given the excuse of their project being unviable. This would lead to a diminution of sustainable standards of buildings. Keep Birmingham Energy Savers to the previous high standards.		Opposes the inclusion of reference to viability on the grounds that it weakens the policy.	This modification was introduced to ensure consistency with the NPPF. No further change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM30	BDPMOD S511					Object	I object to the following.. Amend the last sentence of paragraph 6.12 as follows: "All new residential development should meet the relevant national standards for sustainable construction . In the case of new residential development, this will be achieved through energy performance standards applied through the Building Regulations in line with the Ministerial Statement of March 25 th 2015." This amendment will mean that the policy will not be responsive to future changes in government policy but will become fixed in time to this statement of March 25th 2015. This plan is for a significant time horizon and hence needs to take into account that new, more stringent policies may arise.	Include a suitable caveat to ensure that the policy will be amended in line with future central government requirements.	Objects on the grounds that the policy will not be responsive to future changes in Government policy	The change reflects the fact that energy performance standards are now applied through the building regulations rather than planning. No change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM31	BDPMOD S1211	Sue Green	Home Builders Federation		Home Builders Federation		See attached.	See attached.	Should be consistent with Ministerial Statements and the Productivity Plan.	The Council considers that this is the case. No change required	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3629992
Environment and sustainability	PMM31	BDPMOD S534					Object	Again remove the excuse of lack of viability for the project.		Objects to reference to viability	This change ensures consistency with the NPPF. No change required	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Environment and sustainability	PMM32	BDPMOD S1213	Sue Green	Home Builders Federation		Home Builders Federation		See attached.	See attached.	SPDs should not add to the financial burden of development	The purpose of this SPD is to provide additional advice on the application of the policy. No change required	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629992
Environment and sustainability	PMM32	BDPMOD S360	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support			Support.	Support noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM33	BDPMOD S1169	Mr Russell Butchers	Canal & River Trust			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Want amendment to TP6 to prevent development where there would be a negative impact on surface water.	No change required. Policy does not prohibit this.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629258
Environment and sustainability	PMM33	BDPMOD S362	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support			Support.	Support noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM33	BDPMOD S493	Mr Justin Milward	Lead Government Affairs Officer - Local Woodland Trust			Object	Reference PMM33/p.74/ Policy TP6 Managing Floodrisk We note that four additional bullet points are proposed at the end of the Rivers and Streams section of the policy. The supporting text of this section of the policy states that "River corridors are also important elements of the City s green infrastructure network. The management of floodplains will also need to take into account the potential to increase benefits to wildlife"Trees and woodland can play an important role in green infrastructure delivery of positive water quality and flow outcomes.The Woodland Trust believes that trees and woodlands can deliver a major contribution to resolving a range of water management issues, particularly those resulting from climate change like flooding and the water quality implications caused by extreme weather events. They offer opportunities to make positive water use change whilst also contributing to other objectives, such as biodiversity, timber & green infrastructure - see the Woodland Trust publication Woodland actions for biodiversity and their role in water management. https://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/mediafile/100263208/rr-wt-71014-woodland-actions-for-biodiversity-and-their-role-in-watermanagement.pdf?cb=001108c3a78944299140a996b2cd7ee8 .In addition, a joint Environment Agency/Forestry Commission publication Woodland for Water: Woodland measures for meeting Water Framework objectives states clearly that: There is strong evidence to support woodland creation in appropriate locations to achieve water management and water quality objectives (Environment Agency, July 2011- http://www.forestry.gov.uk/fr/woodlandforwater). The Government s Independent Panel on Forestry (Defra, Final Report, July 2012) has emphasised these benefits by stating that: One of the many benefits of woods and trees is their ability to help us respond to a changing climate, better enabling us to adapt to future temperature increases. We know that trees, in the right places, help us to adapt to	We would therefore like to see an additional bullet point included at the end of the Rivers and Streams section of policy TP6 to read " "Riparian tree planting to be carried out to protect watercourse banks, improve water quality and slow down excessive flow " .	Tree planting along river bank to protect banks and slow water.	No change required. There is nothing to prevent this from happening in any case .	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								<p>climate change by reducing surface water flooding; reducing ambient temperature through direct shade and evapo-transpiration; and by reducing building heating and air-conditioning demands. A landscape with more trees will also help increase the resilience of our rural areas, by reducing soil erosion and soil moisture loss. Improving the condition of existing woodlands, and the creation of a more resilient ecological network of associated habitats, will help wildlife adapt to climate change and other pressures . This has been endorsed by the response in the Government Forestry Policy Statement (Defra Jan 2013) with the key objective (p.23) Work with other organisations and initiatives to support the further development of markets in forest carbon and other ecosystem services such as water and biodiversity , together with a Cumbria case study (p.22 - SCaMP) on water benefits from woodland creation. Woodland can help adaptation strategies cope with the high profile threats to water quality and volume resulting from climate change. The Forestry Commission s publication, The Case for Trees in development and the urban environment (Forestry Commission, July 2010), explains how: the capacity of trees to attenuate water flow reduces the impact of heavy rain and floods and can improve the effectiveness of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems . Trees can reduce the likelihood of surface water flooding in urban situations too, when rain water overwhelms the local drainage system, by regulating the rate at which rainfall reaches the ground and contributes to run off. Slowing the flow increases the possibility of infiltration and the ability of engineered drains to take away any excess water. This is particularly the case with large crowned trees. Research by the University of Manchester has shown that increasing tree cover in urban areas by 10 % reduces surface water run-off by almost 6%. (Using green infrastructure to alleviate flood risk, Sustainable Cities - www.sustainablecities.org.uk/water/surface-water/using-gi/). The Woodland Trust has also produced a policy paper illustrating the benefits of trees for urban flooding " Trees in Our Towns " the role of trees and woods in managing urban water quality and quantity (https://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/mediafile/100083915/Trees-in-our-towns.pdf). The Woodland Trust has carried out a number of partnership riparian planting projects across the country, particularly along the Rivers Frome & Piddle in Dorset. Examples of using trees for flood mitigation can be found in our WoodWise publication - https://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/mediafile/100091022/9-Wood-Wise-Winter-2013.pdf . The Government s new agri-environment Countryside Stewardship scheme specifically targets woodland creation towards water benefits and it is therefore likely that this will represent a new funding resource for flood mitigation. The National Flood Forum too (http://www.nationalfloodforum.org.uk/about-us/ .) is supporting community action for flooding that can link in to community tree planting schemes.</p>				
Environment and sustainability	PMM33	BDPMOD S535					Object	Again remove the excuse of lack of viability for the project.		Objects to reference to viability	This change ensures consistency with the NPPF. No change required	
Environment and sustainability	PMM33	BDPMOD S1125	Jane Field	Environment Agency			Object	See attached.		Suggests a detailed change to the policy in respect of access to water courses for flood defence maintenance works.	The Council considers that this issue is adequately covered by the existing proposed wording. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628351

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Environment and sustainability	PMM34	BDPMOD S363	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support			Support.	Support noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM35	BDPMOD S364	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support	Consistency with NPPF		Support.	Support noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM35	BDPMOD S536					Object	Keep original wording in order to give full protection to the green infrastructure network		Prefer the original wording of the policy to ensure full protection of green infrastructure network.	No change required; the policy is sufficiently robust in this regard.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM36	BDPMOD S1032	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Support	See attached.		Support.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626435
Environment and sustainability	PMM36	BDPMOD S14					Support			Support.	Support noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM36	BDPMOD S365	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support	Will help to secure improvements to NIA Green Infrastructure Map - note that Local Nature Reserves and Sutton Park National Nature Reserve are not identified on the Policies Map. This should be rectified as these sites are statutory sites.		Support.	Support noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM37	BDPMOD S349	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support	Note that Plan 15 fails to show Local Nature Reserves, statutory sites declared under the National Parks & Access to the Countryside Act (1949). The Plan also fails to show Sutton Park National Nature Reserve which has a different boundary to Sutton Park SSSI. The Plan should show these important nature conservation sites. Circular 06/2005 provides further guidance in respect of statutory obligations for biodiversity and geological conservation and their impact within the planning system (NPPF p.26).		NIA Green Infrastructure Map - note that Local Nature Reserves and Sutton Park National Nature Reserve are not identified	LNR boundaries are similar to, but not always identical with the boundaries of the SINCs or SLINCs on which they are based. For this reason it is not possible to show them clearly on the Policies Map. However the the LNR boundaries can be shown on the web-based Green Infrastructure Plan referred to in the Modification.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM37	BDPMOD S367	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support	However, Sutton Park National Nature Reserve and all Local Nature Reserves are not shown on Plan 15. These nature conservation sites should be added to the plan.		NIA Green Infrastructure Map - note that Local Nature Reserves and Sutton Park National Nature Reserve are not identified	LNR boundaries are similar to, but not always identical with the boundaries of the SINCs or SLINCs on which they are based. For this reason it is not possible to show them clearly on the Policies Map. However the the LNR boundaries can be shown on the web-based Green Infrastructure Plan referred to in the Modification.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM38	BDPMOD S1033	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Support	See attached.		Support.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626435
Environment and sustainability	PMM38	BDPMOD S15					Object	This is very subjective, who determines whether the benefits outweigh the impact. "unless the benefits of the development clearly outweigh the impact that it is likely to have on the features that make the site special and any broader impacts on the national network of SSSIs. "		Concerned about who will determine whether benefits of a development outweigh the impact.	No change required. Policies enable benefits and impact to be assessed.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Environment and sustainability	PMM38	BDPMOD S366	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Object	Nationally important sites policy - B&BCWT objects to this modification as SSSIs and NNRs are statutory sites protected by law. Development taking place in such sites would break the law. With this planning policy in place, these legally protected, nationally important sites would have no better planning protection than non-statutory nature conservation sites such as SINCs and SLINCs, contrary to para 113 of the NPPF. The policy should express the clear distinction between national and local sites as required by para 113. In addition, the modification text is a selective precis of policy expressed in para 118 of the NPPF, which clearly states that "an exception should only be made ". For information, the relevant Black Country Core Strategy policy (ENV1 Nature Conservation) contains no such caveat. Amending the 5th para: B&BCWT objects to the deletion of "stepping stones "as this removes clarity from the policy and would like "stepping stones "to be retained . Support for other amendments.	Should the caveat remain (something that we object to), B&BCWT would like the caveat to clearly state: "will not be permitted and an exception should only be made if the benefits+M64.etc". This would ensure complete clarity and consistency with the NPPF. Circular 06/2005 provides further guidance in respect of statutory obligations for biodiversity and geological conservation and their impact within the planning system (NPPF p.26). Amending 5th para - "stepping stones to be retained"- some consistency with NPPF. We think that the integrity of the wildlife corridors paragraph should be completely consistent with the NPPF. The following policy would achieve this: "In planning for Birmingham s ecological networks at a landscape scale, the integrity of wildlife corridors will be protected from development which would harm their function and by identifying the components that contribute to their function, such as linear features, stepping stones, and the hierarchy of local sites and habitats. "B&BCWT asks that this new policy takes the place of the current amended policy as it provides much improved clarity and is completely consistent with the NPPF.	Considers the policy should distinguish between sites of national and local importance and that the reference to "stepping stones" should be retained.	The Council considers that the wording of the policy in relation to nationally significant sites is consistent with the NPPF and that the concept of stepping stones is adequately covered within the reference to wildlife corridors. No change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM38	BDPMOD S494	Mr Justin Milward	Lead Government Affairs Officer - Local Woodland Trust			Object	PMM38/p.78/ Policy TP8 Biodiversity and Geodiversity We note the proposal to "Amend the first bullet point of the third paragraph as follows "The strategic need for benefits of the proposal outweigh s the need to safeguard the importance of the designated site, or important habitat, species or geological feature and no alternative site is available which will meet the need. "We strongly object to this caveat wording because it effectively permits the loss of irreplaceable ancient woodland. It is critical that the irreplaceable semi natural habitats of ancient woodland and ancient trees are absolutely protected. It is not possible to mitigate the loss of, or replace, ancient woodland by planting a new site, or attempting translocation. Every ancient wood is a unique habitat that has evolved over centuries, with a complex interdependency of geology, soils, hydrology, flora and fauna. This requires absolute protection in accordance with emerging national policy as set out below.Details of the location of ancient woodland are available through the county Ancient Woodland Inventory (Natural England) and ancient trees can be identified by the Ancient Tree Hunt data (http://www.ancient-tree-hunt.org.uk/). We also draw your attention to Natural England and the Forestry Commission s standing advice for Ancient woodland and veteran trees: protecting them from development - https://www.gov.uk/guidance/ancient-woodland-and-veteran-trees-protection-surveys-licences With Birmingham City Council showing a below average (but very valuable for a city) ancient woodland resource at 0.67%% of land area compared to a UK average of 2.5%, it is critical that this valuable natural resource is absolutely protected in the Development Plan. It is also important that there is no further avoidable loss of ancient trees through development pressure, mismanagement or poor practice. The Ancient Tree Forum (ATF) and the Woodland Trust would like to see all such trees recognised as historical, cultural and wildlife monuments scheduled under TPOs and highlighted in plans so	We would therefore like to see a sentence added to the first bullet point of the third paragraph of Policy TP8 to read " "Development which would result in the loss of Ancient Woodland or Ancient trees will not be permitted ". This will also cross reference with the comment about protecting ancient woodland already contained in the Development Plan s Policy TP7 " Green Infrastructure Network ("Particular attention will be given to protecting the City s ancient woodlands as irreplaceable semi-natural habitats ").	Want additional wording: "Development which would result in the loss of Ancient Woodland or Ancient trees will not be permitted ". Would also like to see more detailed policies for the protection and management of trees.	The revised wording proposed would be inconsistent with the NPPF as currently worded. The Development Mangement DPD provides an opportunity to consider planning management issues in relation to trees in more detail. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								<p>they are properly valued in planning decision-making. There is also a need for policies ensuring good management of ancient trees, the development of a succession of future ancient trees through new street tree planting and new wood pasture creation, and to raise awareness and understanding of the value and importance of ancient trees. The Ancient Tree Hunt (http://www.ancient-tree-hunt.org.uk/) is designed specifically for this purpose. Emerging national policy is increasingly supportive of absolute protection of ancient woodland and ancient trees. The Communities and Local Government (CLG) Select Committee published its report following its June 2014 inquiry into the Operation of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) , in which it has specifically recognised the need for better protection for ancient woodland (Tues 16 th Dec 2014).The CLG Select Committee report states: We agree that ancient woodland should be protected by the planning system. Woodland that is over 400 years old cannot be replaced and should be awarded the same level of protection as our built heritage. We recommend that the Government amend paragraph 118 of the NPPF to state that any loss of ancient woodland should be "wholly exceptional ". We further recommend that the Government initiate work with Natural England and the Woodland Trust to establish whether more ancient woodland could be designated as sites of special scientific interest and to consider what the barriers to designation might be. http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmcomloc/190/190.pdf .This shows a clear direction of travel, recognising that the NPPF does not currently provide sufficient protection for ancient woodland. Until the NPPF is amended there is a clear role for Local Plans and associated documents to provide this improved level of protection and to ensure that irreplaceable habitats get the same level of protection as heritage assets enjoy under the NPPF. This recommendation should also be considered in conjunction with other - stronger - national policies on ancient woodland - The Government s policy document Keepers of Time " A statement of Policy for England s Ancient & Native Woodland (Defra/Forestry Commission, 2005, p.10) states: The existing area of ancient woodland should be maintained and there should be a net increase in the area of native woodland. The Government s Independent Panel on Forestry states: Government should reconfirm the policy approach set out in the Open Habitats Policy and Ancient Woodland Policy (Keepers of Time " A statement of policy for England s ancient and native woodland).....Reflect the value of ancient woodlands, trees of special interest, for example veteran trees, and other priority habitats in Local Plans, and refuse planning permission for developments that would have an adverse impact on them. (Defra, Final Report, July 2012). This has been endorsed by the response in the Government Forestry Policy Statement (Defra Jan 2013): We recognise the value of our native and ancient woodland and the importance of restoring open habitats as well as the need to restore plantations on ancient woodland sites. We, therefore, confirm our commitment to the policies set out in both the Open Habitats Policy and Keepers of Time, our statement of policy for England s ancient and native woodland. The Government s Natural Environment White Paper " The Natural Choice: securing the value of nature (HM Government, July 2011, para 2.56) states that: The Government is committed to providing appropriate protection to ancient woodlands...The Biodiversity Strategy for England (Biodiversity 2020: A Strategy for England s Wildlife & Ecosystem Services , Defra 2011, see Forestry para 2.16) states that " We are committed to providing appropriate protection to ancient woodlands and to more restoration of plantations on ancient woodland site . There is increasing evidence of other local authorities supporting absolute protection of ancient woodland in their LDF planning documents - North Somerset Council Core Strategy Adopted April 2012 "Policy CS4: Nature conservation North Somerset contains outstanding wildlife habitats and species. These</p>				

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								<p>Somerset contains outstanding wildlife habitats and species. These include limestone grasslands, traditional orchards, wetlands, rhynes, commons, hedgerows, ancient woodlands and the Severn Estuary. Key species include rare horseshoe bats, otters, wildfowl and wading birds, slow-worms and water voles. The biodiversity of North Somerset will be maintained and enhanced by:... 3) seeking to protect, connect and enhance important habitats, particularly designated sites, ancient woodlands and veteran trees .The Plan for Stafford Borough - Pre-submission publication: Jan 2013 states in Policy N5 that: New developments will be required to include appropriate tree planting, to retain and integrate healthy, mature trees and hedgerows, and replace any trees that need to be removed. Development will not be permitted that would directly or indirectly damage existing mature or ancient woodland, veteran trees or ancient or species-rich hedgerows .The Bristol City Council - Site Allocations and Development Management Policies (Adopted July 2014) [part of Local Plan) states that Policy DM17: Development Involving Existing Green Infrastructure "Trees All new development should integrate important existing trees. Development which would result in the loss of Ancient Woodland, Aged trees or Veteran trees will not be permitted ".</p>				
Environment and sustainability	PMM38	BDPMD S537					Object	The amendment to the second para should be refused. Full protection should be afforded to SSSIs.		Full protection for SSSI's.	No change required. The policy protects SSSI's from harm but allows development where there would be no harm.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Environment and sustainability	PMM38	BDPMOD S555					Object	<p>This modification entails that the fourth paragraph of Policy TP8 now reads 'Developmental proposals which may are likely to affect any designated site or important habitat, species or geographical feature must be supported by adequate information to ensure the likely impact of the proposal can be fully assessed'. I argue that due to the BDP's methodology this likelihood cannot currently be fully assessed. Developmental proposals relating to Area C (the Langley SUE) and Area D (the Peddimore 71ha Employment site) are based on the BDP's Green Belt Assessment (2013). Sections 4.5.2 (Green Belt Assessment , 2013, p.62) and 4.6.1 (ibid, p.71) of the Green Belt Assessment state that with one exception 'No protected or notable species records from the period 2000 to present have been received' for Areas C and D. Section 6.3.3 therefore states that 'The vast majority of Area C supports habitats of lower value offering limited constraints to development' (ibid, p.95) and section 6.4.1 states, of Area D, 'The vast majority of the area has low ecological value offering limited constraints to development' (ibid, p.98). Section 4.1.2 states that the technical assessment 'Ecological Constraints and Opportunities Within Birmingham's Green Belt' carried out by URS informs these judgements and recommendations. However, the information presented by this technical assessment is not adequate. Section 2.1 of the URS technical assessment states that during the field walkover assessment of these sites ' protected and/or notable species have not been recorded, nor have notes been taken of species present within the habitats surveyed' (URS, p.12). Moreover, section 2.2 states that the field survey was undertaken from points of public access alone, 'No other access was secured for the areas of land that were subject to survey' (ibid). Section 2.4 therefore acknowledges that due to access constraints 'data relating to habitat type may be inaccurate in places' (ibid, p.14). Since the technical assessment on which the choice of developmental proposals is based is incomplete and potentially inaccurate, these developmental proposals are not based on adequate information. Thus, the Proposed Main Modification (PMM38) regarding the likelihood of developmental proposals affecting important habitats or species cannot be fully assessed. It must be concluded therefore that PMM38 is not consistent with national policy, as Point 157, bullet point 7, of the National Planning Policy Framework states ' Crucially, Local Plans should identify land where development would be inappropriate for its environmental or historical significance ' (NPPF, p.38), and point 165 of the NPPF states that 'planning policies and decisions should be based on up-to-date information about the local environment' (NPPF, p.40). The plan is not therefore sound.</p>	In order to comply with national policy as detailed in the NPPF I argue that the Proposed Main Modification (PMM38) to Policy TP8 is retained, but that a further, more comprehensive technical assessment of ecological constraints to development in Birmingham's Green Belt is undertaken to fully assess the likelihood of developmental proposals affecting important species and habitats or biodiversity in Areas C and D.	Want more detailed technical assessment of ecological constraints to development in B'ham's green belt to fully assess potential impact of development in Areas C and D.	No change required. The Ecological Assessment undertaken by URS provides a robust assessment.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM39	BDPMOD S267	Ms Clare Eggington	Planning Policy Manager Cannock Chase Council			Support	See attached.		Support.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3597953
Environment and sustainability	PMM39	BDPMOD S368	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Support	Note B&BCWT response to PMM37		Support.	Support noted.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Environment and sustainability	PMM39	BDPMOD S574	Mr Graham Turner	Friends of Hill Hook Local Nature Reserve			Object	2. What is your comment ? The Friends of Hill Hook Local Nature Reserve are concerned about the following issues:- i) the lack of Local Nature Reserve (LNR) designated sites being shown on the Plan Maps (including the Policy map and Green Infrastructure map). As LNRs are acknowledged in the plan to be of a higher and more protected designation than SINC and SLINC, we request that the LNR designations are shown in relevant maps that are an integral part of the plan. ii) the Hill Hook LNR area and boundaries are factually incorrect as shown in the current version of the plan maps. The main error is that the plan maps are not currently showing the part of the LNR between Hill Hook Road and the LNR's northern boundary at Blake Street. There is also an error in the plan maps regarding the position of the LNR's south western boundary. The correct Hill Hook LNR boundaries are shown in a map of Hill Hook LNR that was produced by the Birmingham City Council Planning & Regeneration Department on 21st January 2015. Please see a copy of this map in the attached file. iii) The whole of the Hill Hook LNR is also SINC status. If it is not agreed to amend the plan maps to show 'LNR' status on the maps, please will Hill Hook LNR be shown as being entirely SINC status on relevant maps.	i) Local Nature Reserve (LNR) designated sites are shown on the Plan Maps (including the Policy map). ii) If it is not agreed to amend the plan maps (including the Policy Map) to show LNR designated sites, please will Hill Hook LNR be shown as being entirely SINC status. iii) the Hill Hook LNR area and boundaries to be corrected in the plan maps.	Want to see boundaries of LNRs shown on the Policies Maps and other plans and point to an inaccuracy in the boundary of the Hill Hook LNR.	LNR boundaries are similar to, but not always identical with the boundaries of the SINC or SLINC on which they are based. For this reason it is not possible to show them clearly on the Policies Map. However the LNR boundaries can be shown on the web-based plan referred to in the Modification. The boundaries shown are of the SINC and SLINC and they are accurate.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3603508
Environment and sustainability	PMM40	BDPMOD S1143		Calthorpe Estates c/o Turley			Support	See attached.		Support.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628585
Environment and sustainability	PMM40	BDPMOD S24	Mr Simon Hawley	Associate Harris Lamb Property Consultancy			Object	PMM40 seeks revision of the Policy TP9 - Open Space, Playing Fields and Allotments through the introduction of a new criterion that allows for the development of alternative sport or recreation provision on areas of open space, provided the benefit outweighs the loss. It is our view this additional criterion does not go far enough. The policy should support the development of any form of development provided that the benefit clearly outweighs the loss. For example, if there is an area of poor quality open space that provides no real recreational or public value. In such circumstances it may be more appropriate to redevelop that site for alternative form of development if it is required to meet an identified need. For example, it may, be concluded that the provision of affordable housing in a specific location outweighs the loss of an area of poor quality open space. However, as drafted Policy TP9 does not allow for this to become a consideration unless there is a surplus of open space within a locality.		Policy should allow loss of poor quality open space where there is affordable housing need. Concerned that open space can only be lost where there is a surplus so poor space would be kept.	Policy allows for loss of open space to be considered even where there is no surplus providing either replacement provision or improvement of the remaining open space. Where there is a shortage, losing any open space even if poor in quality cannot be countenanced without replacement provision or improvements to other sites. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3561535
Environment and sustainability	PMM40	BDPMOD S512					Object	I object to the wording ... "In new residential developments provision of new public open space will be required broadly in line with the standard of 2 ha per 1000 population". This should set this as a minimum not simply as "broadly in line" as this is too vague.	Set the standard of 2ha per 1000 population as a minimum (if not better).	2 hectares per 1,000 population should be a minimum standard.	The policy allows some flexibility while being clear as to the standard that applies. No change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM40	BDPMOD S84	Mr Bob Sharples	Sport England			Support	I support the additional bullet point, because it will make it easier for some sports such as BMXing or Skateboarding which appeals to young people more than formal pitch sport, to change the sporting use which will result in the loss of a formal pitch, which may not be required.		Support.	Support noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM41	BDPMOD S1163					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Comments in relation to housing figures and GA5.	Comments do not relate to this PMM.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629051
Environment and sustainability	PMM41	BDPMOD S16					Support			Support.	Support noted.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Environment and sustainability	PMM41	BDPMOD S232					Object	It is misleading to call these parkland areas green belt. This suggests that those planning this development are trying to suggest that less green belt is being used for development than is actually the case and this should be taken into account. The area of Birmingham is soon going to look like one massive built up area. Particularly in Walmley, this will be of great detriment to the local area. It is also likely to result in many people locally deciding to move outside Birmingham for a more pleasant lifestyle.	Not build over the real green belt area and stop trying to rename parkland as green belt.	Considers that parkland areas such as New Hall Valley should not be designated as green belt, and "real" green belt should not be developed	No change required. These areas are already designated as green belt and it is not proposed to change this.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM41	BDPMOD S318					Object	City Country parks are just that, not Green Belt land with agricultural and environmental value.	Don't build on Green Belt land, don't refer to parks as Green belt, they are not.	Country Parks should bnot be green belt.	No change required. These areas are already designated as green belt and it is not proposed to change this.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM42	BDPMOD S525					Support	The Licensees of Birmingham Wheels Park note the proposed modifications to this policy and wish to stress that ALL of the sports facilities at Birmingham Wheels Park fall into the category of formal participation activities albeit that some of those activities also attract significant spectator involvement.The Licensees preparing this evidence represent the motorsport and roller speedskating activities at Birmingham Wheels Park.		The Wheels Park Licensees note the proposed modification, stress the participatory nature of the sports activities on site, and that some of the activities also attract significant spectators.	Comment is noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM42	BDPMOD S85	Mr Bob Sharples	Sport England			Support	I support these changes, but there is an onus on the City Council to ensure that the needs assessment it kept up to date.		Support but want needs assessment kept up to date.	Support noted, no change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM42	BDPMOD S1151					Object	See attached.		Concerned at the threat to Perry Barr Stadium. Suggests change to wording of para 5.47.	This issue was fully discussed during the examination hearings. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628986
Environment and sustainability	PMM43	BDPMOD S526					Object	The Licensees of Birmingham Wheels Park previously made representations suggesting that paragraph 6.64 should be deleted from the plan. This remains the preferred position because, in the view of the Licensees, sports stadia should also be covered by Policy TP11. * The Licensees preparing this evidence represent the motorsport and roller speedskating activities at Birmingham Wheels Park.	In the event that the paragraph is retained the Licensees would like to propose a minor amendment to the proposed modification so that it reads as follows: "While these are covered by policy TP11 they these will continue to be important assets for the City but sit outside the approach to protection of facilities set out in the policy . and are also covered by policy TP24. "This suggestion is made because the wording of Policy TP24 in its modified form does not sufficiently cover sports stadia.	The Wheels Park Licensees would prefer the deletion of paragraph 6.64 as they have previously suggested, as sports stadia should also be covered by Policy TP11. Should paragraph 6.64 be retained, it is suggested that the paragraph be amended further so that stadia and facilities are covered by TP11.	TP11 focuses on the provision and availability of participatory sports facilities. TP24 covers the wider range of tourism and cultural facilities including sporting, tourism and visitor attractions. Together, the policies support, promote and protect the wide range of sporting and visitor attractions in the City. No change proposed.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM44	BDPMOD S1144		Calthorpe Estates c/o Turley			Support	See attached.		Support	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628585
Environment and sustainability	PMM44	BDPMOD S513					Object	I object to the change which is reducing the aspiration of the plan. "Great weight will be given to the conservation of the City s heritage assets. Proposals for new development affecting a designated or non-designated heritage asset or its setting, including alterations and additions, will be expected to make a positive contribution to its character, appearance and significance determined in accordance with national policy." What is the point of saying the national policy should be followed - this should be taken as read. Are we expecting that elsewhere in the plan that unless it explicitly states that national policy will be followed, that national policy will not be followed? If this is the case then the plan is flawed, if not, then this amended statement is flawed.	Retain the original wording requiring "expected to make a positive contribution to its character, appearance and significance "	Considers that the modification weakens the policy and the original wording should be preferred.	This modification was introduced to ensure consistency with the NPPF. No further change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM44	BDPMOD S603	DR MIKE HODDER	Committee Member Council for British Archaeology West Midlands			Support	Additionally we would like to draw attention to a typo in para 6.70, line 4- should be date not data.		Support	Noted. No change required, but the typing error will be corrected.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Environment and sustainability	PMM44	BDPMOD S8		Inland Waterways Association Bham and the Black Country			Support	IWA is pleased to note and support the various modifications relating to canals, and in particular: PMM44 - that historically important canal buildings and features will be protected.		Support	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3541091
Environment and sustainability	PMM45	BDPMOD S1034	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Support	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3626435
Environment and sustainability	PMM45	BDPMOD S538					Support	Preparation for effective waste disposal is a good idea		Support	Support noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM45	BDPMOD S640	Mr Matthew Griffin	Team Leader (Minerals Planning Policy) Staffordshire County Council			Support	See attached.		Support, but suggest change to threshold for application of the policy.	Change to threshold not considered necessary. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3606370
Environment and sustainability	PMM45	BDPMOD S932					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers that provision should be made for a new household recycling site to serve the Langley SUE.	There is no evidence that this is required. The issue can be considered through the Masterplan process. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3622973
Environment and sustainability	PMM46	BDPMOD S1035	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Support	See attached.		Support	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3626435
Environment and sustainability	PMM47	BDPMOD S1036	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Support	See attached.		Support	Support noted.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM48	BDPMOD S1037	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Support	See attached.		Support - but suggests that should also provide a basis for proposals for new minerals infrastructure.	This is not considered to be necessary. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3626435
Environment and sustainability	PMM48	BDPMOD S1164					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers that policy should refer to "commercially viable workable minerals" rather than just "workable" minerals	This is not considered to be necessary, but the Council would have no objection to this on the basis that it can be treated as a minor clarification.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3629051
Environment and sustainability	PMM48	BDPMOD S1191	Malcolm Ratcliff	Mineral Products Association			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Welcome the attempt at a policy but consider that it is still inconsistent with national advice for various reasons including the failure to designate safeguarding areas, lack of planning management criteria and the use of an arbitrary 5 hectare threshold.	It is not considered that Safeguarding Areas are needed in view of the small area of undeveloped land within the city boundary, all of which is green belt. The Council has not received a minerals application for over 30 years, but if it is considered necessary to provide more planning management guidance this could be done through the Development Management DPD. The 5 hectare threshold has been endorsed in the Black Country Joint Core Strategy. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiactive.co.uk/file/3629833

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Environment and sustainability	PMM48	BDPMOD S369	Mr Chris Parry	Principal Ecologist Birmingham and Black Country Wildlife Trust			Object	B&BCWT objects to this paragraph of the Minerals policy and is of the view that the current wording of the whole policy is inconsistent with and lacks clarity in respect of minerals policy as expressed within Section 13 of the NPPF . The minerals policy wording as proposed in the Main Modifications acts to trump other policies within the Birmingham Development Plan and development and site allocations within Birmingham. This policy has the potential to "sterilise "land subject to the other policy requirements and allocations of the Development Plan. The minerals policy as proposed as a modification has a number of key omissions: no indication or information about the nature of the minerals resource, where it is, or how large or small the resource is, no information as to why the figure of 5ha is identified, no definition of what constitutes "workable minerals ", no definition of how much of the minerals resource would warrant a site being held back from development, no consideration of the natural environment, social or community impacts, nor how these would be dealt with, no criteria defining the nature of land restoration after the extraction of any workable minerals, nor how restoration might be enabled, and no framework or process for the determination of minerals applications. The implementation of the policy would act to delay or postpone other types of development which other policies in the Development Plan are intended to bring forward potentially as a matter of priority, or, at worse, prevent other types of development completely or "sterilise "land in terms of valid development. A secondary impact of the policy may result in displacing other valid development on to other areas, for example areas receiving policy protection for other reasons, or areas without any policy protection. Above all, the minerals policy represents no more than pure speculation. It is clear that a significant piece of work on minerals policy is required to be completed before an informed minerals policy can be presented for public consultation. The Reason for Modification is given as: to ensure any workable minerals are protected. Should the proposed policy be adopted as written, it will not protect mineral resources, but will result in the extraction of minerals on development sites over 5ha, thereby jeopardising the future development of such sites.	The shortcomings of the policy are set out above. In our view, a significant piece of work on minerals policy is required to be completed before an informed minerals policy can be presented for public consultation.	Objects to the policy on the grounds that it is inconsistent with the NPPF, and would 'trump' other policies, potentially with adverse environmental consequences and potentially sterilising development sites. Other detailed criticisms are made and it is suggested that more work is required on this issue.	Birmingham has no active mineral workings and this has been the case for many years. This policy seeks to ensure that when new development or redevelopment takes place on major sites opportunity is taken to extract any workable mineral reserves prior to development. This would not trump other policies nor would it sterilise sites. If it is considered that more detailed guidance on the application of this approach is required this could be provided through the Development Management DPD. No change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM48	BDPMOD S539					Support	This strategy is welcome, but a caveat should be entered on the subject of coal mining: we do not wish to increase carbon emissions and the burning of coal is the worst for such emissions.		Support (but does not support coal mining)	Noted. There are no coal reserves in Birmingham. No change required.	
Environment and sustainability	PMM48	BDPMOD S641	Mr Matthew Griffin	Team Leader (Minerals Planning Policy) Staffordshire County Council			Support	See attached.		Supports in principle and notes that the 5ha threshold is consistent with the Black Country Joint Core Strategy. Advocates definition of Safeguarding Areas outside the built-up area.	Noted. It is not considered that Safeguarding Areas are needed in view of the small area of undeveloped land within the city boundary, all of which is green belt. No change required.	http://birmingham.obbactive.co.uk/file/3606370
Environment and sustainability	PMM48	BDPMOD S690	Emily Barker	Worcestershire County Council			Support	See attached.		Support	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obbactive.co.uk/file/3607607
Environment and Sustainability	PMM48	BDPMOD S1193	Mr Malcolm Ratcliff		Senior Planning Advisor Mineral Products Association		Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obbactive.co.uk/file/3629833

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Regional Investment Sites	PMM49	BDPMOD S1098	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Support	See attached.		Supports proposed modification	Support noted.	
Regional Investment Sites	PMM49	BDPMOD S1173					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Repeats earlier representation that Peddimore should be a Regional Investment Site and should not include B8 development.	Disagree. The Peddimore allocation is required to meet the projected shortfall in Best Urban land. Policy GA6 already safeguards 40 ha of the site for B1c and B8 uses and the City Council consider that this strikes an appropriate balance in promoting higher job density B1c and B2 uses while providing opportunities for B8 development in a location that is attractive to logistics operates due to its proximity to the motorway network.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629051
Regional Investment Sites	PMM49	BDPMOD S514					Object	I object to the deletion of the words high quality. This seriously degrades the value of this policy. The reason for this modification is given as "For clarity."This adds no clarity is merely avoids the need for any good (let alone high) quality development.	Retain the provision "high quality".	Objects to the deletion of 'high quality'.	Disagree. The additional paragraph proposed by PMM 50 provides sufficient clarity on the type of development proposed for RIS to support their role in attracting investment.	
Regional Investment Sites	PMM50	BDPMOD S1099	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Support	See attached.		Suggest removal of term 'high quality' as the term is imprecise and unnecessary.	Disagree. Read in conjunction with the rest of the proposed paragraph the term adds clarity to the type of uses proposed for the Regional Investment Sites to support their role in attracting investment.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628007
Core Employment Areas	PMM51	BDPMOD S28					Object	There should be added as an additional appropriate use for industrial areas off-airport car parks. Airport parking use is an existing use within Core Employment Areas in the City. Airport car parking use generates employment levels per acre which are comparable with and which frequently exceed employment levels for B8, waste management, builders merchants and machine/tool hire centres. Birmingham International Airport is a strategic driver of economic activity and employment for the City. Adequate airport parking is a pre-requisite for sustainable growth of passenger numbers for the Airport. The Airport Master Plan recognises that part of the forecast growth in demand for airport parking will need to be provided off-airport. Core Employment Areas are a suitable location for additional off-airport parking provision."		Suggest inclusion of off-airport car parks as an additional use appropriate in Core Employment Areas on grounds that it would support the growth of the airport and that such car parks generate comparable levels of employment to other acceptable uses.	Disagree. The policy as worded does not explicitly rule out other uses that may be appropriate and without detailed evidence on the impacts of off-airport car parks we are unable to confirm whether or not such a use is appropriate for Core Employment Areas.	
Employment Land	PMM53	BDPMOD S1198		West Midlands HARP Planning Consortium	Chris Burton, Tetlow King Planning		Object	See attached.		Considers that marketing of sites should only be required for six months not two years.	The two year requirement ensures that the outcome is not over-influenced by short-term market fluctuations. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629889
Protection of Employment Land	PMM53	BDPMOD S1038	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Support	See attached.		Supports proposed modification	Support noted	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626435

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Protection of Employment Land	PMM53	BDPMOD S1100	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Support	See attached.		Suggest removing reference to "industrial" from second bullet point as policy should relate to all employment property. Suggest deletion of "normally for a minimum of two years" as the appropriate marketing period will vary for different sites. Suggest that the bullet point should be reworded to indicate that evidence could include marketing or viability evidence. Suggest introducing a third bullet point to read "or, the social, economic or environmental benefits of alternative use or development have been demonstrated to outweigh the benefits of retaining the site in employment use"	No change required. The reference to industrial should be retained as this will ensure hope value is not reflected in the land price. Other changes are not supported and would undermine the operation of the policy.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628007
Protection of Employment Land	PMM54	BDPMOD S1039	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Support	See attached.		Support proposed modification and make suggestions regarding the content of the updated SPD.	Support noted and suggestions regarding the updated SPD will be considered when the SPD is prepared.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626435
Protection of Employment Land	PMM54	BDPMOD S1101	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Support	See attached.		Suggest removal of all reference to any reliance on or use of the existing SPD, but agree with reference to an updated version to inform the application of Policy TP19.	Disagree. The proposed modification makes it clear that the SPD will be updated to reflect the incorporation of aspects of it within the BDP.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628007
Network of Centres	PMM55	BDPMOD S1040	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Support	See attached.		Supports modification to policy, however seeks clarification regarding main town centre uses in the policy.	The uses are clearly set out in Policy TP23. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626435
Network of Centres	PMM55	BDPMOD S1102	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Support	See attached.		Supports modification to policy to remove longbridge as a local centre and instead move it up in the hierarchy to district centre, no change proposed.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628007
Network of Centres	PMM55	BDPMOD S1145		Calthorpe Estates c/o Turley			Support	See attached.		Supports modification to policy to amend the name of Edgbaston (Five Ways) to Edgbaston District Centre	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628585
Eastern Triangle	PMM56	BDPMOD S1180	John Dring	Moseley Society			Support	See attached.		Support.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629656
Eastern Triangle	PMM57	BDPMOD S1181	John Dring	Moseley Society			Support	See attached.		Support.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629656
Eastern Triangle	PMM58	BDPMOD S1182	John Dring	Moseley Society			Support	See attached.		Support.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629656

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Tourism	PMM59	BDPMOD S29	Mr Ross Anthony	Planning Advisor The Theatres Trust			Object	The Theatres Trust welcomes the modifications to this policy, and are please the development plan no recognises the contribution of cultural facilities to the vibrancy and economy of Birmingham and to the cultural and social well-being of the community. Unfortunately the Plan does not reflect guidance in item 70 of the National Planning Policy Framework which states that to deliver the social, recreational and cultural facilities and services that the community needs, planning policies and decisions should plan for the use of shared space and guard against unnecessary loss of valued facilities. Also to ensure that established facilities and services are retained and able to develop for the benefit of the community.	We recommend an additional paragraph be added to Policy TP24 along the lines of: The council will resist the loss or change of use of existing cultural facilities unless replacement facilities are provided on site or within the vicinity, or it has been demonstrated that there is no community demand for the facility or demand for another community or cultural use on the site.	Welcome policy but it should also make reference to the contribution of cultural facilities.	Policy recognises cultural facilities and reflects NPPF. No change required.	
Housing	PMM61	BDPMOD S519					Object	I object to the revised phrasing (as well as the original phrasing) because it is to ambiguous. "Be adequately serviced by existing or new infrastructure which should be in place before the new housing is provided for which it is required. "The necessary infrastructure needs to be set out. What exactly should be included? Birmingham City Council is responsible for the development of a new central library but this has been so badly planned that when it was first opened it was revealed that the council had not actually included sufficient ladders to allow people to access the more hard to reach shelves, The council has now revealed that it does not have the budget to purchase new books for Birmingham libraries. All this has happened after years of planning. The lack of foresight and budget cutting found on this prestigious development demonstrates the need for the council to set out things clearly early in any planning process rather than giving vague statements that things will be provided. Therefore the public are well entitled to be sceptical about the ability of the council to deliver unless details are actually put in place at the earliest moment. Further background information on this can be found with the following links: http://www.birminghammail.co.uk/news/midlands-news/birmingham-libraries-banned-buying-new-9842985 http://www.theguardian.com/books/culture-cuts-blog/2015/aug/12/cuts-hit-birmingham-libraries-public-donate-books http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-birmingham-33878844 http://metro.co.uk/2014/04/03/190m-library-where-books-are-off-limits-new-building-unfit-for-purpose-because-shelves-are-too-high-4688335/ http://thetab.com/uk/birmingham/2014/04/03/the-shelves-are-too-damn-high-190m-library-of-birmingham-slammed-as-tens-of-thousands-of-books-are-out-of-reach-11090 http://www.telegraph.co.uk/culture/books/booknews/10744050/Staff-at-188-million-Library-of-Birmingham-unable-to-reach-the-books.html http://www.birminghampost.co.uk/news/local-news/library-birmingham-thousands-books-cant-6911157 The information presented to the public and at the Public Examination is notably thin on actual details of what would be provided, and how the success of any new infrastructure would be measured. For road infrastructure all that has been presented is the redesign of a couple of roundabouts which was poorly done, and then a list of sums of money allocated a range of other locations but with no real detail of what would actually be done (hence it could not be demonstrated that the money would have any worthwhile impact). The council therefore has no idea beforehand what actually is required.	The document needs to be amended to identify exactly what infrastructure is required and what is considered "adequate" where there is potential ambiguity. Hence if new road infrastructure is to be provided, what benchmark values should be used to identify if this is adequate for example delays should be no more than so many seconds at a junction, the volume/capacity ratio should be less than 0.80 etc. Without this background the statement will be easily ignored by developers.	The proposed modification relating to infrastructure provision is to ambiguous. Details of the infrastructure should be included as should the measures that will be used to assess whether the infrastructure is adequate.	No change required. Details of specific infrastructure requirements are not appropriate in a high level plan such as the BDP. Such details will be determined through masterplanning. The adequacy of infrastructure will be assessed during the planning process and will need to be demonstrated upon submission of a planning application.	
Housing	PMM62	BDPMOD S1103	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Object	See attached.	See attached.	The revised wording in PMM63 should be located within the policy, not within the reasoned justification.	No change required. The PMM is appropriately located in the supporting text. The policy already states that the delivery rates in the trajectory are indicative.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628007

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Housing	PMM62	BDPMOD S1175					Object	See attached.	See attached.	The BDP should set the delivery rate of the 'shortfall' and should include a trajectory for delivering the 'shortfall' within the rest of the HMA. As other authorities in the HMA will not have plans in place to enable the shortfall to be delivered until 2021 at the earliest the Birmingham trajectory should be front loaded so that there is a consistent rate of delivery across the HMA.	No change required. It will be for neighbouring authorities to deliver the shortfall and each will establish a delivery trajectory which will be, in part, dependant on their timetables for plan production. A front loaded trajectory in Birmingham would not be deliverable.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629051
Housing	PMM62	BDPMOD S1214	Sue Green	Home Builders Federation		Home Builders Federation		See attached.	See attached.	Does not object to the trajectory but raises various detailed points re sites included within the supply.	Account has been taken of these points. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629992
Housing	PMM62	BDPMOD S1228	Greg Mitchell	The Gilmour Family			Object	See attached.	See attached.	It is very unlikely that the city will meet the trajectory. Completions have fallen below the trajectory in three of the last four years. There is a precarious balance between the housing trajectory and the 5 Year land supply position.	No change required. The delivery trajectory sets out indicative annualised rates of provision. Actual delivery will vary. Overall the trajectory has been exceeded over the period 2011/12-14/15. The city has a 5-Year land supply and there is a good balance between the trajectory and supply over the plan period.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630129
Housing	PMM62	BDPMOD S1235		Richborough Estates Ltd C/O Turley	Mike Best	Turley	Object	See attached.	See attached.	Birmingham has a very marginal 5-Year land supply. A shortfall of 538 dwellings has accrued since 2011, increasing the 5 year requirement and resulting in a 5-Year supply of 5.05 years.	No change required. The respondent has omitted vacant dwellings returned to use from the calculation. When these dwellings are added to completions this demonstrates that progress towards meeting the trajectory has been exceeded. There is no shortfall and the city has a 5-Year land supply. The respondents completions figure for 2014/15 is incorrect.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630136
Housing	PMM62	BDPMOD S762		c/o RPS (Sutton Coldfield Charitable Trust & Bishop Vesey Grammar School	Mr Tim Watton	Associate RPS Planning & Development	Object	See attached.	See attached.	There is insufficient flexibility in the 5-Year Land Supply to accommodate changing circumstances. Additional flexibility is required for the plan to be sound.	Do not agree. There were 6 years supply to meet the trajectory set out in the submission plan but this was considered excessive, so in order to make better use of the deliverable supply PMM62 has adjusted the trajectory in order to increase delivery rates earlier in the plan period. The consequence of this adjustment is a small reduction in the 5 year supply. Nevertheless the city has a 5-Year land supply and there is a good balance between the trajectory and supply over the plan period.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3612064
Housing	PMM62	BDPMOD S1128		English Land Ltd	Cerda Planning		Object	See attached.	See attached.	Promotes an additional site at Booths Lane for development.	The site proposed is not within the green belt and is not of strategic size. There is no reason why its merits cannot be considered through the SHLAA process. No change required	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628389
Housing	PMM63	BDPMOD S1104	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Object	See attached.	See attached.	The aim of PMM63 is undermined by a backloaded delivery trajectory. The wording in PMM63 should be rephrased and included within the policy.	No change required. The proposed revisions to the trajectory (PMM62) reduce the back loading. PMM63 is appropriately located in the supporting text. The policy already states that the delivery rates in the trajectory are indicative.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628007

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Housing	PMM63	BDPMOD S1215	Sue Green	Home Builders Federation		Home Builders Federation		See attached.	See attached.	Does not object to the trajectory but raises various detailed points re sites included within the supply.	Account has been taken of these points. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629992
Housing	PMM63	BDPMOD S1229	Greg Mitchell	The Gilmour Family			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Although referenced in the submission there are no specific comments relating to PMM63.	No relevant comment submitted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630131
Housing	PMM63	BDPMOD S1236		Richborough Estates Ltd C/O Turley	Mike Best	Turley	Object	See attached.	See attached.	Although referenced in the submission there are no specific comments relating to PMM63.	No relevant comment submitted	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630136
Housing	PMM63	BDPMOD S515	Dr Paul Hoad				Object	I object to the following Add at the following at the end of paragraph 8.13 "Whilst the trajectory sets out annual provision rates, they are not ceilings. Housing provision over and above that set out in the trajectory will be encouraged and facilitated wherever possible."This actively encourages over provision of housing. This is likely to increase the pressure on the Green Belt by encouraging unplanned growth and providing housing capacity which is not considered necessary.	Remove this amendment. or Add at the following at the end of paragraph 8.13 "Housing provision in brownfield sites will be encouraged and facilitated wherever possible to avoid any pressure on the release Green Belt land. "	The wording in PMM63 encourages over provision of housing and unplanned growth, and will increase pressure on the Green Belt. The amendment should be removed or reworded to make it clear it that it applies to brownfield land only.	No change required. The trajectory sets out indicative annual rates of provision. Actual delivery will vary. The PMM makes it clear that the annual figures are not limits above which there can be no further development within the year. The trajectory is good match with the supply identified in the SHLAA.	
Housing	PMM64	BDPMOD S516					Object	I object to the amendment After the first paragraph, add a fifth bullet point as follows: "Market signals and local housing market trends. "This will encourage unplanned development which is merely led by developers wishing to promote their own agendas and gain financial returns on their land. This is suppose to be a planning document not instructions to allow themarket to do what it wishes.	Remove this amendment	Proposed amendment adding "market signals and local housing market trends "should be removed as this will encourage unplanned development and allow the market to do as it wishes.	No change required. National policy requires them to be taken into account.	
Housing	PMM65	BDPMOD S1014	Mr Damien Holdstock (Agent)	City and Provincial Properties Plc			Support	See attached.		Proposed modification supported.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626047
Housing	PMM65	BDPMOD S1016	Mr Damien Holdstock (Agent)	City and Provincial Properties Plc			Support	See attached.		Proposed modification supported.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626047
Environment and Sustainability	PMM66	BDPMOD S1199			west midlands harp planning consortium		Object	See attached.	See attached.	Object to principle of Green Belt development.	Comment does not specifically relate to the Proposed Modifications.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629889
Housing	PMM66	BDPMOD S1217	Sue Green	Home Builders Federation		Home Builders Federation		See attached.	See attached.	The viability of extra-care schemes should be tested.	The policy allows for viability to be taken into account in individual cases. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629992
Housing	PMM66	BDPMOD S1199		West Midlands HARP Planning Consortium	Chris Burton, Tetlow King Planning	Tetlow King Planning	Object	See attached.		The Modification does not go far enough in meeting the affordable housing shortfall.	This issue has already been considered and does not relate directly to the modification proposed. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629889
Housing	PMM69	BDPMOD S695	Mr Roger Yarwood	Planning Officer National Federation of Gypsy Liaison Groups			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Proposed modifications generally welcomed. Have concerns over reduced level of need from previous GTAA and object to final sentence of proposed amendment to paragraph 8.36 (references to Green Belt).	Noted. No change required. Level of need was assessed in up to date GTAA.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3607649

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Housing	PMM69	BDPMOD S859	Mr Geoff Cox	Director Midland Tank & Ironplate Co Ltd (on behalf of a consortium of businesses)			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Regarding the proposed Proctor Street site: The area is congested due to parked cars which impede traffic flow, the area has high unemployment and no demand for seasonal workers, the land is highly toxic, the character of the area would be adversely affected, need has not been demonstrated, previous unauthorised encampments in the area have resulted in thefts, abuse and tipping and the site would compound these issues, businesses would be driven away from the area, an existing site in the area has become permanent over the years defeating the object of providing a site, the local authority is providing a site but travellers do not conform to rules.	No change required. Need has been demonstrated (GTAA 2014). Travellers sites can be permanent or transit. The Council have no evidence of contamination and none has been provided by the respondent. Other comments are not pertinent.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3620533
Health	PMM72	BDPMOD S25					Support	It is clearer than the previous text and acknowledges the importance to health of open space and particularly allotments which help to satisfy the need for exercise, community, fresh food, mental challenge, education, meditative activity, closeness to nature, etc		Supports modification.	Support noted.	
Health	PMM72	BDPMOD S495	Mr Justin Milward	Lead Government Affairs Officer - Local Woodland Trust			Object	PMM38/p.72/p.119/ Policy TP 36 Health We note the proposal to "Add the following additional bullet point: "Making provision for open space and allotments (policy TP9). "We would like to see the role of green infrastructure "particularly trees and woods " included here. In an era of ever increasing concern about the nation s physical and mental health, the Woodland Trust strongly believes that trees and woodland can assist in playing a key role in delivering improved health & wellbeing at a local level through physical activity. Although the relationship between the natural environment and health is a complex one, it is now widely accepted that green infrastructure "such as trees, woods and forests "can contribute to both preventative and restorative wellbeing solutions [i] . Increasing evidence has demonstrated the critical impact that trees can make in encouraging more active lifestyles and alleviating the symptoms of some of our most debilitating conditions such as dementia, obesity, heart disease and mental health problems [ii] .This linkage between woodland and health is now firmly embedded in national Government policy for health, planning and forestry "Health: "Access to green spaces is associated with better mental and physical health across socioeconomic groups. Defra will lead a national campaign to increase tree planting throughout England, particularly in areas where tree cover would help to improve residents' quality of life and reduce the negative effects of deprivation, including health inequalities ."Healthy Lives, Healthy People (Government White Paper, November 2010, paras 3.36-37). Planning "Access to high quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and recreation can make an important contribution to the health and well-being of communities. Planning policies should be based on robust and up ' to ' date assessments of the needs for open space, sports and recreation facilities and opportunities for new provision. "National Planning Policy Framework (DCLG, March 2012, para 73). Forestry: "Our trees, hedgerows, woods and forests contribute significantly to the	Given Birmingham s recent appointment of a Physical Activity Champion , we would like the proposed additional bullet point in Policy TP36 to read (upper case additions) - Making provision for open space, allotments and NATURAL SPACE LIKE TREES AND WOODLANDS (policy TP9). "	Comments noted.	No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								<p>quality of life in both rural and urban areas. Amongst other things, they enhance the local environment and biodiversity, support economic growth through regeneration, help mitigate the impact of climate change, assist in reducing air pollution and provide important health and educational benefits ;.The Natural Environment White Paper recognised the value and potential for green spaces to support and contribute to everyone s health and well-being. This is being reflected in the Public Health Outcomes Framework, which underpins the new public health duty of local authorities . Government Forestry Policy Statement (Defra, January 2013, p.16). But when it comes to turning this national policy into local policy and also local delivery, the perspective is currently less clear, with local authority Public Health teams and Health & Wellbeing Boards jostling with Clinical Commissioning Groups, Public Health England, NHS England and the National Institute for Health & Care Excellence (NICE) to find new ways of working. There are excellent individual case study examples of woods and trees delivering local health benefits, see the Forestry Commission Scotland s Woods for Health publication [iii] , but there is a need to mainstream this relationship across the board in local policy and delivery. At a time of ongoing budgetary constraint, such mainstreaming will clearly be a challenge. However evidence suggests that, as well as providing environmental and biodiversity benefits, woods and trees can be a cost effective solution [iv] for reducing negative climate change impacts like poor air quality and for supporting local economic growth, as well as promoting healthy lifestyles. There are also great opportunities for physical exercise in the positive local neighbourhood empowerment of local community woodland projects. The King s Fund, an independent healthcare charity, has produced Improving the public s health - A resource for local authorities [v] , a report that sets out what Local Authorities can do for health together with the business case for doing so. The report says that: "Increasing access to parks and open spaces could reduce NHS costs of treating obesity by more than £2 billion. Access to green space can reduce mental health admissions too, resulting in additional savings for the NHS ; Analysis of Birmingham s city-wide Be Active programme suggests that up to £23 is recouped for every £1 spent, in terms of better quality of life, reduced NHS use, productivity gains, and other gains to local authorities". Research by the Woodland Trust shows that less than 17% of the population of England has access to local woodland within 500m of their home (vi). In Birmingham this figure is even lower at 9%. Recognising this, the Woodland Trust has developed the Woodland Access Standard (WASt) for public bodies and local authorities to aim for, encapsulated in our Space for People publication. We believe that the WASt can be an important policy tool complementing other access standards used in delivering green infrastructure for health benefits. The WASt is complimentary to Natural England s ANGST+ and is endorsed by Natural England (further details on Space for People can be provided on request). The Woodland Trust Woodland Access Standard recommends: - that no person should live more than 500m from at least one area of accessible woodland of no less than 2ha in size - that there should also be at least one area of accessible woodland of no less than 20ha within 4km (8km round-trip) of people s homes. Providing more accessible trees, woods and green space for physical activity can therefore provide a critical link to healthier lives and, consequently, to saving money. [1] Hartig, T., Evans G.W., Jamner L.D., Davis D.S., and Gärling T. (2003). Tracking restoration in natural and urban field settings. Journal of Environmental Psychology 23, 109-123. Ulrich, R.S. (1984). View through a window may influence recovery from surgery. Science 224, 420-421. Van den Berg, A.E., Koole S.L., and van der Wulp N.Y. (2003). Environmental preferences and restoration: (how) are they related? Journal of Environmental Psychology 23, 135-146. ii http://www.scribd.com/doc/190436915/Healthy-Woods-Healthy-Living</p>				

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								<p>http://www.scribd.com/doc/130430943/Healthy-Woods-Healthy-Living</p> <p>iii http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/fcfc011.pdf/\$FILE/fcfc011.pdf iv http://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/mediafile/100083921/trees-or-turf-report.pdf v King s Fund, 2013 http://www.kingsfund.org.uk/sites/files/kf/field/field_publication_file/improving-the-publics-health-kingsfund-dec13.pdf vi Woodland Trust “ data available on request</p>				
Connectivity	PMM73	BDPMOD S517					Object	<p>I object to the amendment: Add an additional bullet point as follows: "In some circumstances, the re-allocation of existing roadspace to more sustainable transport modes."This is poor policy statement as it sets out that this should be applicable "in some circumstances"yet in no way sets out what those circumstances are. Is this to be applied irrespective of its consequences? Turning over road space to "more sustainable transport modes" is likely to have an adverse impact on transport. This should be considered in a holistic manner looking at the overall impact rather than just taking for granted that this will have a positive impact. This blind approach has been taken by the council with respect to the release of Green Belt land (Langely & Peddimore) where the council has used the assumption that adding a bus lane to the A38 is a good idea because it would supposedly reduce car trips to/from the site, yet no analysis has been undertaken to measure the adverse impact on the A38 and the large number of trips that use this route (and for very little of which would be likely to gain any benefit from this). Liverpool have recently removed a significant number of bus lanes as they were shown not to be providing benefits. Therefore it cannot be taken for granted that simply giving up road space to what is deemed to be "more sustainable" is of any use whatsoever. Link to Liverpool City Council Report: http://councillors.liverpool.gov.uk/documents/s140386/Appendix%203%20-%20Mott%20McDonald%20Bus%20Lane%20Review%20Documents.pdf</p>	Remove this addition.	Objects to the "allocation of road space to sustainable transport modes in some circumstances" on the grounds that the benefits of this have not been demonstrated	This reference simply reflects an acknowledgement that this may be necessary in order to deliver a more sustainable transport network within the city. No change required.	
Connectivity	PMM74	BDPMOD S233					Object	<p>The proposed new development at Walmley is a long way from Birmingham City Centre and to suggest people can cycle there instead of travelling by other modes of transport is incredibly optimistic and unrealistic.</p>	Not build on the green belt at Walmley.	Considers that people are unlikely to cycle from Walmley to the city centre.	This policy deals with cycling provision in general and does not refer specifically to Walmley. The introduction of measures to encourage cycling in the Langley SUE is not specifically to encourage cycling to the city centre, but to encourage an increase in the use of cycling generally (e.g. trips to schools, local shops, etc.). No change required.	
Connectivity	PMM75	BDPMOD S1146		Calthorpe Estates c/o Turley			Support	See attached.		Support.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628585

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Connectivity	PMM76	BDPMOD S35					Object	Birmingham needs to follow Nottingham's example and plan for a tram network. Sprint buses are unattractive, slow get caught up in traffic as the Tyburn bus lane previously proved. The inside lane of all major arterial roads should be allocated to a tram.	The transport plan must include reopening the Sutton Park line and a new park and ride at Minworth to alleviate traffic on the Kingsbury and Tyburn Roads.	Advocates the development of a tram network rather than "Sprint" and considers that the Sutton Park line should be re-opened, and park and ride provided at Minworth	The intention is to develop a more extensive tram network, but to complement this with other sustainable transport improvements such as "Sprint". The plan supports re-opening the Sutton Park line to passenger services. No change required.	
Developer Contributions	PMM83	BDPMOD S1218	Sue Green	Home Builders Federation		Home Builders Federation		See attached.	See attached.	Proposals for CIL/S106 considered to be confusing.	This is not accepted. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629992
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMOD S1027	Mr Mike Smith	Assoc of Black Country Authorities			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Notes that the Proposed Modifications increase the housing pressures on authorities outside Birmingham and argues that there should be a commitment to a review of the BDP to be completed by 2026	Noted. The PPG indicates that normally plans should be reviewed every five years, and the Monitoring section sets triggers for an earlier review. No change required	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3626435
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMOD S1057	Mr M Neachell	c.oJVH Town Planning Consultants Ltd			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers the monitoring indicators in relation to housing to be unclear and imprecise. An alternative approach is suggested	It is not accepted that the indicators are unclear or imprecise. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3627269
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMOD S1105	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers monitoring should reflect the respondent's proposed changes to PMM2 and PMM3, should be reflected in policy and should indicate that there will be an annual review of indicators	The Council does not agree with the respondent's proposed change to PMM2 and PMM3. It is envisaged that monitoring would be on an annual basis. No change required.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628007
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMOD S1176					Object	See attached.	See attached.	Raises various concerns over the indicators and for monitoring housing delivery and the triggers for a plan review. An alternative approach is suggested,	The Council considers that the monitoring arrangements proposed are deliverable and will be effective, in particular it is clear from the policy as worded that if there is a failure to progress the delivery of 37,900 dwellings required outside of the city that this would trigger a review of the BDP. No change proposed.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629051
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMOD S1220	Sue Green	Home Builders Federation		Associate RPS Planning & Development		See attached.	See attached.	In relation to housing, considers that the wording is too vague, and that there are no deadlines in place for the review of plans in neighbouring areas.	The Council considers that the monitoring arrangements proposed are deliverable and will be effective, in particular it is clear from the policy as worded that if there is a failure to progress the delivery of 37,900 dwellings required outside of the city that this would trigger a review of the BDP. No change proposed.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3629992
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMOD S1230	Greg Mitchell	The Gilmour Family			Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers that the approach to monitoring is not sufficiently forward-looking and proposes a new monitoring policy	The Council considers that the monitoring arrangements proposed are deliverable and will be effective, in particular it is clear from the policy as worded that if there is a failure to progress the delivery of 37,900 dwellings required outside of the city that this would trigger a review of the BDP. No change proposed.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630129

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMD S1237		Richborough Estates Ltd C/O Turley	Mike Best	Turley	Object	See attached.	See attached.	Raises various concerns over the indicators and for monitoring housing delivery and the triggers for a plan review, including issues around the role of the SPRG and the evolution of the Combined Authority. An alternative approach is suggested,	The Council considers that the monitoring arrangements proposed are deliverable and will be effective, in particular it is clear from the policy as worded that if there is a failure to progress the delivery of 37,900 dwellings required outside of the city that this would trigger a review of the BDP. No change proposed.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3630136
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMD S268	Ms Clare Eggington	Planning Policy Manager Cannock Chase Council			Support	See attached.		Questions the use of word "relevant Council" in the Modification to para 11.4	This refers to all Councils helping to meet Birmingham's housing shortfall, whether within the HMA or not. No change required.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3597953
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMD S529					Object	Highly sustainable sites within walking distance of stations on the Chiltern Line (Birmingham - Solihull -London Marylebone) could contribute several thousand houses to Birmingham/Solihull's shortfall ; in particular , round Hatton Station which is approx 30 mins to Snow Hill (15 mins to Solihull) and located just 300 yards from the M40. Yet they have not been considered because they lie in Warwick District which is not part of the HMA - despite the fact that it adjoins Solihull and Stratford District which is involved in addressing the housing shortfall and wraps round Warwick District. From an economic perspective the two rail links to London Euston and Marylebone are far more important to Birmingham than any of the other links. The Strategic Housing Needs Study (SHNS) prepared by Peter Brett Associates for GBSLEP was recognised by The Inspector as being the primary contributor to the analysis of 'overspill' sites in the other LPAs Stage 3 of the SHNS (August 2015) devotes an entire section to development round railway stations (Pages 33-42) but is unable to consider stations in the Warwick District area because Warwick was not a client-authority. It is completely illogical not to consider station sites on The Chiltern line for Birmingham/Solihull's overspill.	Potential residential development sites adjoining stations on the Chiltern Line between Birmingham and Warwick should be included in the analysis of the "overspill options"regardless as to whether they are located in Warwick District or not. Warwick District Council should be one of the LPAs with whom Birmingham/Solihull are consulting.	Considers that there are development sites in Warwick which could contribute to meeting Birmingham's housing shortfall	This comment does not relate to the Modification. Warwick lies outside the Greater Birmingham HMA and so is not part of the primary search area for sites to meet Birmingham's needs. No change required.	
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMD S604	DR MIKE HODDER	Committee Member Council for British Archaeology West Midlands			Support			Support.	Support noted.	
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMD S763		c/o RPS (Sutton Coldfield Charitable Trust & Bishop Vesey Grammar School	Mr Tim Watton	Associate RPS Planning & Development	Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers the mechanisms proposed for monitoring the Birmingham housing shortfall to be internally inconsistent and not sound. Detailed amendments proposed	The principle that a review of the Plan would be triggered if monitoring showed delivery falling short of what is required was discussed and agreed during the examination hearings. There are inevitably "ups and downs" in the housing market which may affect delivery in particular years and the Council considers that the triggers included in the policy reflect this, while ensuring that any more fundamental shortfall is addressed. No change required.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3612064
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMD S866	Barratt Developments		Stephen Stoney	Wardell Armstrong	Object	See attached.	See attached.	Considers the mechanism for monitoring housing delivery to be ineffective but does not suggest an alternative	The Council considers that the monitoring arrangements proposed are deliverable and will be effective, in particular it is clear from the policy as worded that if there is a failure to progress the delivery of 37,900 dwellings required outside of the city that this would trigger a review of the BDP. No change proposed.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3619358

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
Monitoring	PMM84	BDPMOD S1225		Consortium of West Midlands Developers and Land Owners	Dan Hatcher, Barton Willmore	Barton Willmore	Object	See attached.		In relation to housing considers that the modification does not provide an effective basis for ensuring that the shortfall will be met in full within the HMA.	The Council considers that the monitoring arrangements proposed are deliverable and will be effective, in particular it is clear from the policy as worded that if there is a failure to progress the delivery of 37,900 dwellings required outside of the city that this would trigger a review of the BDP. No change proposed.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3630052
Policies Map	PMM85	BDPMOD S1106	Mr Robert Barnes	St Modwen Developments Ltd			Support	See attached.		Support but suggests changes to plans 1 and 14 to reflect recent planning decisions.	The Council does not consider that the RIS boundary should be changed to reflect the College of Defence Medicine permission. Plan 14 already reflects the former Flightshed permission. It is accepted that a minor change could be made to Plan1 to reflect this, but this would in practice make little difference at the scale of this plan.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3628007
Policies Map	PMM85	BDPMOD S5		Inland Waterways Association Bham and the Black Country			Support	IWA is disappointed that the Peddimore employment site proposal is retained in the Plan, but notes that the developable area has been defined and reduced in size, and that building heights will be controlled, which will to some extent reduce its visual impact on the rural environment of the Birmingham & Fazeley Canal.		Supports reduced size of Peddimore site	Support noted.	http://birmingham.obiective.co.uk/file/3541091
Policies Map	PMM85	BDPMOD S558					Object	We wish to comment on chapter 5 of the appraisal. As residents of Walmley for over 30 years we feel that the new proposals should not include the green belt either in Sutton Coldfield or any other part of Birmingham being included in the proposal, as this would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. There is already precious little green belt left for in my case my grandchildren to grow up and appreciate. The unmet housing needs should not outweigh harm to the green belt. We feel the green belt should be removed from the the plan until all avenues have been totally exhausted, these include, all brownfield sites are identified and exhausted both inside and outside of Birmingham's boundaries, all vacant properties within the city are exhausted as per the policy May 2015 2010-2015 government polic: house building. A true picture of housing needs is realised before any green belt released. All surplus brownfield public sector land exhausted. The current supply of 45000 available dwellings has been delivered and only when an inspector is satisfied that alloptions have been exhausted should a review be undertaken to consider releasing green belt. The area in Washwood Heath beeing considered for HS2 would in our opinion be better used for housing needs. As I drive around Birmingham I notice many, many plots of disused buildings, pubs, factories, schools etc all of these should be first used before any green belt land is considered for development. We also have serious concerns on many aspects of affecting the lives of people who already live in Walmley these are : Many of the local roads in Walmley are already highly congested. Only today we went for a walk and passed through the main shopping area in Walmley at 14:20 on a Sunday afternoon, the traffic was bumper to bumper. I have noticed on many occasions simlilar occurancies in othe roads Walmley Ash Road at 15:00 in the week often the traffic stretches for ASDA back towards to shops in Walmley for over a quater of a mile. I fear for the emergency services who would have this to contend with		Objection to green belt development on environmental, transport and infrastructure grounds. Brownfield sites and garden cities should be preferred.	Comment repeats a view expressed at the pre-submission stage. No change required.	

Comments on Proposed Modifications

Policy	PMM Ref	Comment ID	Full Name (Private individual names removed)	Organisation Details	Agent Name	Organisation Details	Support or object?	Full Comment	What change (if any) do you think should be made to address your concerns?	Summary of Comment	City Council Response	Web link
								<p>multiplied should an additional 6000 homes be built in Walmley. It can and does often take more than 10 minutes to get of my estate during the busier times (which are getting longer and more frequent) We even try where possible to time our journies to avoid these times but if you ave hospital, dentist appointments etc it is not always possible. I dont see how proposing an access road off the A38 would in anyway alleaviate these problems. Next I move onto local amenities, We often cannot get Doctors appointments at short notice i.e. within 24 hours you can wait 2 or 3 days for an appointment. Again this would be made worse if 6000 extra homes were built unless additional doctors surgeries were built on the new development. Over the last few years my Daughter has had the agony of trying to get her children in local schools. She achieved this because she lives very close to the local C of E school and is an active member of the church congregation she and her husband teach at the sunday school there. More people moving into the area again would make this situation worse. The same would apply to local Secondary schools which like the primary schools in this area are over subscribed. The local hospital Good Hope is the only hospital in the area and would struggle with the extra patients 6000 homes would bring meaning people would have to travel to neighbouring hospitals creating more air pollution. Already in Walmley I feel that the air quality is poor (see my earlier comment on traffic in Walmley) the additional 6000 homes at best will bring in a further 6000 cars but more likely 12000. When my wife and I going walking around Walmley which we try and do most days I have noticed the land proposed for this development is greatly used as agricultural land i.e as soon as one crop is harvested the land is ploughed and the next crop is sewn. My understanding is this land in Grade 3 agricultural land and the new proposal would remove some of the last productive agricultural land in Birmingham therefore a negative impact.</p>				
Policies Map	PMM85	BDPMOD S752	Mr Steve Maxey	Assistant Chief Executive North Warwickshire Borough Council			Support	See attached.		Support.	Support noted.	http://birmingham.objective.co.uk/file/3610882