Birmingham City Council - Equality Impact Assessment Budgdet Savings Proposal 2020+

| Title of proposed EIA | DC010 SMS Text Messaging Factsheet (EQUA410) |
|--|---|
| EIA is in support of | New Service |
| Directorate | Digital and Customer Services |
| Service Area | |
| Responsible Officer | Simon J Field |
| Purpose of proposal | |
| otices where the citizen ha | es Service issued approximately 170,000 reminder d missed their payment and 8,000 letters for broken their nt. It is proposed to make greater use of text messaging |
| What sources of informathis policy/proposal? | ation/data have been used to produce the screening of |
| | |
| | |

PLEASE ASSESS THE POTENTIAL IMPACT OF YOUR PROPOSAL ON THE FOLLOWING PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS

Age

| Service Users / Stakeholders |
|--|
| Some older people may be less familiar with mobile phones and text messaging. |
| The SMS reminder would not replace the official paper reminder, the SMS would be sent 7 days ahead of the paper reminder to prompt the customer to pay again. The SMS would enclose a payment link making it must easier for the customer to pay there and then in the hope that the paper reminder would then no longer need to be sent. This costs savings attached to the proposal are around not having to send the paper reminders as the payment would have been received through the SMS. |
| Therefore the impact on the older generation will be negligible as they'll still get the paper reminder. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Disability

Service Users / Stakeholders

Some people with disabilities may not use mobile phones and text messaging and not be able to access this.

The SMS reminder would not replace the official paper reminder, the SMS would be sent 7 days ahead of the paper reminder to prompt the customer to pay again. The SMS would enclose a payment link making it must easier for the customer to pay there and then in the hope that the paper reminder would then no longer need to be sent. This costs savings attached to the proposal are around not having to send the paper reminders as the payment would have been received through the SMS.

Therefore the impact on the older generation will be negligible as they'll still get the paper reminder.

Sex

| Not Applicable | |
|----------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| Gender Reassigi | nment | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Not Applicable | | | |
| Marriage and Civ | vil Partnership | | |
| Not Applicable | | | |
| Pregnancy and M | Maternity | | |
| Not Applicable | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Race

| Some non-English speakers may be unable use mobile phones and text messaging and not be able to access this. |
|--|
| The SMS reminder would not replace the official paper reminder, the SMS would be sent 7 days ahead of the paper reminder to prompt the customer to pay again. The SMS would enclose a payment link making it must easier for the customer to pay there and then in the hope that the paper reminder would then no longer need to be sent. This costs savings attached to the proposal are around not having to send the paper reminders as the payment would have been received through the SMS. |
| We will monitor and review services to address any adverse impacts. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Religion or Beliefs |
| Not Applicable |
| |

Sexual Orientation

| Not Applicable |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Please indicate any actions arising from completing this intial screening exercise |
| |
| Further work will be done to evaluate the impact of SMS use with older people and |
| those with disabilities and to ensure sufficient mitigation is in place. |
| |
| |
| |

| Please indicate whether a full impact assessment is recommended |
|--|
| NO |
| |
| |
| If a full assessment is required please complete sections below: |
| What information/data has been collected to facilitate the assessment of this policy/proposal? |
| |
| |
| |
| Any consultation analysis |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Is there any adverse impact/s on any people with protected characteristics? |
| |
| No |
| |
| |
| |
| If yes, please explain your reasons for going ahead with your proposal? |
| |
| |
| |

| Could the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or eliminate any adverse impact on any particular protected equality group(s)? |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| How will the effect(s) of this policy/proposal on equality be monitored? |
| |
| |
| What data is required in the future to ensure effective monitoring of this policy/proposal? |

| Consulted people or groups |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Informed people or groups |
| As the SMS messages are sent out with a prompt for payment in advance of paper records it is hoped that this prompt will remove the need to then send out a paper letter. For those unable to engage with SMS messages they will still receive the paper reminder still so there should be detrimental impact. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Summary and evidence of findings from EIA

As the SMS messages are sent out with a prompt for payment in advance of paper records it is hoped that this prompt will remove the need to then send out a paper letter. For those unable to engage with SMS messages they will still receive the paper reminder still so there should be detrimental impact

DATE: 11/10/2019

